

Filed of Record

FEB 04 2026

Ohio Circuit Court of Record  
seated at Chillicothe

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Katherine Hine, *sui juris*  
On behalf of herself and other  
Co-owners of certain private property,  
Claimants;

)  
Case No. 25-ROS-001

-vs-

David J. North Junior, Douglas Tulino,  
Jeffrey Carman, Randy Pratt,  
Ron Meyers, John Street, Toni Eddy,  
their agents and principals;  
Respondents.

)  
JUDGMENT ON  
DEFAULTED CLAIMS

and  
Pat Patrick, David Steiner, their agents  
and principals;  
Supplemental Respondents.

*96. 3P*  
NOW ON this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2026, upon three of our members having assembled in the name of our Lord and King Jesus Christ, He therefore being in our midst, our Court having been lawfully formed in 2021 after having provided all lawful public Notices during 2020 and having been operating publicly and without objection for more than four (4) years as a Court of record administering the common law as the earthly expression of the ultimate law of God, bowing only to His ultimate sovereignty, conducting ourselves consistently with our understanding of the superior law of Almighty God and, wherever applicable, consistently with Respondents' 1781 and 1787 Constitutions for the united states of America, their Declaration of Independence, their Northwest Ordinance, and Magna Carta, among other treatises and founding documents, having reviewed Claimants' verified December 16, 2025 Petition with attached Notices of Liability, proofs of service upon and proofs of non response thereto by Respondents, Supplemental Respondents, their agents, principals, and contractors, we FIND by agreement of the parties, by a preponderance of the evidence, and beyond any reasonable doubt as follows:

1. It is a matter of public record, posted on the Docket page of this Court's website that, upon Respondents' having accepted the contract Offer contained in Claimants' first Notice of Liability, dated May 3, 2025, the terms of which Offer have remained unchanged in Claimants' second and third Notices, a binding, written common law contract was formed between Respondents and Claimants, by Respondents' silence as well as by Respondents' continued participation in conduct specified by Claimants' May 3, 2025 and subsequent Notices and Contract Offers, thereby binding all Respondents as men and women, not as legal fiction persons, to the Contract thus created.

2. None of the Respondents have ever rebutted any of the verified facts set forth in the Three (3) Notices filed and served upon them. The first of said Notices, dated May 3, 2025, followed by Respondents' three (3) defaults thereon, established that Respondents, each aiding and abetting one another, participated in an extortion racket in which they trespassed on the lands belonging to targeted people, oftentimes the very people these Respondents had taken oaths swearing to protect. In the guise of preventing public nuisances, Respondents have been taking it upon themselves to dictate whatever arbitrary rules they choose about

snowfall and vegetation growing on Claimants' land according to the will of Almighty God, and then demanding payment for their trespasses and extortionate threats. As the parties have agreed, Respondents acts of trespass and extortion are themselves a public nuisance and have caused injury, harm, or loss to Claimants.

3. Not one of the Respondents, his or her agents, principals, or contractors claims to have any ownership interest in or other authority to interfere with Claimants' peaceful enjoyment of their lands. However Exhibit A attached to Claimants' first Notice of Liability verifies, without objection, that said Respondents have been maintaining a public nuisance in which they commit acts of trespass and extortion without any such authority.

4. By agreement among all parties, Claimant Katherine Hine and the other Claimants with whom she has formed a common law private membership association, are the true owners of the land and fixtures thereon known to Respondents as 736 East Main Street, Chillicothe, Ohio, and are entitled to the exclusive possession of said private property land. Claimants' longstanding ownership interest in their own private property land and the non existence of any such interest by the legal fiction known as HIRGG PROPERTIES LLC has long been explained to Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals, admittedly since October, 2021, when they were served via their agent or contractor, Kathleen Dunn, with a "NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY", establishing that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC no longer had any interest, if it ever had, in a group of private property lands which included, without limitation, the ones known as 189 East Water Street REAR and 736 East Main Street.

5. Claimants herein have at all times been and remain the owners of the aforementioned land and the structures thereon, in the name of their common law private membership association, HiRGG Properties, pma. The legal fiction entity known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC, by definition, never actually existed and has no ownership interest in Claimants' private membership association property over which Respondents have been trespassing.

6. Respondents are men and women who admittedly use fictionalized perversions of their own names, i.e. the all capital versions thereof, to pose as agents of one or more private, for profit corporations masquerading as "government". In the course of operating said corporations by proxy, Respondents Street and Eddy, also having acted as corporate BAR association agents, along with their agents, principals and contractors, whether or not specifically named herein or in Claimants' Notices, ignore the common law and the law of God. They instead purport to administer corporate policies known as statutes, codes, and ordinances, but regularly refuse to even adhere to those. We will address BAR member-directed duplicity throughout this Judgment.

7. Another example of the many refusals of all Respondent BAR members, their agents, contractors, and superiors, to adhere even to their own corporate policies, is their notorious participation in and profiteering from contracts, combinations, series of contracts and the commission of other acts that create and maintain commercial activity within a corporate structure designed to deceive the people into widespread but false beliefs that (a) their private corporate policies are "law", (b) that the only source of justice in the lives of the people comes through the counsel of corporate BAR members, and (c) that only BAR members may lead the people effectively through the maze thus created. Such acts of common law barratry are designed to generate revenue for Respondents, their agents, and principals at the expense of those they target, thereby restraining trade or commerce and engaging in overt acts of monopolization, all prohibited by Sections 1 and 2 of Respondents' own Sherman Antitrust Act also known as 15 U.S.C. Ch. 1. Another of the parent corporation's policy statutes, known as the Clayton Act [15 U.S.C. § 15 (1964)]

provides for treble damages to "persons" who are injured. Claimants, not being "persons", make no such claim. However, it is instructive to observe the seriousness of Respondents' routine infractions of Section 2 of Respondents' Clayton Act while acting in their roles as legal fiction persons, and that their own cohorts consider that those who harm other commercial actors or the economy generally "shall be deemed guilty of a felony due to participation or attempted participation in monopolistic practices."

8. No corporate policy enactment, by its own terms, applies to living people without their informed consent.

9. Claimants' May 3, 2025 Notice, together with their second and third notices, constituted a contract offer, which all Respondents accepted by their silences and by engaging in the conduct specified in the Notices.

10. Claimants and Respondents, together with all their agents, principals, and contractors, have all authorized us to incorporate into a Judgment, the terms of their said Contract resolving all matters, if any, previously in dispute between them as people.

11. All Respondents have now on three (3) occasions admitted that the authority of this Court is based on the superior law of Almighty God over all men and women living on the land He created. We further FIND that Respondents' claimed authority, on the contrary, is based on corporate profit motives.

*Yka*  
*of*  
*W* Respondent Street's similar admissions in the matter of *Hine v Ater*, 24 ROS-004 and *Hine v. Free*, 24 ROS-003 are matters of record, which can be viewed on our online docket. [www.occr2021.com](http://www.occr2021.com).

12. The word of Almighty God, admitted by Respondents to be the ultimate sovereign over all Creation (Public Law 97-280), acknowledges that unrebutted verified notices such as Claimants' stand as truth: 1 Peter 1:25; Hebrews 6:13-15. Holy Bible, KJV.

13. Claimants' Notices, based on Lord Jesus's due process instructions from Matthew 18:15-17, which warn Respondents of the consequences of their silences and/or conduct, have been in use for centuries and are likewise hardly unknown in Respondents' corporate world of commerce. Claimants' unrebutted affidavits supporting their Notices admittedly stand as truth, even according to general principles of contract law as well as Respondents' commercial policy enactments, such as UCC Sec. 1-202. Respondents' corporate policy enactment known as 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1746, which likewise specifically concedes that the affidavits supporting the facts contained in Claimants' Notices constitute proof of the affidavits' contents. Moreover, Roman civil law, which Respondent BAR members are fond of citing, happens to also be in accord: *Qui non negat, fatetur*. I.e. He who does not deny, agrees.

14. We make the following further FINDINGS, based on the admitted statements of fact contained in Claimants' Notices and Exhibits:

(a) On or about April 19, 2025, Claimant's agent found an undated, unsigned written threat in a mailbox being used by Claimant and other agents of HiRGG Properties, pma, a common law private membership association of living people, not legal fiction "persons". Respondents' said threat is and was part of a corporate revenue generation and extortion scheme being operated by Respondents Meyers, Pratt, Carman, Street, and/or Eddy, their agents, contractors and principals, including without limitation, Supplemental Respondents Patrick and Steiner. Respondents North, Tulino, and Steiner aid and abet in said extortion schemes admittedly through the commission of statutory mail fraud.

(b) Claimant Hine has previously and on several occasions, informed Respondents North and Tulino, their predecessors, agents and contractors, by means of their agent North, of their repeated acts of negligence

and admitted mail fraud in delivering mail for 189 East Water Street REAR, Chillicothe, Ohio, the location where Claimants' Exhibit "A" was delivered. Said negligence includes without limitation, delivering mail from legal fictions to other legal fictions, instead of delivering from and to living people, including without limitation, Claimant, or to any other living people who are members of Hirgg Properties pma at 189 East Water Street REAR.

(c) Respondents have at all times since October, 2021, when they were served via their agent or contractor, Kathleen Dunn, with a "NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY", been fully aware that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC no longer had any interest, if it ever had, in a group of private property lands which included, without limitation, the ones known as 189 East Water Street REAR and 736 East Main Street.

(d) It is well established even according to Respondents' corporate legal system that those of their agents or contractors falsely calling themselves "police" or "sheriff" have no duty to protect or serve anyone, particularly not while engaged in corporate extortion operations. This Court already made such consensual findings over a year ago in *Hine v. Ater*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-004, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Default-Judgment-Private-Property-Ross-County.pdf> and last year in *Hine v. Free*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-003, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Judgment-for-Contempt.pdf>. Yet Respondents, their agents, and/or contractors continue to exacerbate their acts of contempt of the Judgments issued in the matters of *Hine v. Ater*, 24-ROS-004 and *Hine v Free*, 24-ROS-003 for failure to surrender the firearms or other weapons that they brandish or use to attack and sometimes murder Ohio people trying to live in peace. Respondents commit such acts for financial gain.

(e) We continue to FIND as a matter of law and fact that Respondents and their agents, principals and contractors herein likewise have no reason or motive to carry weapons, whether when committing acts of trespass, extortion, or statutory mail fraud, unless they are engaging in revenue generating operations on behalf of a legal fiction corporation masquerading as government, for which reason we shall continue to issue judgments confiscating weaponry, as we did with the aforementioned two matters.

(f) Postal Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals, just as their predecessor Michelle Arnold did, as we held in *McCabe v. Arnold* 24-ROS-001 <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>, and just as Arnold's successor, Respondent North and Tulino's successor, Supplemental Respondent Steiner, do, continue to trespass upon Claimants' land by committing acts of mail fraud in violation of their superiors' corporate statute known as 18 U.S. C. Sec. 1341, and have therefore accepted Claimants' Offer to charge each Respondent \$500 per day commencing April 19, 2025, the date Claimants began receiving Respondents' said threats via statutory mail fraud aimed at legal fiction persons. Respondents North, Tulino and his successor, Steiner, their agents and cohorts continue to commit violations of corporate policies set forth at 18 U.S. Code § 876(d) and 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1341. Said corporate code sections apply to Respondents, their agents, and contractors when acting as legal fiction "persons", as they do when delivering mail for their private, for profit corporate principals named hereinabove.

(g) Respondents are without authority or even privilege to act as officious intermeddlers by trespassing upon Claimants' private property land for any reason, particularly not to incur and then demand payment for, unneeded and unwanted so-called "services" pertaining to the fall of snow or the growth of grass, both being natural occurrences brought about by Almighty God, not by corporate agents or other gangsters.

(h) BAR member Respondents Street and Eddy, their agents, principals and contractors are all admittedly well aware that each is prohibited by the original 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment to their 1787 Constitution, from serving in positions of public trust and are all likewise prohibited from so serving because of their refusals to allow the all capital fictions created from their names to comply with their superiors' corporate policy enactment known as the Foreign Agent Registration Act.

(i) The Bible is the source of the common law maxim and principle that men and women may not administer that which they did not create. Isaiah 29:16; John 15:20; Job 40:2; Romans 9:20. Respondents Street and Eddy, their agents, principals, and contractors are merely created beings, just as Claimants are, who were never chosen by the Almighty to rule over the people. Hosea 8:4. Due to corporate "secret ballot" enactments, there is likewise no evidence that any Respondent was ever elected by anyone to anything. Any current or future enactments of corporate policies emanating from Respondent BAR members or their agents, principals, or contractors are designed for legal fictions, inapplicable to living people unwilling to pretend to act for legal fiction "persons", and incapable of granting any rights or creating any duties.

(j) Respondents and their predecessors long ago admitted there was no divine right to rule the people of the American continent, and that each man and woman was his or her own monarch, free to rule over himself or herself, having superior earthly authority to govern themselves, as was conceded long ago by Respondent BAR members' superiors in *Chisholm v. Georgia*, 419 U.S. 2 (1793) and as Almighty God has long ago instructed the people to carry out. Genesis 1:26. It is certainly up to the people who own and occupy their own land, not unregistered foreign agents, to administer that land, not the unelected bureaucrats running private, for profit corporations masquerading as 'Courts'.

(k) Respondents' usages of the legal fiction all caps name is a non-standard bastardization of English grammar rules applicable only to proper names, according to BAR member Respondents' own style manuals. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GPO-STYLEMANUAL-2008/pdf/GPO-STYLEMANUAL-2008-5.pdf>. Other style manuals in common usage in the corporate legal world agree. E.g. *Chicago Manual of Style* (14th Ed.), *Manual on Usage & Style*, (8th Ed.), ISBN 1-878674-51-X (1995: Tex. L. Rev.) Section D (prohibiting the usage of all caps to refer to litigants); NASA/SP-7084: NASA Special Publication: Grammar, Punctuation, and Capitalization, a Handbook for Technical Writers and Editors (1998: NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Va.) Sec. 4.1 (specifically prohibiting all caps usages such as "STATE OF NEW YORK"). This means that KATHERINE HINE, sometimes called a "person", is an entity that neither Claimant Katherine Hine nor her parents ever created or knowingly authorized, and is not the equivalent of Katherine Hine, the woman. The remaining Claimants likewise have also, at all times acted as living people, not as legal fiction persons.

(l) God is the sovereign over all and is no respecter of persons. Nor must we be. Proverbs 28:21; Acts 10:34; James 2:9; Romans 2:11. Luke 20:21; Job 13:10, 32:21, Psalm 82:2. Legal fiction persons, invented by man to engage in suspect activity, whether they call themselves "Nuisance officers" or "corporate overlords", as we see some of them imagine themselves to be, as evidenced by the delusional statements contained in the attachment to the first Affidavit of Service, posted in *Hine v. Harding* <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>, are not the equivalent of people, created by God. People may exert authority, or pretend to do so, over the mental constructs known as "persons" but "persons"; being

fictitious, have no power to take action themselves or delegate anything because they do not actually exist.

(m) Respondents' corporate policy enactments generally exclude the use of the terms men and women, since corporate agents know they lack authority over God's creations. No man or woman has the power or ability to change another man or woman into a legal fiction "person" for his or her own covetous purposes, as BAR member Respondents are obviously attempting.

(n) Respondents' superior and predecessor, William Clinton, admitted in 1999, in a rare usage of the term "people", not "persons", that the "people of the State are free . . . to define the moral, political and legal character of their lives." "Executive Order" 13132, Section 2.

(o) Respondents are not free to define people or their contracts with one another, to suit Respondents' corporate revenue generating purposes. Saying otherwise does not make it so. Respondents are not entitled to pin inaccurate labels on Claimants in order to interfere with their contract, as people, with original Respondents, also people. By attempting to do so, Respondents defy not only their superiors' Executive Order 13132, but also their 1787 Constitution Art.1, Sec. 10 prohibition against contract interference.

*96b*  
*Ap* We further FIND:

*Ap* 15. No man or woman, or group of people masquerading as various titles has the power to give any other man or woman, who may be a Respondent herein, "immunity" for his or her acts of extortion, trespass, or the associated threats of violence that accompany same. Each Respondent, his or her agents, principals, and contractors remain liable for the natural and probable consequences of his or her own acts.

16. It is a matter of common knowledge that when "on the job" some of the Respondents themselves or their agents or contractors regularly engage in or direct their agents and contractors to engage in acts of violence or revenue generation on behalf of Respondent BAR members. Not one of the Respondents is entitled to a defense consisting of saying he or she was "just doing my job". Such self justification is no more available to insulate any Respondent herein from liability for the consequences of his or her acts of violence or threatened violence than it was to the Nazi criminals selected for prosecution at Nuremberg following World War Two, who unsuccessfully tried the same kinds of rationalizations.

17. We further FIND that neither Respondents individually or through their agents, have any authority, as people, or otherwise, to trespass onto Claimants' land or to regulate or penalize God created snow fall or grass growth, whether by confabulating the terms people and persons, or otherwise.

18. We have previously FOUND and continue to FIND that, according to admissions contained in Respondents' own corporate policy enactments, Respondents' agents George Lavender and Ron Myers, their agents, contractors and principals have no duty or authority to protect or serve any man, woman, boy or girl and therefore have no business trespassing on Claimants' private property land. *Castle Rock v. Gonzales*, 545 U.S. 748 (2005); *Warren v. District of Columbia*, 444 A.2d. 1, D.C. Ct./App, 1981). They likewise have no authority or even pretext for carrying weapons upon the people's land, on the public roadways, or otherwise. Said agents likewise have no duty or authority in the corporate world to protect children, or to pretend to do so, even when doing so could prevent foreseeable murders of children. *DeShaney v. Winnebago County*, 489 U.S. 189 (1989).

19. Notwithstanding the absence of any duty to serve or protect the people, Respondents, along with their agents, contractors or principals, have defied our prior Orders to surrender their weapons on their own, as

required of them by our default judgments issued against them in *Hine v. Ater*. Case No. 24-ROS-004 (pp. 9-10, paragraphs 12 and 13) and in *Hine v. Free*, Case No. 24-ROS-003 (pp. 11-12, paragraph 7). Such ongoing refusals to surrender their weapons also constitute further evidence of consent by conduct to the Contract Offer contained in Claimants' original May 3, 2025 Notice.

20. Respondents' attempts to interfere with and commercialize the people's exercise of Dominion over their own lands, the vegetation growing there, and the precipitation falling there, all of which bounty from God was entrusted to the people to manage, per Genesis 1:26 constitutes yet another display of Respondents' mockery of God, and yet another perversion of His word. Matthew 21:12-13.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT AS FOLLOWS:

1. RESPONDENTS' LIABILITIES AS MEN AND WOMEN. This Court's judgments apply to all Respondents as living men and women, not as corporate actors or legal fiction persons. This Judgment applies with equal force to each Respondent as well as to any man or woman acting as an agent, principal or contractor of any Respondent, each being subject to the same monetary and injunctive judgments as are the currently named Respondents and Supplemental Respondents.

2. TRESPASS, EXTORTION AND STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD ENJOINED: Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals are hereby ENJOINED from committing any further acts of trespass, extortion, statutory mail fraud or continuing to inflict any other public nuisance against Claimants or their lands, either directly or indirectly or by means of mail delivery to a fictionalized perversion of Claimants' birth names or that of their private membership association, whether pertaining to grass growth or snowfall, and whether or not using the term "nuisance" as a pretext for such acts including without limitation, payment demands or land grab threats.

3. WEAPONRY SURRENDER AND CONFISCATION, PUBLIC AUTHORIZATION, AND NEIGHBORHOOD PATROLS.

(a) All Respondents, Supplemental Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals are hereby ENJOINED from using or being in possession of any weapons while purportedly acting on behalf of corporate employers. We therefore hereby ORDER them to surrender said weapons to an agent of any Ohio Neighborhood Patrol by contacting this Court as indicated on the Court's website at [www.occr2021.com](http://www.occr2021.com).

(b) Any member of the Ohio public is hereby authorized to CONFISCATE any weapon in the possession of any Respondent or his or her agent, principal or contractor contrary to this Order, whether or not said Respondent or agent is wearing a costume, displaying a badge, or otherwise masquerading as part of "government". Within 24 hours of seizure, all such firearms shall be delivered to and marked for identification by agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record, who can be contacted as indicated on the Court's website: [www.occr2021.com](http://www.occr2021.com).

(c) Public weapons confiscation and any subsequent distribution for defensive neighborhood purposes, shall be conducted on a first come, first served basis and upon written request from any member of the public operating a neighborhood patrol so long as the patrol member conducting the confiscation or assisting in the weapons surrender provides signed written assurance that he or she intends to use said firearms exclusively for self defense purposes and as part of any such neighborhood patrol operating within the original Ohio republic. Agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record shall maintain records of said

confiscated or surrendered firearms, which records shall remain confidential. All fees for OCCR to administer this public service, if any, shall be billed to and paid by Respondents.

4. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR ONGOING THREATS is hereby granted to Claimants and against all Respondents, Supplemental Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals, based on Respondents' prior extortionate acts of trespass, extortion, and/or statutory mail fraud, in the sum of \$500.00 per day per Respondent, effective April 19, 2025. Each aforementioned Respondent shall be individually liable for said daily sum of \$500.00 United States Dollars, not jointly and severally liable, said sums to be due and owing from each commencing April 19, 2025. A UNITED STATES dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

5. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR PAST HARM based upon Respondents' acts of common law trespass, extortion and statutory mail fraud against Claimants, is hereby GRANTED in the further sum of \$5,000.00 United States Dollars against each said Respondent individually and not jointly and severally.

*pk*  
*AP*  
*M* 6. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH JUDGMENT/RESERVATION OF JURISDICTION. In the event of Respondent failure to pay the monetary portions of this Judgment within thirty (30) days of the file stamp date of any such Judgment or failure to observe *any* injunction, failure or refusal to surrender weapons used in revenue generation, or upon evidence of new threats against Claimants, we hereby reserve jurisdiction to impose additional sanctions, including without limitation home detention.

7. COLLECTION OF MONETARY JUDGMENT. In the case of failure to pay any monetary judgments within thirty (30) days of the file stamp date of this Judgment, all land or other property belonging to any Respondent, wherever situated is, by agreement, subject to lien, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution, and his or her income subject to garnishment, and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies, including without limitation, injunction and ejectment.

8. COLLECTION OF THIS JUDGMENT. All Respondents and Supplemental Respondents shall provide fully executed copies of any and all bonds, insurance policies, or underwriter agreements, if any, that would compensate Claimants for the harm and loss Respondents have caused and continue to cause them, their agents, principals, or associates. Respondents' monetary liabilities imposed herein are hereby DECLARED to be non-dischargeable *via* any corporately created statutory "bankruptcy" or otherwise, since such corporate policy proceedings are inapplicable to Respondents, who are all living people.

9. HOLDING HARMLESS. Respondents shall hold each of the people acting as Claimants' enforcement agents harmless as to liability for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of any part of the Judgment herein.

10. LOSS RECOUPMENT: Supplemental Respondents are hereby PROHIBITED from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred herein from the living men and women, if any, with whom they transact business or from members of the public at large. In the event that any Respondent ceases and desists in the ongoing interference with Claimants' right to contract, surrenders all offensive weapons on demand, and pays any outstanding Judgment, such facts will be taken into account in determining any future Respondent loss of liberty we may impose.

confiscated or surrendered firearms, which records shall remain confidential. All fees for OCCR to administer this public service, if any, shall be billed to and paid by Respondents.

4. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR ONGOING THREATS is hereby granted to Claimants and against all Respondents, Supplemental Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals, based on Respondents' prior extortionate acts of trespass, extortion, and/or statutory mail fraud, in the sum of \$500.00 per day per Respondent, effective April 19, 2025. Each aforementioned Respondent shall be individually liable for said daily sum of \$500.00 United States Dollars, not jointly and severally liable, said sums to be due and owing from each commencing April 19, 2025. A UNITED STATES dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

5. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR PAST HARM based upon Respondents' acts of common law trespass, extortion and statutory mail fraud against Claimants, is hereby GRANTED in the further sum of \$5,000.00 United States Dollars against each said Respondent individually and not jointly and severally.

*pk*  
*AP*  
*M* 6. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH JUDGMENT/RESERVATION OF JURISDICTION. In the event of Respondent failure to pay the monetary portions of this Judgment within thirty (30) days of the file stamp date of any such Judgment or failure to observe *any* injunction, failure or refusal to surrender weapons used in revenue generation, or upon evidence of new threats against Claimants, we hereby reserve jurisdiction to impose additional sanctions, including without limitation home detention.

7. COLLECTION OF MONETARY JUDGMENT. In the case of failure to pay any monetary judgments within thirty (30) days of the file stamp date of this Judgment, all land or other property belonging to any Respondent, wherever situated is, by agreement, subject to lien, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution, and his or her income subject to garnishment, and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies, including without limitation, injunction and ejectment.

8. COLLECTION OF THIS JUDGMENT. All Respondents and Supplemental Respondents shall provide fully executed copies of any and all bonds, insurance policies, or underwriter agreements, if any, that would compensate Claimants for the harm and loss Respondents have caused and continue to cause them, their agents, principals, or associates. Respondents' monetary liabilities imposed herein are hereby DECLARED to be non-dischargeable *via* any corporately created statutory "bankruptcy" or otherwise, since such corporate policy proceedings are inapplicable to Respondents, who are all living people.

9. HOLDING HARMLESS. Respondents shall hold each of the people acting as Claimants' enforcement agents harmless as to liability for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of any part of the Judgment herein.

10. LOSS RECOUPMENT: Supplemental Respondents are hereby PROHIBITED from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred herein from the living men and women, if any, with whom they transact business or from members of the public at large. In the event that any Respondent ceases and desists in the ongoing interference with Claimants' right to contract, surrenders all offensive weapons on demand, and pays any outstanding Judgment, such facts will be taken into account in determining any future Respondent loss of liberty we may impose.

RESPONDENTS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY.

By: [Signature]  
Member of the Court

By: Angela Plaster  
Member of the Court

By: Keith Bellwards  
Member of the Court

Executed by us as Members of this Ohio Circuit Court of Record, assembled in the name and presence of our Savior Jesus Christ, on the date indicated hereinabove without the corporations known as UNITED STATES or STATE OF OHIO, their affiliates, subsidiaries, and/or parent corporations

RESPONDENTS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY.

By: Mark

Member of the Court

By: Angela Plesco

Member of the Court

By: Keith Belluardo

Member of the Court

Executed by us as Members of this Ohio Circuit Court of Record, assembled in the name and presence of our Savior Jesus Christ, on the date indicated hereinabove without the corporations known as UNITED STATES or STATE OF OHIO, their affiliates, subsidiaries, and/or parent corporations