

Filed of Record

Jun 14 2026

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Katherine Hine, *sui juris*)
On behalf of herself and other
Co-owners of certain private property
Claimants,

) Case No. 26-ROS-001

-vs-

Steven Harding, Mark Laughlin,
Dawn Hoosier, Carolyn Monroe,)
David North, David Steiner,
Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt,
Ron Meyers, James Cutright,
George Lavender, David Jeffers,)
Jeff Lehner, Richard Ward, Kathy Dunn,
John Street, Keith Washburn,
Jack Everson, Sharon Kennedy,
David Yost, Michael DeWine.)
Respondents.

PETITION FOR JUDGMENT
ON DEFAULTED CLAIMS

COMES NOW the above named Claimant, Katherine Hine, on her own behalf and on behalf of the other Claimant co-owners of certain private property land, and states as follows:

RH

1. Exhibit A attached hereto includes without limitation, true and correct copies of a series of three (3) Notices and affidavits of service and non-response as to each Respondent and his or her agents, contractors, and principals, which Notices have all been publicly posted on this Court's docket page ever since the December 12, 2025 first Notice. Taken together, all three Notices and related postings demonstrate that each Respondent was served individually or by agent at least twice and in most instances three (3) times with each of said Notices.

2. The facts set out in the Notices, all of which are supported by affidavit, demonstrate that Respondents are all acting as agents of various corporate tribunals and other corporate entities doing business under fictitious names as indicated in the December 12, 2025 Notice posted on this Court's website and duly served upon all Respondents.

3. All Respondents have failed on multiple occasions to dispute any of the verified facts stated in Claimants' Notices, as is confirmed by the Affidavits of Non Response included in Exhibit A. Respondents have all, either individually or by agent, had three (3) ample opportunities to respond but have failed to do so in any manner, despite multiple notices that failure to respond would result in a judgment against him or her.

4. Attached Exhibit A constitutes Claimant's proof beyond a reasonable doubt, that none of the Respondents denied any of the facts in the Notices and are therefore in default as defined therein, including the fact that Respondents' corporate tribunals are not Courts and have no authority.

5. The affidavits contained in Exhibit A establish that Respondents' refusals to respond to the Contract Offer contained in the Notices, created a Binding Contract between Claimants and Respondents for the breach of which Respondents agreed to be liable, monetarily and criminally, according to the facts and contract terms thus established in the initial December 12, 2025 Notice and Contract Offer, incorporated fully herein by reference and summarized as follows:

K. H.
a. On or about November 28, 2025, an agent of the Claimant members of HiRGG Properties, pma, a common law private membership association of living beings doing business and owning land according to the law of God, learned that Respondents and their agents, had, by means of statutory mail fraud, been making written threats of violence against the perceived agents of the non-existent entity known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC, using the corporate designations of 25 CI 000589 and PJR 80824000, among possible others. However, Respondents' contractors, Dunn, Jeffers, Yost, and others, had long been aware that the entity known as HiRGG PROPERTIES LLC, no longer had, if it ever did, any interest in Claimants' land, as Respondents were all fully aware because they had, years earlier, been served with Claimants' October, 2021 "NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY".

b. Respondents are attempting to steal Claimants' lands, which include, without limitation, the ones known, in addition to their metes and bounds descriptions, as 189 East Water Street, 736 East Main Street, 719 East Fourth Street, 721 East Fourth Street, 732 Madison Avenue, 412 Pohlman Road, and 282 Caldwell, all located on the private property land known as Chillicothe, Ohio.

c. There is no evidence that Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe, their agents and contractors ever paid Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn, their agents or contractors any so called "property tax" or that any such "tax", even if paid, was ever owed, or that Claimants have any obligation to those Respondents, who all continue to refuse to assert payment. Respondents are merely accomplices in a land grab hoax perpetrated against Claimants, and others.

d. Respondents admit that taxation may only be imposed by a sovereign and that they merely use the all capital versions of their names to pretend to act as agents of a mental construct known as a corporation, likewise not sovereign. Even if such tax existed, Respondents consider it to be an unapportioned *ad valorem* tax, prohibited by Article I. Section 2 Clause 3 and Article I Sec. 9 Clause 4 of their 1787 Constitution.

e. Respondents and their predecessors admittedly have a growing history of committing statutory mail fraud (18 US 1341), as has been established by Judgments entered in the

matters of *Hine v. North* <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>, *McCabe v. Arnold*, <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/McCabe-vs-Arnold-Judgment-Entry.pdf>; *Hine v. Ater*, <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>, and others.

f. All said land was originally created by Almighty God for the use of the men and women He also created, not for exploitation by those who steal, use weapons to extort, or otherwise use or threaten violence to satisfy private covetousness and corporate greed.

g. Not one of the Respondents, his or her agents, contractors, officers, or principals, currently has or has ever asserted any legal or lawful interest in the private property land known by address as stated hereinabove but created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV).

K.H.
h. Those Respondents using the all caps name to pretend to be agents of such legal fictions as CITY OF CHILICOTHE, who call themselves "police" or "sheriff" and who enforce no law, likewise have no duty to protect or serve anyone, including Claimants. This fact is a matter of record that Respondents Ater, Lavender, and Meyers had admitted over a year ago in *Hine v. Ater*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-004, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Default-Judgment-Private-Property-Ross-County.pdf> and in *Hine v. Free*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-003, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Judgment-for-Contempt.pdf>. None of Respondents including without limitation Meyers, Lavender, Ater, and Washburn, their agents, principals, and contractors have any justification for carrying firearms when acting to generate revenue for any corporate employer, regardless of whether or not said employer is considered to be part of "government".

i. None of the Claimants ever consented to any contract to pay "property tax" to any of the Respondents, their agents or principals after having first been provided disclosure of all material facts.

j. According to admissions against interest made by Respondents' predecessors and superiors contained in Article I Section 10 of the Respondents' predecessors' 1787 Constitution, Respondents, including Respondent Harding, are prohibited from collecting anything except gold and silver, not debt instruments such as Federal Reserve Notes, as payment for any claimed debt.

k. Respondents admit that between 2005 and October, 2021 they coerced Claimant Hine into paying some \$110,000.00 in UNITED STATES dollars, which sum she never owed, to Respondents Ater, Dunn, Jeffers, Lehner, their agents, contractors, or predecessors.

l. Despite their corporate affiliations and memberships in admittedly Luciferian secret societies, Respondents publicly pose as people with integrity, who swear oaths of loyalty to the people, subject to the superior authority of the most high God. However, Respondents also execute contradictory secret oaths, which include without limitation,

the Kol Nidre, the Jesuit Oath of induction, and/or various Masonic oaths. The existence of such contradictory oaths does not lawfully excuse Respondents' liabilities for common law trespass, robbery, extortion, embezzlement, assault, battery, or threats of same.

m. God created the land upon which Claimants' houses are affixed and which serve as family homes. People, not corporations, constructed, repaired, occupied and continue occupying those lands and repairing said homes.

n. Respondents are men and women who operate one or more private, for profit corporations, while posing as agents or contractors of "government", as indicated hereinabove. Respondents ignore the common law and the law of God, instead administering corporate policies known as statutes, codes, ordinances or even Constitutional provisions. Such policies are not law, just policies for corporate agents and customers. Claimants are neither. There are no provisions of said corporate policy enactments that even pretend to authorize the imposition of "property tax" against living people, including without limitation, the Claimants. All provisions of the Ohio Revised Code apply, according to their own terms, if they apply at all, *only* to legal fiction "persons", not to Claimants, who are living people.

o. When operating the corporations they claim as their principal(s), Respondents and their agents and contractors use all capital letter perversions of their own birth names and insist that others with whom they contract, do likewise. Claimants do not knowingly engage in this practice, nor are they required to do so.

p. Respondents are not specially privileged or entitled beings, nor are they even "elected officials" as there is no proof anyone in particular voted for any of them. Respondents are not anointed by the Almighty as kings or other authority, to enslave Claimants or anyone else. (Hosea 8:4) Not one of the Respondents has any ownership interest in the land belonging to Claimants.

q. Respondents turn over funds collected from taxes to finance their own crimes and those of their principals, agents, contractors, and other accomplices without the knowledge or informed consent of land owning people, including Claimants. Any use Respondents have ever made of Claimants' private property land as collateral for their corporate transactions without providing an accounting was done without the consent of Claimants, constitutes common law embezzlement and resulted in no binding Order.

r. Other criminal operations funded by so-called "taxation" include without limitation such crimes as Respondent DeWine's admitted participation in the mass poisonings involved in the 2021 health scare hoax, part of which is as set forth in the March 19, 2022 Judgment entered in *Plaster v. DeWine* <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/22-CRF-001-Judgment-Entry-1.pdf>. Respondent DeWine continues to refuse to pay said judgment. In the guise of "child protection", or "public health" Respondents also use several of their principals' affiliated corporations as a pretext for injecting children and adults with neurotoxins, and subjecting them to

X. H.

.kidnapping, forced drugging, mass poisonings, and electroshock.

s. Respondents' agents, contractors, and principals have established a pattern, custom and practice of committing common law armed assaults, batteries, kidnappings, and extortionate acts in the course of generating or attempting to generate corporate revenue under the guise of "taxation" to supposedly "protect" or "benefit" the targeted people, regardless of whether the targeted people seek or consent to such claimed "protection" or "benefit".

t. Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to even indirectly help fund acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare" or even "patriotism". Any voluntary financial participation in such schemes could subject Claimants to their own financial and criminal liabilities as accomplices. Any such participation would also constitute a mockery of the Lord God Almighty. Galatians 6:7.

u. The corporate STATE's taxation codes do not use the words man, woman, or people because corporations have no authority over living people. Only God has the ultimate sovereignty over His creations, the living people. Moreover, Respondents have to date failed to claim the existence of any corporate statute, code or ordinance that grants any of them or their principals taxing authority. Neither Respondents' OHIO REVISED CODE nor their STATE OF OHIO "Constitution" contains claimed taxing authority, only descriptions of how to collect FRN's based on groundless presumption.

v. Nowhere does the Bible document the existence of lawful authority to impose a property tax. Respondents' own superiors even admit that such power may only emanate from a sovereign. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe* 455 U.S. 130 (1982). Respondents and the corporations they allow their assigned strawmen to work for, are admittedly not sovereign. For centuries, the people's land has been reserved as an inheritance for future generations. Deuteronomy 19:14; Leviticus 25. A tax on the people's land is an unlawful attempt by those claiming to be agents of the corporate STATE to defund, dispossess, and disinherit the people, disrupt families, and make people slaves and serfs, as Respondents' predecessors have admitted it was. "The power to tax is the power to destroy." *McCulloch v. Maryland* 17 U.S. 316 (1819).

w. Taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long claimed that slavery was abolished by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and the mutual mass slaughter of some one million American people more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants. Such enslavement efforts may be punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants and other believers have been ransomed and

K. H.

redeemed by Him. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. The most high God not only prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants. He also prohibits Claimants as Christians, from becoming the slaves of men.

x. Respondents' superiors and predecessors admit that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits. Because the corporate STATE has no physical reality it can have no territorial limits. Its agents, if any, including without limitation, Respondents, have no lawful authority over land. None of the Respondents purporting to act on behalf of their legal fiction corporations, has any taxing authority.

y. Respondents have and claim no immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. People who act in groups to harm others may not immunize themselves or their accomplices. Respondents' taxation and land seizure operations are creating private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments. Respondents are also admittedly guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for any and all common law crimes against Claimants now established.


z. Respondents' superiors and predecessors have admitted that property generally is "the right and interest which a man has in lands and chattels to the exclusion of others." *Ralston Car Co. v. Ralston* 147 N.E. 513 (Ohio 1925) Claimants elect to exclude Respondents from their private property land.

WHEREFORE Claimants fully incorporate all provisions of their three Notices, including the Fee Schedule contained in the December 12, 2025 First Notice, and pray that this Court incorporate the admitted facts contained in said Notices, adopt the parties' contract resolving all matters in controversy, grant the criminal sanctions and injunctive and monetary relief addressing Respondents' maintaining of public and private nuisances, and enter Judgment accordingly.

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL AND NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

VERIFICATION

I, Katherine Hine, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am one of the Claimants above named and agent for the others, that we seek this Judgment in the holy name of Jesus Christ, the Messiah, that I have read the foregoing Petition for Judgment on Defaulted Claims and know the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true and correct.


Katherine Hine, Claimant, a living woman
and daughter of the most high God,
Outside STATE OF OHIO or any other
fictitious entity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESSES

We the undersigned witnesses, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury according to the common law of the original Ohio republic and the law of Almighty God, on this 12th day of June, 2026, that the living woman known to us as Katherine Hine, appeared before us and declared upon her oath that the statements made in this instrument, to which we saw her place her right thumb print official seal, were made of her own free will, act and deed for the purposes stated.



Witness



Witness

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER

December 12, 2025

FROM: Katherine Hine, *sui juris*, a living woman, having been found to be of full legal age, on behalf of herself and the other people who are members of the common law private membership association known as HiRGG Properties, pma;
Claimant.

TO: Steven Harding, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as STEVEN HARDING, STEVEN P. HARDING, or HARDING, STEVEN, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names, as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as GRAHAM LAW GROUP, a corporation consisting of unregistered foreign agent international BAR members, and of NAR OHIO, LLC, a subsidiary or affiliate of other corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 8216 Princeton-Glendale Road #254

West Chester, Ohio [near 45069];

sharding@graham-law-firm.com

or

[c/o] 5002 Dodge Street #203

Omaha, Nebraska [near 68132];

Mark Laughlin, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MARK LAUGHLIN and MARK C. LAUGHLIN, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names, as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as CHEDEL LAW GROUP, a corporation consisting of unregistered foreign agent international BAR members, and of NAR SOLUTIONS, INC, a subsidiary, affiliate or parent corporation of NAR OHIO, LLC and other corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 8216 Princeton-Glendale Road #254

West Chester, Ohio [near 45069];

Dawn Hoosier, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAWN HOOSIER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious name as agent. Employee, or contractor for Respondent Laughlin or his legal fiction roles,

[c/o] 8047 Blairhouse Drive

Cincinnati, Ohio [near 45244]

Carolyn Monroe, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as CAROLYN J. MONROE, among others, also acting as agent, contractor, employee, or officer of the private, for profit corporation known as OLD REPUBLIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, and other corporations, including

without limitation, NAR OHIO, LLC and NAR SOLUTIONS, INC. engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds,.

[c/o] 3000 Bayport Drive, Suite 1000
Tampa, Florida [near 33607],

David North, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID J. NORTH and DAVID J. NORTH JUNIOR, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, the latter having currently or recently been assigned Dun and Bradstreet numbers 797705480 and 797705514, among others;

[c/o] 40 East Walnut Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

David Steiner, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID STEINER and DAVID P. STEINER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as agent, principal, contractor, or officer of the private, for profit corporation known as UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, its headquarters having been assigned Dun and Bradstreet number 003261245, among others;

[c/o] 475 L'Enfant Plaza SouthWest
Washington, District of Columbia [near 20260];

Michael Ater, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MICHAEL ATER and MICHAEL M. ATER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as ROSS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business either as the corporation, COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632, the corporation, STEVEN MADDUX, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 027743374, as well as acting by means of legal fictions as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations, and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 2 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [45601];

Matthew Schmidt, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MATTHEW SCHMIDT and MATTHEW S. SCHMIDT, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as ROSS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632; the corporation, STEVEN MADDUX, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 027743374, also acting as agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632 as well as acting as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations; and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of

land grabs and statutory mail frauds;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Ron Meyers, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as RON MEYERS and RONALD MEYERS, also acting by means of such fictitious names as agent, principal, contractor, or officer of the corporation known as CHILLICOTHE POLICE DEPARTMENT, also doing business as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, having currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 949657472;
[c/o] 28 North Paint Suite B
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

James Cutright, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JAMES CUTRIGHT and JAMES K. CUTRIGHT, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations, also having acted as JAMES K. CUTRIGHT, ATTORNEY AT LAW, or CUTRIGHT & CUTRIGHT, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 838232536, formerly doing business as FIRST CAPITAL TITLE AGENCY, previously having used the Dun and Bradstreet number 111640645 but now being “out of business”, according to Dun and Bradstreet, also currently doing business as SCIOTO VALLEY TITLE AGENCY LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number: 131547247 and doing business since 9/25/12 as TREUBHCUMHACHD, LLC, being said to have a corporate identifier 2139188, as well as acting in his fictitious capacity as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO;
[c/o] 76 West Second Street
Chillicothe Ohio [near 45601];

George Lavender, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as GEORGE W. LAVENDER and GEORGE LAVENDER JR., among others, also having acted as employee, contractor, or agent of the private, for profit corporation known publicly as ROSS COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT, also doing business as COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 106397300;
[c/o] 28 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

David Jeffers, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID JEFFERS, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the entity publicly known as ROSS COUNTY TREASURER, and acting for the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, bearing Dun & Bradstreet number 089407055,
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite F,
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
djeffers07@hotmail.com,

Jeff Lehner, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JEFF LEHNER, among others, acting as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation

publicly known as ROSS COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE, as well as acting for the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, currently or recently having been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 042343389;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street Suite G
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
jefflehner@rosscountyohio.gov

Richard Ward, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as RICHARD WARD and RICHARD G. WARD, among others, acting as a principal, employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as ROSS COUNTY, OHIO but doing business as COUNTY OF ROSS, currently having Dun and Bradstreet number 781411228; as well as acting or having acted as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO and as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street Suite A
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
Or
82 Saint Andrews Boulevard
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Kathy Dunn, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as KATHY DUNN and KATHLEEN DUNN, among others, also having acted in 2021 and at other times as employee, contractor, or agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS; which at that time bore the Dun & Bradstreet Number 075033183, or a division, branch, or subsidiary of said corporation using the name ROSS COUNTY RECORDER,
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite E
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

John Street, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as JOHN STREET and JOHN B.STREET, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, and which has currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 94965747 as well as acting as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations; and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;
[c/o] 35 South Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Keith Washburn, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as KEITH WASHBURN and KEITH A. WASHBURN, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, and which has currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 94965747 as well as acting as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an

unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 95 East Main Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Jack Everson, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JACK EVERSON, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, bearing Dun & Bradstreet No. 075033183 and who does business as “executive” of said corporation, which is said to be the “Headquarters” of the corporate operation;

[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite H,
Chillicothe, Ohio [45601]

Sharon Kennedy, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as SHARON KENNEDY and SHARON L. KENNEDY, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO and its branches, divisions, affiliates, and subsidiaries;

[c/o] 65 South Front Street
Columbus, Ohio [near 43215];

David Yost, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID YOST, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the corporation or group of corporations known as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO, bearing Dun & Bradstreet No. 809031883 and/or 001211452, and its branches, divisions, affiliates, and subsidiaries;

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, 25th Floor,
Columbus, Ohio [43215];

Michael DeWine, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known known as MIKE DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL DEWINE, R. MICHAEL DEWINE, RICHARD M.DEWINE, among others, also acting as agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation currently or previously known as OHIO, STATE OF, currently or previously having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215, among others, said Respondent DeWine doing business as Governor, STATE OF OHIO and also being agent, employee, officer, or contractor of one or more of the corporations doing business as SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, currently or previously having Dun & Bradstreet number 360705099, the latter doing business as OHIO SUPREME COURT,

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street –Floor 40,
Columbus, Ohio [near 43215];

Respondents.

Corporate Reference Nos. 25000589 and PFJ-80824000

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE
TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B) CONTINUED ACTS OF TRESPASS AGAINST CLAIMANTS AND THEIR TENANTS; OR BY (C) CONTINUED THREATS OF ARMED ASSAULT; OR BY (D) COMMITTING FURTHER ACTS OF STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD; OR BY (E) MAKING ADDITIONAL EXTORTIONATE THREATS KNOWN AS LAND GRABS BASED ON NON-EXISTENT ALLEGED DEBT, OR BY (F) OTHERWISE MAINTAINING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE NUISANCES INTERFERING WITH CLAIMANTS' PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT OF THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY LANDS.

PRELIMINARY NOTICE. This document is presented with honorable, peaceful intentions, and is expressly for your benefit to provide each Respondent, his or her agents, principals and contractors, with due process per the due course of Common Law and per the process authorized by Lord Jesus Christ (Matthew 18:15-17), hereby providing each Respondent with a good faith opportunity to respond as instructed with verified facts specifically refuting the statements contained herein.

I.PREAMBLE:

A.NOTE ON MEANING OF TERMS USED HEREIN: Notwithstanding any agreement, course of dealing, or usage of trade to the contrary, the undersigned Claimant does not understand, nor is she required to understand or accept any other meaning of words in the English language other than those found in common American speech or in Webster's 1828 dictionary. Unless otherwise specified herein, terms used herein, including legal fictions, which may have particularized meanings among the people acting as agents of the corporate entities set forth hereinabove, are being used as the undersigned understands them and according to their ordinary and plain meanings and/or as defined by said Webster's dictionary. Specific terms used herein include without limitation:

(1) Usage of the term "You" or "Respondent" herein refers exclusively to a man or woman and not in his or her corporate role unless otherwise specified.

(2) Usage of the term "Claimant" herein refers to Katherine Hine and/or the other members of the non-corporate private membership association of living people known as HiRGG Properties, pma.

(3) Usage of the term One United States "Dollar" herein refers to the definition for same provided by Respondents' predecessors' Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage. Neither act has ever been repealed.

(4) Usage of the terms “United States Constitution” or U.S. Constitution herein refers to the September 17, 1787 Constitution for the united States of America or the legal fiction entity then operating on behalf of the union of said nation States.

(5) Usage of the term “land grab” herein refers to a usually swift acquisition of property often by fraud or force.

(6) The term “corporation” herein refers to a legal fiction entity created for the purpose of generating profit and shielding its shareholders, owners, agents, and contractors from individual liabilities for injury, harm, or loss caused to people by actions of such corporate agents;

(7) The term “foreclosure” herein refers to the act of depriving a mortgagor of the right of redeeming a mortgaged estate. Webster’s Dictionary (1828);

(8) The term “tax” herein refers to (a) a pecuniary burden laid upon individuals or property to support a sovereign, and/or (b) consensual annual compensation paid to government for protection, for other benefits and/or services, or for current support of government;

(9) The term “tribute” herein refers to payments made by a defeated people, or by slaves, to a conquering power;

(10) The term “direct taxes” herein refers to monetary claims which are assessed upon the property, land, person, business, or income of those who are to pay them;

(11) The term “indirect taxes” herein refers to monetary claims which are levied on commodities before they reach the consumer, and are paid by the ultimate purchaser or user, not as taxes, but as part of the market price of the commodity;

(12) The term “ad valorem” herein refers to and is translated as “according to value”;

(13) The term “proportional”, when used herein to describe a tax, refers to the concept that each taxpayer pays a tax that bears the same ratio to the amount to be raised that the value of his or her taxed asset bears to the total value of all taxpayers’ taxed assets;

(14) The term “Federal Reserve Note” or FRN, used herein, refers an unsecured debt instrument printed by agents the private, for profit corporation known as the FEDERAL RESERVE BANK or its contractors. An FRN is not a United States Dollar.

(15) The term “payment”, as of a claimed liability or debt, used herein, refers to the delivery of money or value, which then extinguishes or cancels the claimed liability or debt;

(16) The term “discharge”, as of a claimed liability or debt, used herein, refers to

replacing the claimed liability or debt with a different form of satisfaction, including without limitation the tender of debt instruments, which the creditor accepts as tender;

(17) The term “allodial” used herein refers to and means "free; not beholden to any lord or superior; owned without obligation...; the opposite of feudal." Black's Law Dictionary (6th);

(18) The term “mail fraud” used herein refers to a violation of a corporate policy enactment including without limitation the following from “UNITED STATES CODE”:
(a) use of the mail to send false property tax bills (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1341); or (b) creation of fictitious obligations and presenting them as bills (18 U.S.C. Sec.514); or (c) creation of a counterfeit security by placing property on a tax roll when no such tax is owed (18 U.S. C. Sec. 513);

(19) The term “strawman” used herein refers to the name of a front, or third party that is used only to take part in a transaction, a nominal party said to act as agent for another for the purposes of executing documents that purport to transfer title to real property according to the directives of the principal, also includes the name of a legal fiction person used to purchase property for another, to conceal the identity of the real purchaser or to accomplish some purpose otherwise not allowed, usually designated by means of the non-standard usage of all capital names. Based on Black's Law Dictionary (6th Ed);

B. AGREEMENT & WAIVER OF RIGHTS: If any Respondent agrees with all of the statements contained in the within Notice, he or she need not respond. Respondent's silence will constitute acceptance of all of the terms, statements and provisions hereunder as his or her complete understanding of and agreement with the Claimant as to any and all rights, remedies and defenses of protest, objection, rebuttal, argument, appeal and controversy for all time. Respondents agree that his or her silence or his or her commission of specified acts, constitutes his or her knowing and voluntary grant of consent to the facts specified, following full prior disclosure of all material facts, and acceptance of the contract offer herein made in settlement of all matters finally and forever, and cannot be withdrawn.

C. DISAGREEMENT & FAILURE TO RESPOND: Respondents may disagree with any of the terms of the Notice by providing a verified rebuttal of any disputed fact or by stating a verified claim with particularity. Each Respondent and the undersigned Claimant agree that a response which is not verified, or a response from a third party agent lacking first-hand knowledge of the facts, will constitute that Respondent's “failure to respond” as defined herein. If a Respondent fails to respond or state a claim in the manner herein indicated by the indicated Effective Date, the facts and law stated within this Notice will become binding and fully enforceable in any Court that Claimant may select which applies Biblical or common law principles according to Court members' understanding of the supreme law of Almighty God.

D.OFFER OF IMMUNITY—STATING A CLAIM: Any Respondent may avoid all liability and obligations under this Notice by simply responding no later than by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the Effective Date, said Response supported by an Affidavit signed by said Respondent or anyone else with personal knowledge of the facts contained therein, signed in the presence of two witnesses and which disputes any of the facts contained herein or which proves any claim that such Respondent man or woman may have against any Claimant. The statement must be sworn to be true, be witnessed by at least two living people, and be supported by certified factual evidence and verified proof. Alternatively, Respondent may respond with a point by point, fact by fact rebuttal of the Notice, sworn to be true by a man or woman with actual personal knowledge of the facts, and to which he or she attaches certified factual evidence. In the event any Respondent declines this good faith Offer of Immunity, Respondent agrees with all terms, facts, statements and provisions in this Notice and any obligations created hereunder.

E.TERMS OF RESPONSE: Respondent may rebut the statements and claims in the Notice herein by executing a verified response, point by point, fact by fact, with evidence that is certified to be true and in affidavit form, correct and complete, to be received by Oxana Khramova at the address indicated hereinbelow, no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the Effective Date.

F. FAILURE TO RESPOND: The term “failure to respond” means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice or the providing of a “response” that meets the definition of being an “insufficient response” as that term is defined hereinbelow. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice and an acceptance of the contract offer contained herein.

G. INSUFFICIENCY OF RESPONSE: The terms “insufficiency of response” and “insufficient response” are defined to refer to a response which is received by the Effective Date but which fails to specifically rebut, line by line, any of the established terms, provisions, statements or claims in the Notice, or offers blanket denials, unsupported rebuttals, inapposite rebuttals such as “not applicable” or equivalent statements, declarations of counsel and or other third parties who lack first-hand material factual knowledge, and/or any rebuttal which lacks verification or an equivalent level of risk or fails to exhibit supportive evidence certified to be true, correct and complete under full commercial liability and with due regard for penalties for perjury. Respondents agree that any such response is deemed to be legally and lawfully insufficient to rebut the established statements in the verified Notice, thereby conveying Respondent’s agreement with all of the terms and provisions of this Notice.

H. TACIT AGREEMENT: Respondents may admit to all statements and claims in the Notice by simply remaining silent. The parties herein agree that failure to respond or insufficiency of response as defined herein constitutes agreement with all terms, provisions, statements, facts and claims in the Notice. When circumstances impose a duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation.

Any Respondent may also consent to the terms of the within Notice, accept the contract offer contained herein, and then be deemed to have admitted to all statements and claims in this Notice by his or her conduct, as specified hereinabove in the bold, capitalized section just prior to the Preliminary Notice.

I.EFFECTIVE DATE/RESPONSE: Response must be received by the effective date, which is ten (10) days from the date of receipt of this notice. **Response must be by UNITED STATES POST OFFICE certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:**

Oxana Khramova
[c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue North
Menomonie, Wisconsin [near 54751].

II. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO RESPOND

A. FAILURE TO RESPOND DEFINED: The term “failure to respond” means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice as instructed or that his or her attempted response was “insufficient” as that term is defined hereinabove. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with the content of all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

B. SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT: Upon any Respondent’s failure to respond to the contract offer contained in this Notice, his or her silence or commission of any of the specific types of conduct set forth hereinabove, constitutes an acceptance of Claimants’ offer contained herein. The consequent Contract thus created establishes the facts and terms resolving all matters in controversy, becoming instantly self-executing. Respondents agree to be bound by all terms of the Contract commencing on date of default.

C. NOTICE OF DEFAULT: Claimants acknowledge that Respondents are entitled to a Notice of Default. In consideration, each Respondent agrees that such Notice of Default would be his or her final opportunity to explain prior refusals to respond and to agree with or to specifically rebut all terms, statements, facts and provisions contained in the Contract offer included herein. Since Judgment is issued when a party waives the right to respond, all parties to this Agreement agree to be bound in perpetuity by any and all such Judgments which may be issued regarding the Contract and/or adopting all of its terms.

D. BINDING JUDGMENT: Any Respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the Contract thereby created may be entered by any common law or other Court of record applying and complying with the law of Almighty God, at Claimant’s election. Any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any corporate tribunal or common law court. Claimants may elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it through any enforcement agent or agents of their choosing. Any Respondent who fails to respond as defined herein

agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or Court assembled according to God's law or against any man or woman who may participate in the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

III. ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANT'S LAND, ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO AID AND ABET IN EXTORTION, THIEVERY, TRESPASS AND/OR MAINTENANCE OF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE NUISANCES

A. SUMMARY OF FACTS

(1) On or about November 28, 2025, an agent of the Claimant members of HiRGG Properties, pma, a common law private membership association doing business according to the law of God, learned that agents of Respondents Harding, Monroe, Ater, North and Steiner had been engaging, via statutory mail fraud in November 21, 2025 written threats involving fraud, extortion and armed assault. Said threats of violence bear the labels 25 CI 000589, PJR 80824000, among possible others. Agents of Respondents North and Steiner had been attempting to deliver said land grab threats to the non-existent HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC, although neither Respondents nor Claimants have any interest in said legal fiction entity. Instead, Respondents attempted to interfere with the contractual relationships between the members of HiRGG Properties, pma and its tenants by trespassing onto Claimants' lands. Said threats are and were part of a corporate revenue generation scheme being operated by all of the above named Respondents, their agents, and contractors.

(2) The Respondents' land grab scheme against Claimants is based on the false theory that at least some of said Respondents, including without limitation Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn and Ater, their agents and contractors, can use force and threats of same to portray themselves as having authority to impose non-consensual and unverified debt upon the people who live and own various parts of the land mass referred to as Ross County, Ohio. Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and Monroe, their agents and contractors are engaged in a variation on the land grab theme in which they or one of their accomplices pays or claims to have paid a so called "property tax" such as the ones falsely alleged by Jeffers, Lehner and their agents or contractors. Jeffers', Lehner's, Dunn's, and/or Ater's agents or contractors then create bookkeeping entries giving the appearance that some sort of tax "debt", although never owed by Claimants, was paid by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and/or Monroe.

(3) The above described tactics are then used to falsely assert that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC is indebted to the legal fictions being operated by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and/or Monroe or their agents or contractors..

(4) Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn, Ater, Schmidt, their predecessors, agents, and contractors implement the notion of property taxation in defiance of the 1787 Constitution, to which they have sworn allegiance, which prohibits *ad valorem* taxation, because it is a direct tax that is required to be apportioned. Respondents lack any proof that whatever scheme of so called taxation they have devised would result in an apportioned tax. Respondent Lehner has publicly admitted that the so called "tax" is an *ad valorem* tax. Said form of taxation is prohibited according to Article I. Section 2 Clause 3 and Article I Sec. 9 Clause 4 of Respondents' 1787 Constitution because such taxation is never apportioned. Those Respondents acting as tax collectors or imposers, then contract with accomplices, in this case, Respondents Harding, Laughlin and Monroe, or their agents, to pay or allege that they have paid "property taxes" falsely attributed to Claimants.

(5) Respondent Harding's November 21, 2025 threat, which he communicated to agents of Respondent Ater, among others, contains an illegible scrawl instead of a signature and must therefore be considered to be unsigned since it serves no purpose of identifying the signer.

(6) Respondent Harding's most recent threat is part of an extortion scheme in which all Respondents, their agents, predecessors, contractors and corporate superiors are engaged and which constitutes a public and private nuisance by interfering with Claimants' peaceful enjoyment of their private property land.

(7) It is a matter of public record and common knowledge that Respondent Steiner's predecessor, Douglas Tulino, has on at least three occasions formally admitted his participation in acts of mail fraud against Claimants for his participation in delivering mail threats near 189 East Water Street REAR, Chillicothe, Ohio, per the pending matter of *Hine v. North*, posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>.

(8) It is also a matter of public record, common knowledge, and admitted that Respondent North's predecessor, Michelle Arnold, has been found monetarily and criminally liable twice for her participation in acts of admitted mail fraud against Claimants at the same location where Respondents North and Steiner had Respondent Harding's November 2025 threats delivered. Delivering mail from legal fictions to other legal fictions, instead of delivering from and to living people, including without limitation, Claimants, is a repetition of the earlier mail frauds previously adjudicated by the Ohio Circuit Court of Record in the matters of *McCabe v. Arnold* and *Hine v. Ater*, both judgments having been publicly posted at <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>.

(9) All Respondents, their agents, contractors and principals, have actual and constructive knowledge that the three matters referenced as to Respondents North and Steiner and their predecessors in paragraphs I(7) and (8) hereinabove all involved Respondents' communications of written threats of violence accompanied by acts of trespass onto land.

(10) Respondent Harding has been directing his November, 2025 allegations against “HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC”, a legal fiction having no tangible existence and which is not the owner of any of the private property land owned by Claimants, the people who are members of HiRGG Properties, pma.

(11) In October, 2021, Respondents’ agents and superiors, including without limitation, Respondents Dunn, Jeffers, Yost, and others, were served with a “NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY” informing them that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC no longer had any interest, if it ever had, in a group of private property lands owned by Claimants, which included, without limitation, the ones known, in addition to their metes and bounds descriptions, as 189 East Water Street, 736 East Main Street, 732 East Fourth Street, 721 East Fourth Street, 732 Madison Avenue, 412 Pohlman Road, and 282 Caldwell, all located on the private property land known as Chillicothe, Ohio. All said land was originally created by Almighty God for the use of the men and women He also created, not for exploitation by those who steal, use weapons to extort, or otherwise use or threaten violence to satisfy private covetousness and corporate greed.

(12) Not one of the Respondents, his or her agents, contractors, officers, or principals, currently has or has ever had any legal or lawful interest in the private property land known by address as stated hereinabove.

(13) Agents of such legal fictions as CITY OF CHILICOTHE who call themselves “police” or “sheriff” and who enforce no law, likewise have no duty to protect or serve anyone, including Claimants. This fact is a matter of record that Respondents Ater, Lavender, and Meyers admitted over a year ago in *Hine v. Ater*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-004, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Default-Judgment-Private-Property-Ross-County.pdf> and earlier this year in *Hine v. Free*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-003, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Judgment-for-Contempt.pdf>. None of Respondents including without limitation Meyers, Lavender, Ater, or Washburn, their agents, and contractors have any justification for carrying firearms when committing acts of extortion or otherwise acting for the revenue generation interests of any corporate employer, regardless of whether or not said employer is considered to be part of “government”.

(14) Claimant hereby adopts each and every finding of fact already determined against Respondents Street, Meyers, Washburn, through his predecessor Toni Eddy, and the people acting as agents or contractors of the fictitious CITY OF CHILICOTHE, all of which findings are contained in the Judgment Entries publicly recorded in *Hine v. Ater* and *Hine v. Free*, after full due process opportunities to refute same, and which findings, with supporting documents, are set forth in the docket section of www.occr2021.com.

(15) Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals have all committed acts interfering with Claimants' use or enjoyment of their private property lands above

referenced. Yet Respondent Monroe and her agents and contractors refer to the land grabs and mail frauds in which they engage, as "technology and strategic growth".

(16) Respondents North, Steiner, Meyers, Lavender and their agents, aid and abet in the land grabs initiated by Respondents Harding, his agents, contractors, and principals by trespassing on the private property lands of the people who own HiRGG Properties, pma. Respondents' said actions lack any authority even from their own private corporate code enforcers and constitute act of mail fraud in violation of their superiors' corporate statute known as 18 U.S. C. Sec. 1341.

(17) BAR member Respondents Ater, Schmidt, Street, and Washburn, their agents and contractors, generate documents that are used to foment more direct acts of violence by Respondents Meyers, Lavender, and their agents. Said BAR member Respondents either provide signatures by their own hands or purport to provide them by means of electronically generated so-called signatures, aka robo signatures, on documents created by their own efforts, by their agents or by BAR member Respondents including without limitation, Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe, their agents or contractors.

(18) None of the Claimants ever consented to any contract to pay "property tax" to any of the Respondents, their agents or principals and were likewise never provided any prior disclosure to Claimants of all material facts.

(19) None of Respondents have ever provided verification of any debt any of them or their agents, contractors, or principals may allege is owed to them.

(20) According to admissions against interest made by Respondents' predecessors and superiors contained in Article I Section 10 of the Cabal's 1787 Constitution, Respondents, including Respondent Harding, are prohibited from collecting anything except gold and silver, not debt instruments such as Federal Reserve Notes, as payment for any claimed debt.

(21) Respondents Dunn, Lehner, and Jeffers operate a corporate recording service for those agreeing to act as legal fiction "persons" and in which Respondents falsely refer to the people's land as "real estate", using corporate "parcel numbers", and other corporate terms. Prior to October, 2021, any presumed "consent" by Claimants or any of them, to "record" whatever interests, if any, that the legal fiction entity known as HIRGG PROPERTIES, LLC ever had in Claimants' private property land, was meaningless because a non-existent legal fiction corporation can own no interest in private property land. Since October, 2021, Claimants have had no connection to or interest in the fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC and provided hand delivered, formal written Notice of that fact to Respondents in October, 2021.

(22) On or about October 9, 2021, following some sixteen years of open, continuous and exclusive use of their lands, Claimants transferred all their right, title and interest in the private property lands previously described as being in the name of HiRGG

PROPERTIES LLC to HiRGG Properties, pma. Claimants then caused hand delivery of formal written Notice of said transfer to Respondents Dunn, Ward and Yost by Respondents' predecessors Byers and Spetnagle in person or by agent, and to a surviving agent of Respondents' predecessor, James Caldwell. That Notice informed Respondents and their predecessors that the new transfer documents would not be recorded or registered with the private corporate records of Respondents or their predecessors. None of the recipients of such October, 2021 Notice responded, except that Respondent Yost acknowledged receipt of same in writing.

(23) Besides being meaningless and based on false presumptions, any attempts by Claimants or Respondent Cutright to record any corporatized perversions of Claimant Hine's interests in private property land with Respondent Dunn's private corporate record keeping service and any associated payments in connection therewith were induced by (a) active concealment of the corporate nature of Respondents' principals, (b) false statements by Respondents and their agents to the effect that private transfers of interests in land between people were somehow prohibited in the absence of recording, and (c) threats of violent retaliations, such as those currently being expressed by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe and Ater and previously implied by Respondents Cutright and Dunn. As a result, between 2005 and October, 2021 Claimant Hine was coerced into paying some \$110,000 she never owed to Respondents Ater, Dunn, Jeffers, Lehner, and their agents, contractors, and predecessors.

(24) Respondents have never contributed any value or benefit whatever to Claimants or their private property land, whether while acting in said Respondents' various roles as legal fiction persons and fictitious "agents" of COUNTY OF ROSS, or otherwise..

(25) Despite their corporate affiliations and memberships in admittedly Luciferean secret societies, Respondents publicly pose as people with integrity subject to the superior authority of the most high God. None can say they do not know. Hebrews 8:10.

(26) God created the land upon which Claimants' houses are affixed and which serve as family homes. People, not corporations, constructed, repaired and continued repairing said homes.

(27) None of the Respondents have a legal or lawful interest in Claimants' private property land and fixtures created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV).

B. FACTS *RE* RESPONDENTS' IDENTITIES, POLICIES AND PRACTICES

(1) Respondents are men and women who operate one or more private, for profit corporations, while posing as agents or contractors of "government", as indicated hereinabove. Respondents ignore the common law and the law of God, instead administering corporate policies known as statutes, codes, ordinances or even Constitutional provisions. Such policies are not law, just policies for corporate agents and

customers. Claimants are neither. There are no provisions of said corporate policy enactments that even pretend to authorize the imposition of “property tax” against living people, including without limitation, the Claimants. All provisions of the Ohio Revised Code apply, according to their own terms, if they apply at all, *only* to legal fiction “persons”, not to Claimants, who are living people.

(2) When operating the corporations they claim as their principal(s), Respondents and their agents and contractors use all capital letter perversions of their own birth names and insist that others with whom they contract, do likewise. Claimants do not knowingly engage in this practice, nor are they required to do so.

(3) Respondents are not specially privileged or entitled beings. Respondents derive no divine rights as kings or other authority from the Almighty, to enslave Claimants or anyone else. Psalms 82. Respondents as individual people have no claim or ownership interest in the land belonging to Claimants. Yet Respondents propose to take it by force, causing injury or even death to Claimants and their associates at such time as Claimants exercise their God given and common law rights to defend said private property land belonging to them and not to Respondents.

(4) Respondents portray themselves as being the legal fiction roles they play, and as “elected officials” or as agents of “elected officials”. Such designations are false and misleading, in part because of Respondents’ policy of secret voting. There is no evidence that any particular man or woman, acting as a legal fiction “registered voter” person, ever voted for any of said Respondents or their superiors. Without specific evidence that any man or woman openly, and with full accountability as a man or woman or even as “registered voter”, cast his or her vote for any of Respondents or their superiors, there can be no evidence that anyone in particular voted for any of the Respondents or for his or her superiors. Claimants are not registered voters and have not thereby or otherwise consented to be guarantors of Respondents or their legal fiction entities.

(5) Some of the Respondents are required by their corporate policy enactments to swear oaths of office. Some of these oaths are missing. Others of the Respondents’ “oaths of office” are to the corporate STATE, not to the people. Some of the Respondents take oaths to other organizations that specifically and directly negate their oaths of office, if any. Said contradictory oaths include without limitation, the Kol Nidre, the Jesuit Oath of induction, and/or various Masonic oaths. The existence of such contradictory oaths do not lawfully excuse Respondents’ liabilities for common law trespass, robbery, extortion, embezzlement, battery, or threats of same. Besides contradicting Respondents’ oaths of office, if any, the Freemasonry and Jesuit oaths are inconsistent with the law of God, and express the expectation that members will treat other members with partiality. The dogmas of such secret societies influence corporate policies of Respondents’ principals.

(6) Respondents use the Federal Reserve Notes they demand from the people in the form of “taxes” without the knowledge or consent of Claimants or that of other land owners. Most if not all of said FRN’s are admittedly used to finance inefficiency, waste and

interest on Respondents' superiors' debt. Respondents use or turn over funds collected from taxes to those who use those funds without the knowledge or informed consent of land owning people. Respondents use their own records of the corporatized descriptions of the people's lands as pledged paper collateral for Respondents' own debts and investments with affiliated corporations, also without the land owners' informed consent. Respondents then provide no accounting for such appropriations of the people's private property lands. Any use Respondents have ever made of Claimants' private property land as collateral for their corporate transactions without providing an accounting was done without the consent of Claimants and constitutes common law embezzlement.

(7) The spending practices of Respondents, their principals and superiors have created debt that has gone beyond being unserviceable, i.e. having interest payments incapable of being consistently maintained. Respondents, their principals and superiors are being charged usurious interest without the informed consent of Claimants or of other land owners. None of Respondents' superiors' debts or investments were incurred by Claimants, with Claimants' consent, or for Claimants' benefit.

(8) Respondents' agents, contractors, and principals have established a pattern, custom and practice of committing common law armed assaults, batteries and extortionate acts in the course of generating or attempting to generate corporate revenue. Respondents claim Federal Reserve Notes and private property land from targeted landowners for the stated purpose of using the funds thus extorted allegedly to "protect" or "benefit" the targeted people, regardless of whether the targeted people seek or consent to such claimed "protection" or "benefit".

(9) One of the ways Respondents generate revenue for their corporate principals, is through the extortion of credits called Federal Reserve Notes from the people by means of Respondents' "taxation" schemes. Other sources of revenue come from Respondents' Court Registry Investment System maintained by the BAR member Respondents. Yet other sources of revenue include without limitation, such operations as Respondent DeWine's admitted participation in the mass poisonings involved in the health scare hoax as set forth in the March 19, 2022 Judgment entered in *Plaster v. DeWine* <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/22-CRF-001-Judgment-Entry-1.pdf>. Respondent DeWine continues to refuse to pay said judgment. In the guise of "child protection", or "public health" Respondents also use several of their principals' affiliated corporations to inject children and adults with neurotoxins, and subject them to kidnapping, forced drugging and electroshock.

(10) Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to even indirectly help fund acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare". Any voluntary financial participation in such schemes could subject Claimants to their own financial and criminal liabilities as accomplices. Any such participation would also constitute a mockery of the Lord God Almighty. Galatians 6:7.

(11) Respondents have no lawful authority to coerce Claimants to submit to threats of armed robbery, under the guise of “taxation”, or to financially support or to become unwilling accomplices to any of Respondents’ crimes against others.

C. RESPONDENTS LACK AUTHORITY TO “TAX”

(1) Respondents’ corporate superiors have admitted that taxation is a power that can only lawfully originate from a sovereign. Neither Respondents nor their principals or superiors are sovereigns when acting on behalf of any legal fiction. Respondents’ superiors and predecessors have long admitted that it is the people who are the sovereigns, sovereigns without subjects, and that it is the people who are the source of all earthly law, not those acting as legal fiction persons. Instead of paying taxes to a fictitious entity or its so called agents, Claimants have chosen to honor God by offering Him the first fruits from the land over which He gave them dominion. Genesis 1:26; Deuteronomy 26. All people are prohibited from worshipping God while also worshipping corporate idols.

(2) Respondents, as well as their agents, employees, contractors, and principals, when acting in their roles as legal fictions, are not sovereign. When acting as God-created men and women Respondents may have sovereignty over their own lives but not over the lives of others. To presume otherwise would enable unlawful and illegal attempts to enslave others, including Claimants, who are also individually sovereign. No legal fiction corporation or person has any existence in reality except as a mental construct, and therefore could not possibly have sovereignty over Claimants as creations of the Almighty God. Respondents, their agents, employees, contractors and principals create no authority to do anything merely by using legal fiction labels, costumes, or badges. Such trappings of Respondents’ corporate status provide no immunity for their acts of extortion, trespass, or armed robbery against people.

(3) Respondents’ predecessors long ago admitted that the people of the original Ohio territory were entitled to a republican form of government, i.e. a government by consent. 1802 Enabling Act. God also originally required the people to be self-governing and not governed by representation. Genesis 1:26. Claimants are bound only by the common law and, ultimately, the law of God. Both common law and God’s law are higher authorities than corporate policies. Neither recognizes the phenomenon of tax liens. Even Respondents’ superiors presume said “liens” to be unlawful. *Cassidy v Aroostook*, 134 Me. 34(1936).

(4) The corporate STATE’s taxation codes do not use the words man, woman, or people because corporations have no authority over living people. Only God has authority over His creations, the living people. Moreover, Respondents have to date failed to claim the existence of any corporate statute, code or ordinance that grants any of them or their principals taxing authority. Neither Respondents’ OHIO REVISED CODE nor their STATE OF OHIO “Constitution” contains claimed taxing authority, only descriptions of how to collect FRN’s based on groundless presumption.

(5) The true sovereign over the people and over the lands He created is and continues to be the most high God, not a man or woman acting in the role of a king or Pharaoh, and not a group of apparent extortionists and embezzlers such as Respondents. Not one of the Respondents nor any of his or her agents or principals is sovereign when acting on behalf of one or more legal fiction corporations.

(6) Nowhere does the Bible document the existence of lawful authority to impose a property tax. For centuries, the people's land has been reserved as an inheritance for future generations. Deuteronomy 19:14; Leviticus 25. A tax on the people's land is an attempt by agents of the corporate STATE to defund, dispossess, and disinherit the people, disrupt families, and make people slaves and serfs, as Respondents' predecessors have admitted it was. "The power to tax is the power to destroy." *McCulloch v. Maryland* 17 U.S. 316 (1819).

(7) Taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long claimed that slavery was abolished by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and the battlefield deaths of some one million American men more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants. Such enslavement efforts may be punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants and other believers have been ransomed and redeemed by Him. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. The most high God not only prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants. He also prohibits Claimants as Christians, from becoming the slaves of men. Claimants belong to the Messiah, Jesus Christ, the son of God. Claimants cannot lawfully be subjugated to any incorporeal or imaginary entity known as the corporate STATE. The notion that taxation, more properly called tribute, may be imposed on a conquered people is merely a way of justifying Respondents' tortious and criminal acts. Claimants reject Respondents' efforts to attack the fictions of straw they create for unconquered people such as Claimants.

(8) Respondents seek to enslave Claimants and reduce them to serfdom. In 1 Samuel 8:14-15, God warned the people about the misery that anyone acting as a king would bring to the people in the form of taxation.

(9) Claimants' land is not occupied territory. Claimants are likewise not subject to any corporate policies of agents of any entities Respondents' superiors or predecessors created pursuant to the December 9, 1945 International Organization Immunities Act, or otherwise.

(10) Respondents have no claim to immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. People who act in groups to harm others may not immunize their accomplices. Respondents' taxation and land seizure operations

are creating private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments. At such time as the facts set forth herein are admitted by default or conduct as set forth hereinabove, Respondents may also be found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for any or all common law crimes against Claimants so established.

(11) It is a settled principle that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits. Because corporations have no physical reality they can have no territorial limits. Their agents, including without limitation, Respondents, have no lawful authority over land. None of the Respondents purporting to act on behalf of their legal fiction corporations, has any taxing authority.

(12) Neither Claimants nor the strawman version of their names are in contract with the corporate "COUNTY OF ROSS", "CITY OF CHILLICOTHE", "STATE OF OHIO", or any of their parent or affiliate corporations. Claimants are not bound by nor have they consented to Respondents' unilateral corporate categorizations, if any, of their private property land. No such corporate classification policies justify Respondents' efforts to rob Claimants of their private property land.

(13) Respondents' superiors and predecessors have admitted that property generally is "the right and interest which a man has in lands and chattels to the exclusion of others." *Ralston Car Co. v. Ralston* 147 N.E. 513 (Ohio 1925) Claimants elect to exclude Respondents from their private property land.

D. RESPONDENTS HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO ACT AS COURTS

(1) Common law is a superior form of mankind's authority derived from God. Respondents' predecessors also admitted that "The inhabitants of the [Ohio] territory shall always be entitled to the benefits . . . of judicial proceedings according to the course of the common law." NW Ordinance, Article II. As corporations, Respondents' tribunals do not meet their own definition of being courts because they are not operated by the sovereign. Black's 4th. Such entities are not courts of record because they admittedly administer no common law or the law of God. A corporation governs only its agents and customers. Claimants are neither.

(2) Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Street, Yost, DeWine, Kennedy, Ward, and Washburn, along with their fellow BAR member agents and contractors, when acting in their roles as corporate agents, in furtherance of acts of theft, extortion, robbery, kidnapping, embezzlement and murder committed in the corporate name, regularly conduct or participate in corporate proceedings that meet the definition of being a "sham" according to their own corporate policy enactments, e.g. Ohio Revised Code Section. 2951.52.

(3) Any so-called process produced by Respondents' corporate proceedings is void *ab initio*. *The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia*, 6 L. Ed. [9 Wheat] 244

(1824). No corporation doing business administering corporate policy has any authority whatever to operate as lawful government and is not a court. *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363-371 (1943).

(4) The Thirteenth amendment to Respondents' 1787 UNITED STATES Constitution, ratified in Ohio in January, 1811 and by agents of all required States by 1824, prohibits Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Ward, Street, Yost, DeWine, Washburn or any other BAR member who has received or retained such emoluments as the title of attorney at law or esquire, from holding any office of public trust. Any purported acts they have performed to date or intend to perform, including the creation of corporate "court orders" are therefore void *ab initio* and of no effect.

(5) None of Respondents' corporate policy enactments, which they call statutes, codes, and Constitutions, apply, by their own terms, to living men or women. Such policy statements coming from corporate legislatures or "Congress" and never use the terms man or woman because a corporation has no authority over men or women, who are created by God Almighty, not by corporate agents.

(6) **PUBLIC NOTICE:** By continuing their efforts to steal Claimants' land, Respondents are creating a public nuisance not only for Claimants and other land owners similarly targeted but also for potential purchasers who Respondents deceive with false representations as to the *bona fide* nature of said transactions. This Notice is also public notice that any man or woman who attempts to purchase Claimants' land in the event that Respondents hypothetically succeed in stealing it, will not be considered a *bona fide* purchaser. Claimants and their tenants have common law as well as statutory and Godly authority to use deadly force in defense of their lands and their homes.

F. CRIMINAL AND INJUNCTIVE LIABILITIES OF RESPONDENTS FOR USE OF WEAPONS TO ASSAULT, BATTER, EXTORT, AND MURDER

(1) The mere existence of the corporations for which Respondents claim to act constitutes an admission that Respondents are operating one or more criminal enterprises. A corporation by definition and in practice is a mental construct based on the theory that its agents, principals, owners, and contractors, while acting in their legal fiction roles, may harm others without incurring individual liabilities therefor.

(2) According to so-called "caselaw" from the highest levels of Respondents' corporate structure, not one of them has any legal duty to protect or serve the people of the original Ohio republic. As corporate agents, Respondents' only duty is to generate revenue.

(3) In the absence of any duty to protect or serve, Respondents, their agents, contractors, principals have no lawful purpose in carrying weapons while acting for the sole purpose of generating corporate revenue. When acting as living people and not as corporate agents, Respondent may have a God given right to defend themselves with arms, just as Claimants do. Nevertheless Respondents currently propose to commit an armed robbery

against Claimants and their land. Respondents' customs and practices corroborate the fact that they use weapons while acting as corporate agents when they commit robberies, kidnaps, and the extortion or seizure by force of private property not belonging to them. Such acts are in defiance of God's Commandments set forth at Exodus 20:15,17, for which the punishment may include death: "And whosoever will not do the Law of thy God, and the King's law, let him have judgment without delay, whether it be unto death, or to banishment, or to confiscation of goods, or to imprisonment." Ezra 7:26: (Geneva Bible); Deuteronomy 17:2-5; 13:6, 9. God and those who assemble to worship Him will not be mocked. 1 Samuel 2:25, 29-32.

(4) Respondents have obligated themselves to work for the financial interests of their corporate principals and parent corporations, regardless of conflict both with common law and with God's law. "No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon". Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 6:24. Respondents' said undertaking in no way obligates Claimants to aid and abet in it. Nor does it absolve any of Respondents from personal liabilities incurred while serving the corporate entity.

IV. POTENTIAL INJUNCTIVE, MONETARY, AND CRIMINAL LIABILITIES WITH FEE SCHEDULE

It is understood that the within Fee Schedule applies to all Respondents as living men and women, not as corporate actors.

A. INJUNCTIVE LIABILITIES: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment, Respondents shall be enjoined from making further threats against Claimants and their tenants, from carrying firearms or other weapons of any type, committing further acts of statutory mail fraud, maintaining public or private nuisances, or committing common law armed trespass, extortion or robbery against any of the Claimants, their agents, guests, or tenants. Respondents to be further enjoined from causing cause injury, harm, or loss to any man or woman enforcing any contract between Claimants and Respondents or any Judgment of any Court of record.

B. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR CONTINUING HARM: Claimants have been harmed by each Respondent to date in the sum of \$500 per day since November 28, 2025, the date Claimants became aware of Respondents' acts of statutory mail fraud, common law armed trespass and extortion. Each Respondent shall be individually liable for the daily sum of \$500.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be calculated as of the date of any finding or judgment of liability and due and owing by each Respondent, their agents and principals individually.

C. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR PAST HARM: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment that Respondents or any of them have committed acts of maintaining public and private nuisances, common law trespass, extortion, assault, battery, robbery, conversion, or embezzlement against Claimants, the parties agree that Claimants are entitled to

judgment in the further sum of \$110,000.00 United States Dollars plus a reasonable daily sum for the loss of use of payments that Respondents unlawfully coerced from Claimant Hine between 2005 and 2021. Said monetary judgment shall be against each Respondent jointly and severally.

D. CRIMINAL SANCTIONS: Upon three defaults, the facts established by the contract or judgment as set forth by means of the procedures described hereinabove, will have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt as well as by a preponderance of the evidence. In the event that all Respondents cease and desist in their attacks and threats against Claimants, such fact may be considered in suspending the imposition of criminal penalties as to some of said Respondents.

E. FAILURE TO PAY: In the case of failure to pay any fees or Judgment within thirty (30) days of presentment of any such Bill or Judgment, Respondents each agree that his or her property wherever situated is subject to lien, including judgment liens, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

F. RECOUPMENT OF LIABILITY: Respondents are prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or "constituents".

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL AND NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Katherine Hine
Katherine Hine, Claimant, a living woman and daughter of the most high God, Outside STATE OF OHIO or any other fictitious entity.

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESSES

We the undersigned witnesses, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury according to the common law of the original Ohio republic and the law of Almighty God, on this 12th day of December, 2025, that the living woman known to us as Katherine Hine, appeared before us, on the land mass known as Uruguay, and declared upon her oath that the statements made in this instrument, to which we saw her place her right thumb print official seal, were made of her own free will, act and deed for the purposes stated hereinabove.

[Signature]
Witness
12/12/25

[Signature]
Witness
12/12/25

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF DECEMBER 12, 2025 "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER "

January 18 2026

The undersigned Kimberly Jones, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Maricopa County, Arizona, and having come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine or any entity with which she may be associated.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. On December 16, 2025 each of the Respondents, as indicated, were sent an email from the Ohio Circuit Court of Record informing each individually or by agent that the December 12, 2025 Notice in the *Hine v. Harding* matter was posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/> :

Steven Harding -sharding@graham-law-firm.com

David North -mailman45601@gmail.com

David Steiner -David.steiner@usps.gov

Ron Meyers -ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov

Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Loughlin

Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or principal, Mark Loughlin

Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent

jordanwheeler@rosscountyohiocourts.gov

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

James Cutright -jkcuthright@cutrightlaw.com

David Yost at contact@daveyost.com

Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov

David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com, treasurer@rosscountyohio.com

Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov

George Lavender -g.lavender@rosssheriff.com or info@rosscountyohio.gov or by agent David Yost

Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyohio.gov.

5. Each acknowledged receipt on December 19, 2025 by an agent using the email known as corporateoverlord@tutamail.com. A copy of said acknowledgement is attached hereto.

6. As of the date of this writing, my review of the inbox for the Court's email shows that the Court's December 16, 2025 emailed Notice was never returned for failure of delivery or for any other reason.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED



Kimberly Jones, a living woman created by Almighty God
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF ARIZONA

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AFFIANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 18th day of January, 2026 by Kimberly Jones, whose autograph above and right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil of Maricopa County, Arizona.


Witness
Witness

From corporateoverlord@tutamail.com

☆ 📧 📧 Dec 19, 2025

To occr2021@protonmail.com

Friday, December 19th, 2025 at 9:49 AM

SUPREME NOTICE OF NON-ASSENT, NON-COGNIZANCE, AND ACTIVE EYE-ROLLING

Issued by the Corporate Overlords, Senior Custodians of Reality, Law, and Things That Actually Work

Re: Your “First Notice of Liability”

Classification: Decorative, Aspirational, Nonbinding

Threat Level: Zero

Legal Weight: Comparable to a Facebook comment

To Whom It Will Inevitably Disappoint,

We, the Corporate Overlords, acting in our exclusive capacity as **Final Arbiters of Jurisdiction, Statutes, and the English Language**, hereby acknowledge receipt of your document titled “*First Notice of Liability.*”

After routing it through our divisions of **Imaginary Law, Unilateral Declarations, All-Caps Emphasis**, and **Things Courts Have Mocked Relentlessly**, we are pleased to provide the following clarification.

I. YOUR DOCUMENT DOES NOT DO THE THING YOU THINK IT DOES

Despite your evident confidence, your document does **not**:

- Create liability
- Shift jurisdiction
- Establish a contract
- Trigger a deadline
- Invoke the UCC
- Bind public officials
- Summon consequences
- Override the Ohio Constitution

It does, however, successfully demonstrate access to email and a working keyboard.

Ohio courts have consistently held that documents of this type are “without merit,” “frivolous,” and “bordering on delusional,” although courts typically use more restrained language out of professional courtesy.¹

II. SILENCE REMAINS NOT AGREEMENT (WE CHECKED AGAIN)

Your repeated assertion that failure to rebut your claims constitutes agreement has been forwarded to our **Department of Rejected Fairy Tales**, which confirms that this theory has never worked, anywhere, at any time, including this one.

Courts have explicitly rejected the idea that a government entity must respond to self-issued notices to avoid liability.²

Failure to respond does not equal consent, acquiescence, joinder, estoppel, novation, or magical submission.

Silence means we are busy doing real government work.

III. PROPERTY TAXES CONTINUE TO EXIST DESPITE YOUR DISCOMFORT

Your claim that property taxes are unlawful, fraudulent, or otherwise fictional has been reviewed by our **Department of Things Literally Written Into the Ohio Constitution**.

We regret to inform you that:

- Property taxes are lawful
- Tax liens are lawful
- Tax lien sales are lawful
- Your nonpayment strategy is not novel

Ownership of property in Ross County subjects said property to taxation regardless of personal belief systems, private definitions, or handwritten addenda.³

Disagreement with taxation is not a defense to taxation. This has been litigated exhaustively since approximately the founding of civilization.

IV. THE UCC HAS FILED A RESTRAINING ORDER

Your document makes extensive use of Uniform Commercial Code terminology, none of which applies to property taxation, land ownership, or the enforcement of statutory obligations.

The UCC governs commercial transactions. It does not:

- Cancel tax bills
- Convert citizens into creditors
- Transform counties into debtors
- Respond to “accepted for value” declarations

Courts have described this tactic as “nonsensical” and “a fundamental misunderstanding of commercial law.”⁴ We are being kinder.

V. YOU MAY NOT UNILATERALLY PROSECUTE THE GOVERNMENT

Your attempt to threaten public officials with personal liability, criminal charges, and financial ruin has been forwarded to our **Department of Adorable Overconfidence**.

Private citizens may not:

- Prosecute crimes
- Impose liens on officials
- Strip immunity via email
- Declare themselves the enforcement mechanism

Public officials performing statutory duties remain immune regardless of font choice, capitalization, or the number of times the word “fraud” is typed.

VI. JURISDICTION IS NOT A SUGGESTION

Your declaration that courts and counties lack jurisdiction over you has been reviewed by the **Physics and Geography Division**, which confirms that:

- Jurisdiction is not optional
- Jurisdiction does not require consent
- Jurisdiction is not defeated by refusal

Owning property in Ohio places that property squarely within Ohio’s jurisdiction. Declaring otherwise has the same legal effect as declaring yourself immune to gravity.

VII. YOUR “LIABILITY SCHEDULE” HAS BEEN ARCHIVED UNDER “IMAGINARY NUMBERS”

Your listed damages, penalties, and escalating daily fees have been audited and categorized as **Fictional Accounting**.

No debt exists. No obligation exists. No ledger has been opened. No one is concerned.

FINAL CORPORATE FINDINGS

After exhaustive review, we conclude:

- Your notice is not recognized
- Your claims are not valid
- Your theories are not novel
- Your taxes are still unpaid
- Your confidence is impressive

This correspondence requires **no response, no rebuttal, and no participation**, and will be retained solely for training purposes under “*Common Arguments That Never Work.*”

We thank you for your continued engagement with alternate legal realities and wish you every success in discovering one that survives judicial review.

With profound condescension,

THE CORPORATE OVERLORDS

Senior Executives of Statute, Case Law, and Consequences
Operating Under the Ohio Revised Code,
Binding Precedent,
and the Relentless Passage of Time

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-RESPONSE TO DECEMBER 12, 2025 "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER"

January 21st 2026

The undersigned Oxana Khramova, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Dunn County, Wisconsin, and have come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine, any entity with which she may be associated, nor do I have any legal or lawful interest in any proceedings involving the named Respondents.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. I regularly receive U.S. mail [c/o]1701 Sixth Avenue North, Menomonie, Wisconsin [near 54751], the address designated in the December 12, 2025 "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER" for Respondents to send any responses as instructed in the Notice.
5. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned NOTICE from any of the following:
Steven Harding -sharding@graham-law-firm.com
David North -mailman45601@gmail.com
David Steiner -David.steiner@usps.gov
Ron Meyers -ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov
Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Loughlin
Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or principal, Mark Loughlin
Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent
jordanwheeler@rosscountyohiocourts.gov
Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov
James Cutright -jkcutright@cutrightlaw.com
David Yost at contact@daveyost.com
Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov
Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov
David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com, treasurer@rosscountyohio.com
Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov
George Lavender -g.lavender@rosssheriff.com or info@rosscountyohio.gov

or by agent David Yost
Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyohio.gov.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Oxana Khramova

Oxana Khramova, a living woman created by Almighty God
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF WISCONSIN

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AFFIANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this
21st day of January, 2026 by Oxana Khramova, whose autograph
above and right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil
of Dunn County, Wisconsin.

Pavel Bizjakoo
Witness

Judy Zimmerman
Witness

**NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE FAILURE
TO RESPOND TO "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED
ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT AND CONTRACT
OFFER"**

February 4/4, 2026

FROM: Katherine Hine, on her own behalf and on behalf of HiRGG Properties, pma;
Claimant.

TO: Steven Harding -sharding@graham-law-firm.com

David North -mailman45601@gmail.com

David Steiner -David.steiner@usps.gov

Ron Meyers -ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov

Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Loughlin

Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or principal, Mark Loughlin

Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent

jordanwheeler@rosscountyohiocourts.gov

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John
Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at

ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

James Cutright -jkcuthright@cutrightlaw.com

David Yost at contact@daveyost.com

Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov

David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com, treasurer@rosscountyohio.com

Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov

George Lavender -g.lavender@rosssheriff.com or info@rosscountyohio.gov

or by agent David Yost

Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyohio.gov.

Respondents.

Corporate Reference Nos. 25000589 and PPJ-80824000

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS OF
THIS SECOND NOTICE EXTENDING THE ORIGINAL DECEMBER 12, 2025 NOTICE
AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY RESPONDENTS' (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B) THEIR
REFUSAL TO PROVIDE A POINT BY POINT VERIFIED RESPONSE AS INSTRUCTED
(C) THEIR CONTINUED ACTS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT AN UNVERIFIED DEBT
THAT IS NOT OWED, OR BY (D) MAKING OTHER THREATS AGAINST CLAIMANTS.

I. NOTICE OF FAULT

A. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTICED that you are in fault of an opportunity to reply to the
NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY

MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT AND CONTRACT OFFER" hereinafter referred as the December 12, 2025 Notice.

B. Respondent men and women above named were served with true and correct copies of the December 12, 2025 Notice and each given the opportunity to dispute each of the statements made in said December 12, 2025 Notice hereinabove referenced.

C. The December 12, 2025 Notice informed each Respondent of the specific manner that he or she would be required to respond, including the ten (10) day deadline for doing so. Respondents have had considerably longer than said ten (10) days in which to respond as required.

D. The January 21, 2026 Affidavit of Oxana Khramova indicates that Respondents have failed and refused to so respond in the manner required. The January 18, 2026 Affidavit of Kimberly Jones establishes that Respondents were each served, and that instead of providing a point by point response by a man or woman having actual personal knowledge of facts, as the December 12, 2025 Notice instructs, Respondents chose to send a four (4) page unsigned, unverified harangue created by unknown people or possibly by Artificial Intelligence equipment, which failed to address most of the statements of fact and law made in the December 12, 2025 Notice.

II. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

A. Respondents have waived the right to respond to the December 12, 2025 Notice, by failing to contest it as instructed in the December 12, 2025 Notice, and have rejected the due process opportunities Claimants offered them.

B. In the event that any Respondent were to now explicitly refuse to accept the remedy offered in the December 12, 2025 Notice by claiming that his or her failure or refusal to respond as instructed was an oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional, Claimants grant any such Respondent five (5) additional days from the date of service of the within Notice, to CURE the fault by producing an affidavit containing facts verifying any assertion that oversight, mistake, or other unintentional ignoring of his or her obligation to respond, prevented a timely response to the December 12, 2025 Notice, as instructed.

C. If any Respondent fails to cure as specified herein, then by operation of law, such failure to respond as required by the December 12, 2025 Notice may constitute the FINAL agreement and admission of Respondent liabilities.

D. Any further actions by Respondents shall be taken in accordance with the procedures, jurisdictions, and penalties thereof set forth in the December 12, 2025 Notice as defaulted.

E. Response to this second Notice, the Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, MUST BE RECEIVED by 5 p.m. on the effective date, which is within FIVE (5) DAYS from the date of Respondents' RECEIPT of the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, all as previously set forth in the December 12, 2025 Notice. All responses must be specific, factual, verified, sworn to by a man or woman with actual knowledge, exactly as previously set out in said December 12, 2025 Notice and also be by U.S. certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:

Oxana Khramova
[c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue
Menomeni, Wisconsin [near 54751].

24

F. Claimants hereby adopt and acknowledge each and every statement made in the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure and those previously made in the December 12, 2025 Notice.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL
NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Katherine Hine

Katherine Hine, Claimant, a living woman and daughter of the most high God, Outside STATE OF OHIO or any other fictitious entity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESSES

We the undersigned witnesses, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury according to the common law of the original Ohio republic and the law of Almighty God, on this 4th day of February, 2026, that the living woman known to us as Katherine Hine, appeared before us, on the land mass known as Uruguay, and declared upon her oath that the statements made in this instrument, to which we saw her place her right thumb print official seal, were made of her own free will, act and deed for the purposes stated hereinabove.

J. B. Woodwell
Witness

J. Baty
Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF FEBRUARY 4, 2026 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE FAILURE TO RESPOND TO NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER "

March 22 2026

The undersigned Kimberly Jones, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Maricopa County, Arizona, and have come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine or any entity with which she may be associated.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. On February 4, 2025 each of the Respondents, as indicated, were sent an email from the Ohio Circuit Court of Record informing each that the December 12, 2025 Notice, as well as the February 4, 2026 Notice, both in the *Hine v. Harding* matter had been and remain posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/> , to the following email addresses for the Respondents as indicated:

Steven Harding -sharding@graham-law-firm.com

David North -mailman45601@gmail.com

David Steiner -David.steiner@usps.gov

Ron Meyers -ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov

Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Loughlin

Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or principal, Mark Loughlin

Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent

jordanwheeler@rosscountyoohiocourts.gov

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

James Cutright -jkcutright@cutrightlaw.com

David Yost at contact@daveyost.com

Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov

David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com, treasurer@rosscountyoohio.com

Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov

George Lavender - or info@rosscountyoohio.gov

or by agent David Yost

Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyoohio.gov.

5. Service was also attempted upon George Lavender at g.lavender@rosssheriff.com but the server indicated that it failed at that particular email address after two days of attempts due to being refused by the "ross sheriff" server.


ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED



Kimberly Jones
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF ARIZONA

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AFFIANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 22 day of March, 2026 by Kimberly Jones, whose autograph above and right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil of Maricopa County, Arizona.



Witness



Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF NO RESPONSE TO FEBRUARY 4, 2026 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE FAILURE TO RESPOND TO NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER"

March 28th, 2026

The undersigned Oxana Khramova, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Dunn County, Wisconsin, and have come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine or any entity with which she may be associated.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. I regularly receive U.S. mail [c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue North, Menomonie, Wisconsin [near 54751] but have not received any mail or notice of intent to serve certified mail containing a response to either the December 12, 2025 Notice or the February 4, 2026 Notice, both remaining posted in the *Hine v. Harding* matter at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/> from any of the following listed men and women, or anyone claiming to be his or her agent:

Steven Harding, David North, David Steiner, Ron Meyers, Dawn Hoosier, Mark Loughlin, Carolyn Monroe, Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, Jordan Wheeler, James Cutright, David Yost, Michael DeWine, Sharon Kennedy, John Street, Michael DeWine, David Jeffers, Jeff Lehner, George Lavender or Jack Everson.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Oxana Khramova

Oxana Khramova, Affiant
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF WISCONSIN

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AFFIANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 28th day of March, 2026 by Oxana Khramova, whose autograph above and right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil of Dunn County, Wisconsin.

Judy Zimmerman
Witness

Pavel Bizyukov
Witness

NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND CONTINUING FAILURE TO DENY STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THE 12/12/25 "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT AND CONTRACT OFFER"

April 7, 2026

FROM: Katherine Hine, on her own behalf and on behalf of HiRGG Properties, pma;
Claimants.

TO: Steven Harding -sharding@graham-law-firm.com

David North -mailman45601@gmail.com

David Steiner -David.steiner@usps.gov

Ron Meyers -ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov

Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Loughlin

Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or principal, Mark Loughlin

Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent

jordanwheeler@rosscountyohiocourts.gov

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at

ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

James Cutright -jkcuthright@cutrightlaw.com

David Yost at contact@daveyost.com

Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov

Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov

David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com, treasurer@rosscountyohio.com

Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov

George Lavender -g.lavender@rosssheriff.com or info@rosscountyohio.gov

or by agent David Yost

Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyohio.gov.

Respondents.

Corporate Reference Nos. 25000589 and PPJ-80824000

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO HAVE CONSENTED TO AND ACCEPTED ALL TERMS OF THIS THIRD NOTICE BY THEIR (A) CONTINUED SILENCE; OR BY (B) CONTINUED TRESPASSES OR THREATS AGAINST CLAIMANTS' LAND; OR BY (C) CONTINUING REFUSAL TO PROVIDE A POINT BY POINT VERIFIED RESPONSE AS INSTRUCTED TO EITHER THE 12/12/25 FIRST NOTICE OR THE 2/4/26 SECOND NOTICE; OR BY (D) CONTINUING TO COMMIT ACTS OF ARMED ASSAULT, EXTORTION, AND/OR STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD; OR BY (D) MAKING OTHER THREATS AGAINST CLAIMANTS, THEIR AGENTS, OR CONTRACTORS, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, BY HAVING BURGLARIZED PRIVATE PROPERTY WHERE CLAIMANTS SERVE GOD.

I. NOTICE OF DEFAULT

A. Respondents are all in DEFAULT of an opportunity to reply to the 12/12/25 NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT AND CONTRACT OFFER", hereinafter referred to as the December 12, 2025 Notice, or First Notice.

B. Respondent men and women above named were served with true and correct copies of the December 12, 2025 Notice and each given the opportunity to dispute each of the statements made in said December 12, 2025 Notice hereinabove referenced.

C. The December 12, 2025 Notice informed each Respondent of the specific manner of required response, including a ten (10) day deadline for doing so. Respondents were served but failed to respond, as confirmed respectively by the January 18, 2026 and January 21, 2026 affidavits posted herein.

RK
D. The March 22, 2026 Affidavit of Kimberly Jones establishes that Respondents were then each served with the second Notice, dated February 4, 2026. The March 28, 2026 Affidavit of Oxana Khramova established that instead of providing a point by point response by a man or woman having actual personal knowledge of facts or explaining their delay, Respondents simply continued to refuse to respond to the February 4, 2026 Notice in the manner required.

E. On or about January 16, 2026 Respondents or their contractors herein, instead of responding as instructed, in defiance of the November 15, 2024 Judgment already entered against Respondent Ater, his agents and contractors by the Ohio Circuit Court of Record in the matter of *Hine v. Ater*, Case No. 24-ROS-004, posted on the docket page of www.occr2021.com, admittedly committed an act of burglary against the building where the members of Ohio Circuit Court of Record have assembled in the blessed name of Lord Jesus and where Claimants serve Almighty God based on His supreme law.

II. NOTICE OF IMMINENT LIABILITIES

A. Respondents have waived the right to respond to the December 12, 2025 Notice, by failing to contest it as instructed in said December 12, 2025 Notice, and have rejected the due process opportunities Claimants offered them.

B. In the event that any Respondent were to now explicitly refuse to accept the remedy offered in the December 12, 2025 Notice by claiming that his or her failure or refusal to respond as instructed was an oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional, Claimants grant any such Respondent five (5) additional days from the date of service of the within Notice, to produce an affidavit containing facts verifying any assertion that oversight, mistake, or other unintentional ignoring of his or her obligation to respond, prevented a timely response to either the December 12, 2025 or February 4, 2026 Notice.

C. Respondent failure to respond to the within Notice as required *shall* constitute the FINAL agreement and admission of Respondent liabilities.

D. Any further actions by Respondents shall be taken in accordance with the procedures, jurisdictions, and penalties thereof set forth in the December 12, 2025 Notice as defaulted.

E. Claimants hereby adopt and acknowledge each and every statement made in the within Notice of Default and those previously made in the December 12, 2025 and the February 4, 2026 Notices.

F. If Respondents had heard Claimants at the time of the original Notice, the matter could have been resolved:

"Moreover, if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and if he shall hear thee, thou has gained thy brother." Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18: 15.

G. But said Respondents appear to have not heard Claimants and so, with their several witnesses, Claimants repeated what they had previously told said Respondents, by means of their 2/4/26 Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, which included a reference to the original 12/12/25 Notice which Respondents failed to respond to by the Effective Date.

"But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established. Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18: 16.

H. Claimants are now, *via* the within Notice of Default & Imminent Liability, again informing Respondents of the harm they are causing, now in the presence of the broader community. "And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as an heathen man and a tax collector." Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 18: 17.

I. The within NOTICE OF DEFAULT & IMMINENT LIABILITY, constitutes a lawful process which also references the first and second notices, their affidavits of service and non-response as set forth at the Pending Notices section of the Court website: www.occr2021.com.

J. All three Notices with attachments, together constitute the "meeting of the minds" as to all the terms of the original self-executing contract, which Respondents have all clearly expressed. As Respondents are imminently in default for having failed to reply, further failures to explain such failure shall constitute the FINAL admission and agreement of the parties as stated in the three NOTICES as defaulted.

K. BINDING Judgment: Any Respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the within Contract may be entered by any common law Court and/or administrative tribunal, at Claimants' election.

L. All Respondents are further hereby notified that Respondents' third and final refusals to deny the existence of their threats, frauds, and continuing acts of common law trespass

against Claimants, their agents, or contractors including without limitation kidnapping, assault, battery, and/or conversion, may be considered additional evidence of Respondents' trespasses upon Claimants' rights beyond any reasonable doubt.

M. Response to this third Notice, the Notice of Default and Imminent Liability, and to the original December 12, 2025 Notice MUST BE RECEIVED by 5 p.m. on the effective date, which is within FIVE (5) DAYS from the date of Respondents' RECEIPT of the within Notice of Default, and also be by U.S. certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:

Oxana Khramova
[c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue
Menomoni, Wisconsin [near 54751].

Thereafter, the liabilities listed above may not be denied or avoided by the Respondents named in this and prior Notices.

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Katherine Hine

Katherine Hine, Claimant, a living woman and daughter of the most high God, Outside STATE OF OHIO or any other fictitious entity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESSES

We the undersigned witnesses, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury according to the common law of the original Ohio republic and the law of Almighty God, on this 2th day of April, 2026, that the living woman known to us as Katherine Hine, appeared before us, on the land mass known as Uruguay, and declared upon her oath that the statements made in this instrument, to which we saw her place her right thumb print official seal, were made of her own free will, act and deed for the purposes stated hereinabove.

S. B. Becknell

Witness

G. Baty

Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF APRIL 7, 2026 "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY, AND CONTINUING FAILURE TO DENY STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THE 12/12/25 "NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER""

April 25, 2026

The undersigned Kimberly Jones, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Maricopa County, Arizona, and have come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine or any entity with which she may be associated.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. On April 8, 2026 each of the Respondents or their agents, contractors, or principals, were sent an email from the Ohio Circuit Court of Record informing each that the April 7, 2026 Notice in the *Hine v. Harding* matter had been and remains posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>, said email having been sent to the following email addresses for the Respondents named in *Hine v. Harding*, as follows:

Steven Harding by sharding@graham-law-firm.com;

David North by mailman45601@gmail.com;

David Steiner by David.steiner@usps.gov;

Ron Meyers by ron.meyers@chillicotheoh.gov;

Dawn Hoosier – by her principal, Mark Laughlin by his agent or contractor,

Sharon Kennedy, at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov;

Carolyn Monroe –by her agent or contractor, Mark Laughlin, by his agent or contractor, Sharon Kennedy, at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov;

Michael Ater and Matthew Schmidt by their agent, Jordan Wheeler at jordanwheeler@rosscountyohiocourts.gov ;

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, James Cutright, David Yost, Steven Harding, John Street, Mark Laughlin, Michael DeWine and Sharon Kennedy and by their agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov;

James Cutright -jkcwright@cutrightlaw.com;

David Yost at contact@daveyost.com;

Sharon Kennedy by agent at ClerkQuestions@sc.ohio.gov;

Michael DeWine by agent at Sarah.Ackman@governor.ohio.gov;

David Jeffers djeffers07@hotmail.com and treasurer@rosscountyohio.com;

Jeff Lehner -JeffLehner@RossCountyOhio.gov;

George Lavender by agents at info@rosscountyohio.gov
or by agent David Yost at contact@daveyost.com;
Jack Everson -rosscomclk@rosscountyohio.gov.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED




Kimberly Jones,
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF ARIZONA

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CLAIMANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this
25 day of April, 2026 by Kimberly Jones, whose autograph above and
right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil of Maricopa
County, Arizona.

Witness



Witness



AFFIDAVIT OF NO RESPONSE TO APRIL 7, 2026 NOTICE OF DEFAULT

May 4th
April _____, 2026

The undersigned Oxana Khramova, having been first sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a fully competent woman living on the landmass known as Dunn County, Wisconsin, and have come of legal age.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Katherine Hine or any entity with which she may be associated.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. I regularly receive U.S. mail [c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue North, Menomonie, Wisconsin [near 54751] but have not received any mail or notice of intent to serve certified mail containing a response to either the December 12, 2025 Notice, the February 4, 2026 Notice, or the April 7, 2026 Notice, all three remaining posted in the *Hine v. Harding* matter at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>, from any of the following listed men and women, or anyone claiming to be his or her agent, contractor, or principal:

Steven Harding, David North, David Steiner, Ron Meyers, Dawn Hoosier, Mark Laughlin, Carolyn Monroe, Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt, Jordan Wheeler, James Cutright, David Yost, Michael DeWine, Sharon Kennedy, John Street, Michael DeWine, David Jeffers, Jeff Lehner, George Lavender or Jack Everson.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Oxana Khramova

Oxana Khramova, Affiant
Without STATE OF OHIO
Without STATE OF WISCONSIN

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AFFIANT'S AUTOGRAPH

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this *4th* day of *May* ~~April~~, 2026 by Oxana Khramova, whose autograph above and right thumb print seal we witnessed on this date on the soil of Dunn County, Wisconsin.

Judy Zimmerman *Pavel Bizyukov*
Witness Witness