

Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Filed of Record

Jul 08 2026

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Katherine Hine, *sui juris*
On behalf of herself and other
Co-owners of certain private property
Claimants,

)

)

Case No. 26-ROS-001

-vs-

Steven Harding, Mark Laughlin,
Dawn Hoosier, Carolyn Monroe,
David North, David Steiner,
Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt,
Ron Meyers, James Cutright,
George Lavender, David Jeffers,
Jeff Lehner, Richard Ward, Kathy Dunn,
John Street, Keith Washburn,
Jack Everson, Sharon Kennedy,
David Yost, Michael DeWine.
Respondents.

)

)

)

JUDGMENT ON DEFAULTED
CLAIMS

AP
W
LC

NOW ON this 8th day of July, 2026, upon three of our members having assembled, our Lord and King Jesus Christ thereby being in our midst, our Court having been lawfully formed after having provided all lawful public Notices and having been operating publicly and without objection for the last five (5) years as a Court of record administering the common law to the degree that it expresses the supreme law and ultimate sovereignty of Almighty God, conducting ourselves wherever applicable, consistently with Respondents' 1781 and 1787 Constitutions for the united states of America, the Declaration of Independence, the Northwest Ordinance, and Magna Carta, among other treatises and founding documents, having reviewed Claimants' verified Petition, with attached Notices and proofs of service, and non-response, we FIND by a preponderance of the evidence and beyond any reasonable doubt as follows:

1. Respondents are wholly in default, having failed to respond as instructed to any of the Notices attached as Exhibit A to Claimants' June 14, 2026 Petition publicly posted on this Court's website.

2. Respondents have been served said Petition via this Court's email, and therefore having had a fourth opportunity to review Claimants' Notices attached to said Petition, and having subsequently also failed to respond to the Petition itself, there being no need for any further Summons or other formality, Respondents have made it clear that they intend to express no objections as instructed in the three Notices that they have been ignoring since the December 12, 2025 first Notice.

3. As is confirmed by Affidavit posted with the June 14, 2026 Petition herein
<https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/Petition-for-Judgment-on-Defaulted->

[Claims-26-ROS-001.pdf](#), per computer pages 34-37, and as we have verified, each Respondent acknowledged receipt of the December 12, 2025 First Notice herein on December 19, 2025 by means of an unidentified agent using the email corporateoverlord@tutamail.com and/ or by its other agents. None of the Respondents expressed the slightest objection to said "corporate overlord's" speaking on his or her behalf and refusing to dispute any facts contained in the Notices as instructed therein..

4. Respondents have conceded by their silence and by engaging in specific conduct, that there are no facts nor any law justifying Respondents' threatened trespasses onto Claimants' private property land, as set forth in the Petition and attached Notices. Respondents concede that their statutes, codes, and related documents are merely private corporate policies, not law, and apply, if at all, only to strawmen, i.e. fictitious mental constructs which Respondents or their predecessors created themselves for deceptive purposes.

5. The parties have each entered into a binding Contract resolving all matters, the terms of which are set forth in the December 12, 2025 Notice and Contract Offer included in Exhibit A attached to the June 14, 2026 Petition. Respondents have agreed to be liable, monetarily and criminally, according to the facts and contract terms thus established in the initial December 12, 2025 Notice and Contract Offer, incorporated fully herein by reference and summarized as follows:

a. On or about November 28, 2025, an agent of the Claimant members of HiRGG Properties, pma, a common law private membership association of living beings doing business and owning land according to the law of God, learned that Respondents and their agents, had, by means of statutory mail fraud, been making written threats of violence against the perceived agents of the non-existent entity known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC, using the corporate designations of 25 CI 000589 and PJR 80824000, among possible others. However, Respondents' contractors, Dunn, Jeffers, Yost, and others, had long been aware that the entity known as HiRGG PROPERTIES LLC, if it ever existed, no longer had any interest in Claimants' land, as Respondents were all fully aware because they had, years earlier, been served with Claimants' October, 2021 "NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY".

b. Respondents are attempting to steal Claimants' lands, which include, without limitation, the ones known, in addition to their metes and bounds descriptions, as 189 East Water Street, 736 East Main Street, 719 East Fourth Street, 721 East Fourth Street, 732 Madison Avenue, 412 Pohlman Road, and 282 Caldwell, all located on the land mass known as Chillicothe, Ohio.

c. There is no evidence that Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe, their agents and contractors ever paid Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn, their agents or contractors any so called "property tax" or that any such "tax", even if paid, was ever owed, or that Claimants have any obligation to those Respondents, who all continue to refuse to assert payment. Respondents are merely accomplices in a land grab hoax perpetrated against Claimants, and others.

d. Respondents admit that taxation may only be imposed by a sovereign and that they merely use the all capital versions of their names to pretend to act as agents of a mental construct known as a corporation, likewise not sovereign. Even if such tax existed, Respondents consider it to be an unapportioned *ad valorem* tax, prohibited by Article I. Section 2 Clause 3 and Article I Sec. 9 Clause 4 of their 1787 Constitution.

e. Respondents and their predecessors admittedly have a growing history of committing statutory mail fraud (18 US 1341), as has been established by Judgments entered in the matters of *Hine v. North* <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>, *McCabe v. Arnold*, <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/McCabe-vs-Arnold-Judgment-Entry.pdf>; *Hine v. Ater*, <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>, and others.

f. All said land was originally created by Almighty God for the use of the men and women He also created, not for exploitation by those who steal, use weapons to extort, or otherwise use or threaten violence to satisfy private covetousness and corporate greed.

g. Not one of the Respondents, his or her agents, contractors, officers, or principals, currently has or has ever asserted any legal or lawful interest in the private property land known by address as stated hereinabove but created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV).

h. Those Respondents using the all caps name to pretend to be agents of such legal fictions as CITY OF CHILICOTHE, who call themselves "police" or "sheriff" and who enforce no law, likewise have no duty to protect or serve anyone, including Claimants. This fact is a matter of record that Respondents Ater, Lavender, and Meyers had admitted over a year ago in *Hine v. Ater*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-004, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Default-Judgment-Private-Property-Ross-County.pdf> and in *Hine v. Free*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-003, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Judgment-for-Contempt.pdf>. None of Respondents including without limitation Meyers, Lavender, Ater, and Washburn, their agents, principals, and contractors have any justification for carrying firearms when acting to generate revenue for any corporate employer, regardless of whether or not said employer is considered to be part of "government".

i. None of the Claimants ever consented to any contract to pay "property tax" to any of the Respondents, their agents or principals after having first been provided disclosure of all material facts.

j. According to admissions against interest made by Respondents' predecessors and superiors contained in Article I Section 10 of the Respondents' predecessors' 1787 Constitution, Respondents, including Respondent Harding, are prohibited from collecting anything except gold and silver, not debt instruments such as Federal Reserve Notes, as payment for any claimed debt.

k. Respondents admit that between 2005 and October, 2021 they coerced Claimant Hine into paying some \$110,000.00 in UNITED STATES dollars, which sum she never owed, to Respondents Ater, Dunn, Jeffers, Lehner, their agents, contractors, or predecessors.

l. Despite their corporate affiliations and memberships in admittedly Luciferian secret societies, Respondents publicly pose as people with integrity, who swear oaths of loyalty to the people, subject to the superior authority of the most high God. However, Respondents also execute contradictory secret oaths, which include without limitation, the Kol Nidre, the Jesuit Oath of induction, and/or various Masonic oaths. The existence of such contradictory oaths does not lawfully excuse Respondents' liabilities for common law trespass, robbery, extortion, embezzlement, assault, battery, or threats of same.

m. God created the land upon which Claimants' houses are affixed and which serve as family homes. People, not corporations, constructed, repaired, occupied and continue occupying those lands and repairing said homes.

ap
vw
LL
n. Respondents are men and women who operate one or more private, for profit corporations, while posing as agents or contractors of "government", as indicated hereinabove. Respondents ignore the common law and the law of God, instead administering corporate policies known as statutes, codes, ordinances or even Constitutional provisions. Such policies are not law, just policies for corporate agents and customers. Claimants are neither. There are no provisions of said corporate policy enactments that even pretend to authorize the imposition of "property tax" against living people, including without limitation, the Claimants. All provisions of the Ohio Revised Code apply, according to their own terms, if they apply at all, *only* to legal fiction "persons", not to Claimants, who are living people, and not even to Respondents.

o. When operating the corporations they claim as their principal(s), Respondents and their agents and contractors use all capital letter perversions of their own birth names and insist that others with whom they contract, do likewise. Claimants do not knowingly engage in this practice, nor are they required to do so.

p. Respondents are not specially privileged or entitled beings, nor are they even "elected officials" as there is no proof anyone in particular voted for any of them. Respondents are not anointed by the Almighty as kings or other authority, to enslave Claimants or anyone else. (Hosea 8:4) Not one of the Respondents has any ownership interest in the land belonging to Claimants.

q. Respondents turn over funds collected from taxes to finance their own crimes and those of their principals, agents, contractors, and other accomplices without the knowledge or informed consent of land owning people, including Claimants. Any use Respondents have ever made of Claimants' private property land as collateral for their corporate transactions without providing an accounting, was done without the consent of Claimants, constitutes common law embezzlement, and resulted in no binding Order.

r. Other criminal operations funded by so-called "taxation" include without limitation

such crimes as Respondent DeWine's admitted participation in the mass poisonings involved in the 2021 health scare hoax, part of which is as set forth in the March 19, 2022 Judgment entered in *Plaster v. DeWine*. <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/22-CRF-001-Judgment-Entry-1.pdf>. Respondent DeWine continues to refuse to pay said judgment. In the guise of "child protection", or "public health" Respondents also use several of their principals' affiliated corporations as a pretext for injecting children and adults with neurotoxins, and subjecting them to kidnapping, forced drugging, mass poisonings, and electroshock.

s. Respondents' agents, contractors, and principals have established a pattern, custom and practice of committing common law armed assaults, batteries, kidnappings, and extortionate acts in the course of generating or attempting to generate corporate revenue under the guise of "taxation" to supposedly "protect" or "benefit" the targeted people, regardless of whether the targeted people seek or consent to such claimed "protection" or "benefit".

t. Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to even indirectly help fund acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare" or even "patriotism". Any voluntary financial participation in such schemes could subject Claimants to their own financial and criminal liabilities as accomplices. Any such participation would also constitute a mockery of the Lord God Almighty. Galatians 6:7.

u. The corporate STATE's taxation codes do not use the words man, woman, or people because corporations have no authority over living people. Only God has the ultimate sovereignty over His creations, the living people. Moreover, Respondents have to date failed to claim the existence of any corporate statute, code or ordinance that grants any of them or their principals taxing authority. Neither Respondents' OHIO REVISED CODE nor their STATE OF OHIO "Constitution" contains claimed taxing authority, only descriptions of how to collect FRN's based on groundless presumption.

v. Nowhere does the Bible document the existence of lawful authority to impose a property tax. Respondents' own superiors even admit that such power may only emanate from a sovereign. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe* 455 U.S. 130 (1982). Respondents and the corporations they allow their assigned strawmen to work for, are admittedly not sovereign. For centuries, the people's land has been reserved as an inheritance for future generations. Deuteronomy 19:14; Leviticus 25. A tax on the people's land is an unlawful attempt by those claiming to be agents of the corporate STATE to defund, dispossess, and disinherit the people, disrupt families, and make people slaves and serfs, as Respondents' predecessors have admitted it was. "The power to tax is the power to destroy." *McCulloch v. Maryland* 17 U.S. 316 (1819).

w. Taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long claimed that slavery was

abolished by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and the mutual mass slaughter of some one million American people more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants. Such enslavement efforts may be punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants and other believers have been ransomed and redeemed by Him. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. The most high God not only prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants. He also prohibits Claimants as Christians, from becoming the slaves of men.

x. Respondents' superiors and predecessors admit that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits. Because the corporate STATE has no physical reality it can have no territorial limits. Its agents, if any, including without limitation, Respondents, have no lawful authority over land. None of the Respondents purporting to act on behalf of their legal fiction corporations, has any taxing authority.

y. Respondents have and claim no immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. People who act in groups to harm others may not immunize themselves or their accomplices. Respondents' taxation and land seizure operations are creating private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments. Respondents are also admittedly guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for any and all common law crimes against Claimants now established.

z. Respondents' superiors and predecessors have admitted that property generally is "the right and interest which a man has in lands and chattels to the exclusion of others." *Ralston Car Co. v. Ralston* 147 N.E. 513 (Ohio 1925). Claimants elect to exclude Respondents from their private property land.

6. As this Court has previously documented in the matter of *Hine v. Ater*, Respondents Street and Lavender have taken evasive measures to conceal and/or disable their email addresses and/or fax numbers, thereby rendering service upon them by any means other than by agent or contractor, impossible, and revealing the deceptive nature of any representations they may make as to being "public officials". We consider that such evasive measures are evidence of consciousness of wrongdoing.

7. Per the Contract between Claimants and Respondents referenced at paragraph 3 hereinabove, both people groups have authorized us to incorporate the terms of their said Contract into a Judgment, as we do here today.

8. Neither Respondents' silence nor their subsequent contract-based consent to the within Judgment is sufficient to confer subject matter jurisdiction. Inherent jurisdictional power, not consent, has been the foundation of authority to render valid judgments over the course of centuries of Anglo American jurisprudence.

<https://lawreview.uchicago.edu/print-archive/jurisdiction-power>. This Court's authority is rooted in our having assembled in the name of Lord Jesus. Respondents, using fictitious identities, having assembled in the name of corporate greed, lack any inherent taxing

authority in their corporate tribunals due to the absence of sovereignty in entities that are little more than mental constructs. Any pretense of "consent" in Respondents' corporate system comes at gunpoint and is incapable of conferring lawful authority.

9. Respondents are men and women who admittedly pose as agents of one or more private, for profit corporations masquerading as "government". In the course of operating said corporations, Respondents ignore the common law, their own and their predecessors' corporate enactments, and the law of God. They instead purport to administer corporate policies known as statutes, codes, and ordinances, none of which by their own terms apply to living people. None of Respondents' corporate policy statements, which they call statutes, codes, and Constitutions, apply, by their own terms, to living men or women because a corporation has no authority over men or women, who are created by God Almighty, not by corporate policy.

10. Respondents' actions set forth hereinabove are creating private and public nuisances according to definitions contained in Black's Law Dictionary (4th Ed.), because Respondents' trespasses and threats thereof admittedly harm, annoy and physically disturb living people such as Claimants in the peaceful, God given right to the quiet enjoyment of their private property land and the structures thereon affixed.

11. All prior interests, if any, that agents of the legal fiction once known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC ever had to the above referenced land were transferred out of the legal fiction name on or about October 9, 2021, to Claimants. On or about October 11, 2021 Notice of said transfer was hand delivered to Respondents Dunn and Jeffers, as well as to Dwight Garrett, Stephen Neal, and Thomas Spetnagle Jr. or their agents, all of whom are or were the current Respondents or predecessors of same. Neither Dunn, Jeffers nor their agents ever voiced any objection until November 28, 2025.

12. Respondents have accepted all terms of the Contract created by Claimants' December 12, 2025 and subsequent Notices and the contract offer contained therein, by their (a) silence and by their (b) conduct, which consisted of threats against Claimants of "arrest", i.e. battery, kidnapping, and armed thievery of Claimants' private property land. The Notices warned Respondents of the specific consequences of their said silences and/or conduct. Such consequences are hardly unknown in Respondents' corporate world of commerce. Claimants' unrebutted affidavits supporting their Notices stand as truth, even according to Respondents' commercial policy enactments, such as UCC Sec. 1-202. Another of Respondents' corporate policy enactments, known as 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1746, likewise specifically concedes that the affidavits supporting the facts contained in Claimants' Notices constitute proof of the affidavits' contents. Moreover, Roman civil law, which Respondents are fond of citing, is also in accord: *Qui non negat, fatetur*. I.e. He who does not deny, agrees. Respondents still recognize or purport to recognize, via the Roman concept of *habeas corpus*, that those with no authority may not keep others in captivity or threaten to do so, as Respondents have done by means of their November 28, 2025 threats referred to in Claimants' first Notice. The word of Almighty God, who Respondents have admitted is the ultimate sovereign (Public Law 97-280), also acknowledges that Claimants' unrebutted Notices stand as truth: 1 Peter 1:25; Hebrews

6:13-15; Holy Bible, KJV. The three Notice process used by Claimants herein is the peaceful means of resolving conflict directed by Christ Himself to His followers. Matthew 18:15-17.

13. Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to contribute to the funding of acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare". Any of the acts of violence which Respondents may coerce Claimants to fund via "taxation" would be products of forced enslavement, a rejection of the Lord God Almighty and a mockery of His warnings against the enslavement of taxation or tribute, by whatever name. 1 Samuel 8:11-18.

14. Respondents are not specially anointed or entitled beings, nor are they even "elected officials" despite posing as such. Respondents publicly portray themselves as being the legal fiction roles they play, or as agents of "elected officials". However, Respondents have conceded that there is no evidence that any particular man or woman, acting as a legal fiction "registered voter", ever voted for any of said Respondents or their superiors. In the absence of such evidence, as there always will be, given Respondents' "secret ballot" policy, there is no basis to conclude that any one at all voted for any Respondent or for anyone who may have appointed any Respondent.

15. God created the land upon which Claimants' houses was erected. People, not corporations, constructed them. Claimants, not legal fiction persons, have kept it in a state of repair, and have ever since been using it to provide quality, low cost housing for the families of Claimants' tenants.

16. Even in Respondents' world, a direct property tax, such as the one with which Respondents have been threatening Claimants, is also a prohibited attack on a man's God given life blood, which was always tied to the land, ever since man was created, "forasmuch as out of the substance of the earth Adam was formed". Barnabas 5:9, 15; Genesis 1:26, 28; Hebrews 2:5-8.

17. None of the Respondents has a legal or lawful interest in Claimants' private property land and fixtures described hereinabove by metes and bounds, nor do any of said Respondents assert any such claim. Only metes and bounds, not corporate identifiers, lawfully describe the land created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV). Neither Respondents nor their legal fiction principals are capable of creating land. They are therefore incapable of correctly identifying or defining it via metes and bounds and have therefore resorted to using corporate identifiers and "parcel numbers". Such corporate identifiers are not the equivalent of metes and bounds descriptions, such as those referred to in the Holy Bible when describing either the land created by the most high God or the people's transfers of such land to one another.

18. The people of God have historically only ever made voluntary payments or other

offerings to, or at the behest of, the ultimate sovereign of Heaven and Earth, Almighty God. Such sums, known as tithes or other voluntary offerings, were never considered payments for the people's use of their own land.

19. Respondents' predecessors have long admitted that it is the people themselves, not a group of land developers in league with King George, or their successors, who have ever been the earthly sovereigns. The ultimate sovereign over all people and over the lands that He created, is and continues to be the most high God, not a man or woman acting in the role of a king or Pharaoh, and not a group of corporate actors, such as Respondents admit that they are. Not one of the Respondents, any of their predecessors, nor any of his or her agents or principals is sovereign when acting on behalf of one or more legal fiction corporations and admit that taxation is an exclusive attribute of the sovereign. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe*, 455 U.S. 130 (1982). A non-existent mental construct called a corporation cannot be sovereign.

20. Tribute in the form of taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long admitted and even boast that they abolished slavery by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and by their fomentation of the mutual mass murders of some one million American men on the battlefield more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants in the guise of renaming them as legal fiction "persons". Such deceitful enslavement efforts have historically been punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants, like other believers, have been ransomed and redeemed by Jesus Christ. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. Claimants cannot lawfully be subjugated to any incorporeal or imaginary entity known as the corporate STATE. The most high God likewise prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants.

21. Respondents' predecessors and now Respondents themselves have admitted that, even in their world, a tax on land is a direct tax and void for want of compliance with Article I Section 2 or Article 1 Section 9 if not apportioned. *Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.*, 157 U.S. 429 (1895). In *Stanton v. Baltic Mining Company*, 240 U.S. 103 (1916) Respondents' predecessors and now Respondents themselves concede that the Cabal's Sixteenth Amendment was incapable of repealing those previously enacted Constitutional provisions from Article 1.

22. Respondents have no claim to immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. No principal or superior of Respondents is capable of providing lawful immunity to any of the Respondents as men and women for any of the injury, harm, or loss that they cause to Claimants or to anyone else. People who act in groups to harm others have no power or authority to immunize either themselves or their accomplices. Creating a mental construct which Respondents call a corporation admittedly creates no such immunity. Respondents' taxation and land

seizure operations constitute private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments.

23. Respondents, their agent, contractors, and principals have each admitted that all the facts set forth in Claimants' Notices establish beyond any reasonable doubt that each Respondent has, directly or indirectly, committed acts of threatened or completed violence and thievery against Claimants, by reason of which this Court is authorized to impose such criminal punishments as its members find to be lawful parts of a Godly judgment. In imposing this Judgment we further FIND no need or lawful purpose to be served by convening a grand jury to investigate facts that Respondents have already conceded to have been established beyond any reasonable doubt.

24. Respondents, their agents, contractors and principals, whether or not specifically named herein, have been aiding and abetting one another in the crimes of extortion, attempted theft, embezzlement, and armed assault against Claimants as evidenced by the facts which each Respondent has already admitted to be true beyond any reasonable doubt. We have carefully considered the various definitions of and punishments for said crimes, which are recognized in the Holy Bible (KJV), Black's Law dictionary (4th Ed.), Webster's 1828 dictionary, and even in Respondents' corporate policy enactments known as the Ohio Revised Code. Respondents and their contractors and agents Andrew Tomlinson, Nathan Baldwin, and Bart Herrnstein have already admitted to the January 16, 2026 burglary and vandalism of another of Claimant Hine's properties, the Court building dedicated to God's law, as described here publicly - <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/04/Notification-Re-Burglary-of-Court-Building.pdf>.

25. The crime of extortion is complete merely upon making the threat for the purpose of inducing compliance with the thievery or kidnapping operation via fear or financial loss or injury. Respondents' threat of "foreclosure" in this case, of course, is of battery, kidnapping, and armed robbery of Claimants' land for the purposes of either terrorizing the occupants of Claimants' lands into flight, or of wrongfully attempting to exact Federal Reserve Notes from Claimants. However, Article 1 Section 10 of Respondents' 1787 Constitution prohibits them, while posing as agents of the State, from "making any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts". Respondents' proposed thievery is dishonest and evil at every level. "Behold, then, I have smitten Mine hand at your dishonest gain . . . " Ezekiel 22:13 (KJV); "Woe unto them who decree unrighteous decrees, and who write grievousness which they have prescribed." Isaiah 10:1-2 (KJV).

26. We have also considered the long recognized purposes of criminal sentencing. i.e. deterrence, retribution, isolation, and rehabilitation or repentance. We leave vengeance to our Heavenly Father, the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.

27. In assessing the evidence of Respondents' *scienter* or *mens rea* for purposes of imposing criminal responsibility, we have considered the following aggravating factors about their conduct that Respondents have admitted to, to-wit: their idolatry, including self idolatry, their flagrant displays of partiality toward Masonic and other secret societies that promote idolatry and the mockery of Almighty God. Respondents exact no

AP
MY
LL

tax from their accomplices operating idolatrous secret societies.

28. All evidence of Respondents' wicked motivations we also find to exceed that which would be sufficient to establish that Respondents are maintaining public nuisances, not only by targeting Claimants and other land owners with similar thievery threats and extortions rackets but also by deceiving potential purchasers directly or indirectly by means of false representations and assurances as to the supposedly *bona fide* nature of said transactions.

29. According to admissions against interest contained in so-called "caselaw" from the highest levels of Respondents' corporate system, not one of them has any legal duty to his or her corporate employer to protect or serve the people of the original Ohio republic. Respondents have systematically concealed from the public the fact that, as corporate agents, Respondents' only duty within its conglomerate of corporations is to generate revenue for their corporate superiors, not to protect or serve the people.

30. In the admitted absence of any duty to protect or serve, Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals have no excuse for carrying weapons while acting for the sole purpose of generating corporate revenue. When acting as living people and *not as corporate revenue generating agents*, Respondent may have a God given right to defend themselves with arms, just as Claimants do. But they have no lawful authority to use weapons while acting as corporate agents to commit robberies, kidnaps, and extortion, as they admittedly do. Such acts are in defiance of God's Commandments set forth at Exodus 20:15,17, for which the punishment may include death: "And whosoever will not do the Law of thy God, and the King's law, let him have judgment without delay, whether it be unto death, or to banishment, or to confiscation of goods, or to imprisonment." Ezra 7:26: (Geneva Bible); Deuteronomy 17:2-5; 13:6, 9. God and those who assemble to worship Him will not be mocked. 1 Samuel 2:25.

31. The contract established by Claimants' three Notices and Respondents' consent by their repeated silences and conduct, in addition to this Judgment, constitutes public notice that any man or woman who attempts to purchase Claimants' land in the event that Respondents hypothetically succeed in stealing it, will not be considered a *bona fide* purchaser, but, rather, an accomplice, and shall in such event be named as a supplemental Respondent herein. We take judicial Notice of the fact that Public Notices to this effect have already been prominently posted on Claimants' land for several months.

32. Respondents have conceded the settled principle that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits and that corporations, having no tangible physical reality, have no territorial limits. Therefore, none of the Respondents, who purport to act on behalf of legal fiction corporations calling themselves the "State" or "County", has any taxing authority.

33. Respondents when acting in their roles as corporate agents, in furtherance of acts of attempted theft, extortion, robbery, kidnapping, burglary, embezzlement and/or murder committed in the corporate name, regularly conduct or participate in corporate proceedings that meet the definition of being a "sham" according to their own corporate

policy enactments, e.g. Ohio Revised Code Section. 2951.52.

34. The original Thirteenth amendment to Respondents' 1787 UNITED STATES Constitution, ratified in Ohio in January, 1811 and by agents of all required States by 1824, prohibits all BAR member Respondents, from holding any office of public trust. Any purported acts they have performed to date or intend to perform, including the creation of corporate "court orders", are therefore void *ab initio* and of no effect. Moreover, Lord Jesus rebuked the hypocrisy and willful ignorance of lawyers over 2000 years ago. Luke 11: 46, 52.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

A. INJUNCTIVE LIABILITIES: Respondents, their agents, principals, and contractors, as living men and women, not as corporate actors, are hereby ENJOINED from making further threats against Claimants and their tenants, from carrying firearms or other weapons of any type, committing further acts of statutory mail fraud, maintaining public or private nuisances, or committing common law trespass, extortion or robbery against any of the Claimants, their agents, guests, or tenants. Respondents are further ENJOINED from causing injury, harm, or loss to any man or woman who is enforcing any contract between Claimants and Respondents or any Judgment of this Court of record.

ap
m
LC
B. CASTLE DOCTRINE: All Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals are hereby ENJOINED from directly or indirectly continuing to engage in further acts of trespass against Claimants, their tenants, or their land. This means that they are ENJOINED from interfering in any manner with the exclusive possession of the private property homes known as 189 East Water Street, 736 and 736 ½ East Main Street, 282 Caldwell, 412 Pohlman Road, 732 Madison Avenue, 719 East Fourth Street, or 721 East Fourth Street, Chillicothe, Ohio, being used as dwellings by Claimants or their tenants. In particular, said Respondents, their agents, and contractors are hereby ENJOINED from infringing in any manner upon the God given rights of Claimants and/or their tenants to defend themselves, their homes, their private conveyances, their family members and their guests by the use of any means of self-defense, including, without limitation, the use of deadly force. Respondents shall take heed that Claimants and their tenants are not required to retreat when attacked in their homes or on their lands. Respondents, their agents, and contractors are further ENJOINED from interfering in any manner with (a) any contract between Claimants and their tenants, or (b) the actions of any man or woman seeking to enforce this Judgment.

C. WEAPONS CONFISCATION: Respondents, their agents, principals or contractors, are ENJOINED from making or continuing to make threats, whether oral or written, or to otherwise interfere with Claimants' rights to the exclusive possession and quiet enjoyment of their aforementioned private property land and its structures. Respondents are therefore hereby ENJOINED from carrying firearms or other weapons of any type, or committing other acts of common law armed or unarmed assault, trespass, extortion or robbery against any of the Claimants, their agents, guests, or tenants. Towards that end, ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC is hereby authorized to remove any weapon in the possession of any Respondent or his or her agent or contractor, whether or not wearing a costume, displaying a badge, or otherwise masquerading as part of corporate government.

Within 24 hours of seizure, all such firearms shall be surrendered to and marked for identification by agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record at 43 South Paint Street, Chillicothe, Ohio, who can be contacted as indicated on the Court's website: www.occr2021.com.

D. WEAPONS CONFISCATIONS AND NEIGHBORHOOD PATROLS: Any member or agent of this Court may distribute any and all confiscated firearms, on a first come, first served basis and upon written request from any member of the public operating a neighborhood patrol so long as any said member of the public provides written assurance that he or she intends to use said firearms exclusively for self defense purposes and as part of any such neighborhood patrol operating within the original Ohio republic in a similar manner as those that operated under Mosaic law. Exodus 18; Deuteronomy 1. Agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record shall maintain records of said confiscated firearms, which records shall remain confidential.

E. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR CONTINUING HARM: Claimants are hereby awarded the daily sum of \$500.00 UNITED STATES Dollars against each Respondent individually, not as joint tortfeasors. Each Respondent, his or her agents, principals, and contractors shall be individually liable for the daily sum of \$500.00 UNITED STATES Dollars, said sum to be calculated as of November 18, 2025, the date that Claimants became aware of Respondents' acts of statutory mail fraud, and intent to commit acts of common law armed trespass and extortion.

F. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR PAST HARM Claimant Katherine Hine is further awarded the sum of \$110,000.00 UNITED STATES Dollars as reasonable compensation for the funds that Respondents unlawfully coerced from her between 2005 and 2021 in the guise of property taxation. Said monetary judgment shall be against each Respondent jointly and severally.

G. FAILURE TO PAY: In the case of failure to pay any fees or Judgment within thirty (30) days of presentment of any such Bill or Judgment, Respondents' lands, wages, and other properties wherever situated shall be subject to lien, including judgment liens, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

H. RECOUPMENT OF LIABILITY: Respondents are prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or "constituents".

I. SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONDENTS: In the event that any member of the public seeks to benefit by Respondents' proposed theft of Claimants' lands, Claimants shall in that event inform this Court of the identity of any such man or woman, who we shall then consider to be a contractor of Respondents and therefore subject as a Supplemental Respondent to the same monetary judgments as are the currently named Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals.

J. All monetary awards granted herein are based on the definition of a dollar as a measure of weight according to the Coinage Acts of 1792 and 1900, which both define a dollar as


being 24.8 grains of gold, or 371.25 grains of silver. The use of debt-based or digital currency to discharge Respondents' liabilities will not be acceptable.

K. Upon our receipt of any verified Notice reporting new or continuing instances of Respondents' threats or acts of violence against Claimants or others, in violation of this Judgment, failure to pay the monetary awards we have granted, or other failure to observe any injunction we grant herein, we hereby reserve jurisdiction for the purpose of adjudicating the appropriate sanctions for each of Respondent's post-Judgment criminal acts, enforcement of collection efforts, and additional sanctions for contempt in the event of non-payment or post-judgment threats against Claimants.

RESPONDENTS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY


Luciana Constantino


Angela Plaster


Michael Plaster

Executed by us as Members of this Ohio Circuit Court of Record and as servants of Almighty God on the date indicated hereinabove without UNITED STATES and without STATE OF OHIO, their affiliates, subsidiaries, and parent corporations.