

NOTICE OF LIABILITIES FOR EXTORTION, ARMED ASSAULT, STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD, EMBEZZLEMENT, AND CONTRACT OFFER

December 12, 2025

FROM: Katherine Hine, *sui juris*, a living woman, having been found to be of full legal age, on behalf of herself and the other people who are members of the common law private membership association known as HiRGG Properties, pma;
Claimant.

TO: Steven Harding, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as STEVEN HARDING, STEVEN P. HARDING, or HARDING, STEVEN, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names, as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as GRAHAM LAW GROUP, a corporation consisting of unregistered foreign agent international BAR members, and of NAR OHIO, LLC, a subsidiary or affiliate of other corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 8216 Princeton-Glendale Road #254

West Chester, Ohio [near 45069];

sharding@graham-law-firm.com

or

[c/o] 5002 Dodge Street #203

Omaha, Nebraska [near 68132];

Mark Laughlin, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MARK LAUGHLIN and MARK C. LAUGHLIN, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names, as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as CHEDEL LAW GROUP, a corporation consisting of unregistered foreign agent international BAR members, and of NAR SOLUTIONS, INC, a subsidiary, affiliate or parent corporation of NAR OHIO, LLC and other corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 8216 Princeton-Glendale Road #254

West Chester, Ohio [near 45069];

Dawn Hoosier, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAWN HOOSIER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious name as agent. Employee, or contractor for Respondent Laughlin or his legal fiction roles,

[c/o] 8047 Blairhouse Drive

Cincinnati, Ohio [near 45244]

Carolyn Monroe, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as CAROLYN J. MONROE, among others, also acting as agent, contractor, employee, or officer of the private, for profit corporation known as OLD REPUBLIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, and other corporations, including

without limitation, NAR OHIO, LLC and NAR SOLUTIONS, INC. engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds,.

[c/o] 3000 Bayport Drive, Suite 1000
Tampa, Florida [near 33607],

David North, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID J. NORTH and DAVID J. NORTH JUNIOR, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, the latter having currently or recently been assigned Dun and Bradstreet numbers 797705480 and 797705514, among others;

[c/o] 40 East Walnut Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

David Steiner, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID STEINER and DAVID P. STEINER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as agent, principal, contractor, or officer of the private, for profit corporation known as UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, its headquarters having been assigned Dun and Bradstreet number 003261245, among others;

[c/o] 475 L'Enfant Plaza SouthWest
Washington, District of Columbia [near 20260];

Michael Ater, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MICHAEL ATER and MICHAEL M. ATER, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporations publicly known as ROSS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business either as the corporation, COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632, the corporation, STEVEN MADDUX, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 027743374, as well as acting by means of legal fictions as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations, and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 2 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [45601];

Matthew Schmidt, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as MATTHEW SCHMIDT and MATTHEW S. SCHMIDT, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as ROSS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632; the corporation, STEVEN MADDUX, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 027743374, also acting as agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 933725632 as well as acting as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations; and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of

land grabs and statutory mail frauds;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Ron Meyers, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as RON MEYERS and RONALD MEYERS, also acting by means of such fictitious names as agent, principal, contractor, or officer of the corporation known as CHILLICOTHE POLICE DEPARTMENT, also doing business as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, having currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 949657472;
[c/o] 28 North Paint Suite B
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

James Cutright, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JAMES CUTRIGHT and JAMES K. CUTRIGHT, among others, also acting by means of such fictitious names as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations, also having acted as JAMES K. CUTRIGHT, ATTORNEY AT LAW, or CUTRIGHT & CUTRIGHT, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 838232536, formerly doing business as FIRST CAPITAL TITLE AGENCY, previously having used the Dun and Bradstreet number 111640645 but now being “out of business”, according to Dun and Bradstreet, also currently doing business as SCIOTO VALLEY TITLE AGENCY LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number: 131547247 and doing business since 9/25/12 as TREUBHCUMHACHD, LLC, being said to have a corporate identifier 2139188, as well as acting in his fictitious capacity as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO;
[c/o] 76 West Second Street
Chillicothe Ohio [near 45601];

George Lavender, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as GEORGE W. LAVENDER and GEORGE LAVENDER JR., among others, also having acted as employee, contractor, or agent of the private, for profit corporation known publicly as ROSS COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT, also doing business as COUNTY OF ROSS, having Dun and Bradstreet number 106397300;
[c/o] 28 North Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

David Jeffers, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID JEFFERS, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the entity publicly known as ROSS COUNTY TREASURER, and acting for the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, bearing Dun & Bradstreet number 089407055,
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite F,
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
djeffers07@hotmail.com,

Jeff Lehner, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JEFF LEHNER, among others, acting as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation

publicly known as ROSS COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE, as well as acting for the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, currently or recently having been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 042343389;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street Suite G
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
jefflehner@rosscountyohio.gov

Richard Ward, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as RICHARD WARD and RICHARD G. WARD, among others, acting as a principal, employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as ROSS COUNTY, OHIO but doing business as COUNTY OF ROSS, currently having Dun and Bradstreet number 781411228; as well as acting or having acted as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO and as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations;
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street Suite A
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];
Or
82 Saint Andrews Boulevard
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Kathy Dunn, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as KATHY DUNN and KATHLEEN DUNN, among others, also having acted in 2021 and at other times as employee, contractor, or agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS; which at that time bore the Dun & Bradstreet Number 075033183, or a division, branch, or subsidiary of said corporation using the name ROSS COUNTY RECORDER,
[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite E
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

John Street, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as JOHN STREET and JOHN B.STREET, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, and which has currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 94965747 as well as acting as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations; and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;
[c/o] 35 South Paint Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Keith Washburn, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as KEITH WASHBURN and KEITH A. WASHBURN, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent, or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as CITY OF CHILLICOTHE, and which has currently or recently been assigned the Dun and Bradstreet number 94965747 as well as acting as an agent or officer of one or more of the legal fiction corporations currently doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, as an

unregistered foreign agent of one or more international BAR corporations and as an agent of corporations engaged in the business of land grabs and statutory mail frauds;

[c/o] 95 East Main Street
Chillicothe, Ohio [near 45601];

Jack Everson, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as JACK EVERSON, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the corporation known as COUNTY OF ROSS, bearing Dun & Bradstreet No. 075033183 and who does business as “executive” of said corporation, which is said to be the “Headquarters” of the corporate operation;

[c/o] 2 North Paint Street, Suite H,
Chillicothe, Ohio [45601]

Sharon Kennedy, a living woman, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known as SHARON KENNEDY and SHARON L. KENNEDY, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, agent or officer of the private, for profit corporation publicly known as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO and its branches, divisions, affiliates, and subsidiaries;

[c/o] 65 South Front Street
Columbus, Ohio [near 43215];

David Yost, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fiction known as DAVID YOST, among others, also acting as employee, contractor, officer or agent of the corporation or group of corporations known as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO, bearing Dun & Bradstreet No. 809031883 and/or 001211452, and its branches, divisions, affiliates, and subsidiaries;

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, 25th Floor,
Columbus, Ohio [43215];

Michael DeWine, a living man, also having acted as guarantor of the legal fictions known known as MIKE DEWINE, RICHARD MICHAEL DEWINE, R. MICHAEL DEWINE, RICHARD M.DEWINE, among others, also acting as agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation currently or previously known as OHIO, STATE OF, currently or previously having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215, among others, said Respondent DeWine doing business as Governor, STATE OF OHIO and also being agent, employee, officer, or contractor of one or more of the corporations doing business as SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, currently or previously having Dun & Bradstreet number 360705099, the latter doing business as OHIO SUPREME COURT,

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street –Floor 40,
Columbus, Ohio [near 43215];

Respondents.

Corporate Reference Nos. 25000589 and PFJ-80824000

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE
TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B) CONTINUED ACTS OF TRESPASS AGAINST CLAIMANTS AND THEIR TENANTS; OR BY (C) CONTINUED THREATS OF ARMED ASSAULT; OR BY (D) COMMITTING FURTHER ACTS OF STATUTORY MAIL FRAUD; OR BY (E) MAKING ADDITIONAL EXTORTIONATE THREATS KNOWN AS LAND GRABS BASED ON NON-EXISTENT ALLEGED DEBT, OR BY (F) OTHERWISE MAINTAINING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE NUISANCES INTERFERING WITH CLAIMANTS' PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT OF THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY LANDS.

PRELIMINARY NOTICE. This document is presented with honorable, peaceful intentions, and is expressly for your benefit to provide each Respondent, his or her agents, principals and contractors, with due process per the due course of Common Law and per the process authorized by Lord Jesus Christ (Matthew 18:15-17), hereby providing each Respondent with a good faith opportunity to respond as instructed with verified facts specifically refuting the statements contained herein.

I.PREAMBLE:

A.NOTE ON MEANING OF TERMS USED HEREIN: Notwithstanding any agreement, course of dealing, or usage of trade to the contrary, the undersigned Claimant does not understand, nor is she required to understand or accept any other meaning of words in the English language other than those found in common American speech or in Webster's 1828 dictionary. Unless otherwise specified herein, terms used herein, including legal fictions, which may have particularized meanings among the people acting as agents of the corporate entities set forth hereinabove, are being used as the undersigned understands them and according to their ordinary and plain meanings and/or as defined by said Webster's dictionary. Specific terms used herein include without limitation:

(1) Usage of the term "You" or "Respondent" herein refers exclusively to a man or woman and not in his or her corporate role unless otherwise specified.

(2) Usage of the term "Claimant" herein refers to Katherine Hine and/or the other members of the non-corporate private membership association of living people known as HiRGG Properties, pma.

(3) Usage of the term One United States "Dollar" herein refers to the definition for same provided by Respondents' predecessors' Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage. Neither act has ever been repealed.

(4) Usage of the terms "United States Constitution" or U.S. Constitution herein refers to the September 17, 1787 Constitution for the united States of America or the legal fiction entity then operating on behalf of the union of said nation States.

(5) Usage of the term "land grab" herein refers to a usually swift acquisition of property often by fraud or force.

(6) The term "corporation" herein refers to a legal fiction entity created for the purpose of generating profit and shielding its shareholders, owners, agents, and contractors from individual liabilities for injury, harm, or loss caused to people by actions of such corporate agents;

(7) The term "foreclosure" herein refers to the act of depriving a mortgagor of the right of redeeming a mortgaged estate. Webster's Dictionary (1828);

(8) The term "tax" herein refers to (a) a pecuniary burden laid upon individuals or property to support a sovereign, and/or (b) consensual annual compensation paid to government for protection, for other benefits and/or services, or for current support of government;

(9) The term "tribute" herein refers to payments made by a defeated people, or by slaves, to a conquering power;

(10) The term "direct taxes" herein refers to monetary claims which are assessed upon the property, land, person, business, or income of those who are to pay them;

(11) The term "indirect taxes" herein refers to monetary claims which are levied on commodities before they reach the consumer, and are paid by the ultimate purchaser or user, not as taxes, but as part of the market price of the commodity;

(12) The term "ad valorem" herein refers to and is translated as "according to value";

(13) The term "proportional", when used herein to describe a tax, refers to the concept that each taxpayer pays a tax that bears the same ratio to the amount to be raised that the value of his or her taxed asset bears to the total value of all taxpayers' taxed assets;

(14) The term "Federal Reserve Note" or FRN, used herein, refers an unsecured debt instrument printed by agents the private, for profit corporation known as the FEDERAL RESERVE BANK or its contractors. An FRN is not a United States Dollar.

(15) The term "payment", as of a claimed liability or debt, used herein, refers to the delivery of money or value, which then extinguishes or cancels the claimed liability or debt;

(16) The term "discharge", as of a claimed liability or debt, used herein, refers to

replacing the claimed liability or debt with a different form of satisfaction, including without limitation the tender of debt instruments, which the creditor accepts as tender;

(17) The term “allodial” used herein refers to and means "free; not beholden to any lord or superior; owned without obligation...; the opposite of feudal." Black's Law Dictionary (6th);

(18) The term “mail fraud” used herein refers to a violation of a corporate policy enactment including without limitation the following from “UNITED STATES CODE”: (a) use of the mail to send false property tax bills (18 U.S.C. Sec. 1341); or (b) creation of fictitious obligations and presenting them as bills (18 U.S.C. Sec.514); or (c) creation of a counterfeit security by placing property on a tax roll when no such tax is owed (18 U.S. C. Sec. 513);

(19) The term “strawman” used herein refers to the name of a front, or third party that is used only to take part in a transaction, a nominal party said to act as agent for another for the purposes of executing documents that purport to transfer title to real property according to the directives of the principal, also includes the name of a legal fiction person used to purchase property for another, to conceal the identity of the real purchaser or to accomplish some purpose otherwise not allowed, usually designated by means of the non-standard usage of all capital names. Based on Black's Law Dictionary (6th Ed);

B. AGREEMENT & WAIVER OF RIGHTS: If any Respondent agrees with all of the statements contained in the within Notice, he or she need not respond. Respondent's silence will constitute acceptance of all of the terms, statements and provisions hereunder as his or her complete understanding of and agreement with the Claimant as to any and all rights, remedies and defenses of protest, objection, rebuttal, argument, appeal and controversy for all time. Respondents agree that his or her silence or his or her commission of specified acts, constitutes his or her knowing and voluntary grant of consent to the facts specified, following full prior disclosure of all material facts, and acceptance of the contract offer herein made in settlement of all matters finally and forever, and cannot be withdrawn.

C. DISAGREEMENT & FAILURE TO RESPOND: Respondents may disagree with any of the terms of the Notice by providing a verified rebuttal of any disputed fact or by stating a verified claim with particularity. Each Respondent and the undersigned Claimant agree that a response which is not verified, or a response from a third party agent lacking first-hand knowledge of the facts, will constitute that Respondent's “failure to respond” as defined herein. If a Respondent fails to respond or state a claim in the manner herein indicated by the indicated Effective Date, the facts and law stated within this Notice will become binding and fully enforceable in any Court that Claimant may select which applies Biblical or common law principles according to Court members' understanding of the supreme law of Almighty God.

D.OFFER OF IMMUNITY—STATING A CLAIM: Any Respondent may avoid all liability and obligations under this Notice by simply responding no later than by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the Effective Date, said Response supported by an Affidavit signed by said Respondent or anyone else with personal knowledge of the facts contained therein, signed in the presence of two witnesses and which disputes any of the facts contained herein or which proves any claim that such Respondent man or woman may have against any Claimant. The statement must be sworn to be true, be witnessed by at least two living people, and be supported by certified factual evidence and verified proof. Alternatively, Respondent may respond with a point by point, fact by fact rebuttal of the Notice, sworn to be true by a man or woman with actual personal knowledge of the facts, and to which he or she attaches certified factual evidence. In the event any Respondent declines this good faith Offer of Immunity, Respondent agrees with all terms, facts, statements and provisions in this Notice and any obligations created hereunder.

E.TERMS OF RESPONSE: Respondent may rebut the statements and claims in the Notice herein by executing a verified response, point by point, fact by fact, with evidence that is certified to be true and in affidavit form, correct and complete, to be received by Oxana Khramova at the address indicated hereinbelow, no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the Effective Date.

F. FAILURE TO RESPOND: The term “failure to respond” means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice or the providing of a “response” that meets the definition of being an “insufficient response” as that term is defined hereinbelow. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice and an acceptance of the contract offer contained herein.

G. INSUFFICIENCY OF RESPONSE: The terms “insufficiency of response” and “insufficient response” are defined to refer to a response which is received by the Effective Date but which fails to specifically rebut, line by line, any of the established terms, provisions, statements or claims in the Notice, or offers blanket denials, unsupported rebuttals, inapposite rebuttals such as “not applicable” or equivalent statements, declarations of counsel and or other third parties who lack first-hand material factual knowledge, and/or any rebuttal which lacks verification or an equivalent level of risk or fails to exhibit supportive evidence certified to be true, correct and complete under full commercial liability and with due regard for penalties for perjury. Respondents agree that any such response is deemed to be legally and lawfully insufficient to rebut the established statements in the verified Notice, thereby conveying Respondent’s agreement with all of the terms and provisions of this Notice.

H. TACIT AGREEMENT: Respondents may admit to all statements and claims in the Notice by simply remaining silent. The parties herein agree that failure to respond or insufficiency of response as defined herein constitutes agreement with all terms, provisions, statements, facts and claims in the Notice. When circumstances impose a duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation.

Any Respondent may also consent to the terms of the within Notice, accept the contract offer contained herein, and then be deemed to have admitted to all statements and claims in this Notice by his or her conduct, as specified hereinabove in the bold, capitalized section just prior to the Preliminary Notice.

I.EFFECTIVE DATE/RESPONSE: Response must be received by the effective date, which is ten (10) days from the date of receipt of this notice. **Response must be by UNITED STATES POST OFFICE certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:**

Oxana Khramova
[c/o] 1701 Sixth Avenue North
Menomonie, Wisconsin [near 54751].

II. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO RESPOND

A. FAILURE TO RESPOND DEFINED: The term “failure to respond” means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice as instructed or that his or her attempted response was “insufficient” as that term is defined hereinabove. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with the content of all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

B. SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT: Upon any Respondent’s failure to respond to the contract offer contained in this Notice, his or her silence or commission of any of the specific types of conduct set forth hereinabove, constitutes an acceptance of Claimants’ offer contained herein. The consequent Contract thus created establishes the facts and terms resolving all matters in controversy, becoming instantly self-executing. Respondents agree to be bound by all terms of the Contract commencing on date of default.

C. NOTICE OF DEFAULT: Claimants acknowledge that Respondents are entitled to a Notice of Default. In consideration, each Respondent agrees that such Notice of Default would be his or her final opportunity to explain prior refusals to respond and to agree with or to specifically rebut all terms, statements, facts and provisions contained in the Contract offer included herein. Since Judgment is issued when a party waives the right to respond, all parties to this Agreement agree to be bound in perpetuity by any and all such Judgments which may be issued regarding the Contract and/or adopting all of its terms.

D. BINDING JUDGMENT: Any Respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the Contract thereby created may be entered by any common law or other Court of record applying and complying with the law of Almighty God, at Claimant’s election. Any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any corporate tribunal or common law court. Claimants may elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it through any enforcement agent or agents of their choosing. Any Respondent who fails to respond as defined herein

agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or Court assembled according to God's law or against any man or woman who may participate in the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

III. ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANT'S LAND, ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO AID AND ABET IN EXTORTION, THIEVERY, TRESPASS AND/OR MAINTENANCE OF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE NUISANCES

A. SUMMARY OF FACTS

(1) On or about November 28, 2025, an agent of the Claimant members of HiRGG Properties, pma, a common law private membership association doing business according to the law of God, learned that agents of Respondents Harding, Monroe, Ater, North and Steiner had been engaging, via statutory mail fraud in November 21, 2025 written threats involving fraud, extortion and armed assault. Said threats of violence bear the labels 25 CI 000589, PJR 80824000, among possible others. Agents of Respondents North and Steiner had been attempting to deliver said land grab threats to the non-existent HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC, although neither Respondents nor Claimants have any interest in said legal fiction entity. Instead, Respondents attempted to interfere with the contractual relationships between the members of HiRGG Properties, pma and its tenants by trespassing onto Claimants' lands. Said threats are and were part of a corporate revenue generation scheme being operated by all of the above named Respondents, their agents, and contractors.

(2) The Respondents' land grab scheme against Claimants is based on the false theory that at least some of said Respondents, including without limitation Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn and Ater, their agents and contractors, can use force and threats of same to portray themselves as having authority to impose non-consensual and unverified debt upon the people who live and own various parts of the land mass referred to as Ross County, Ohio. Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and Monroe, their agents and contractors are engaged in a variation on the land grab theme in which they or one of their accomplices pays or claims to have paid a so called "property tax" such as the ones falsely alleged by Jeffers, Lehner and their agents or contractors. Jeffers', Lehner's, Dunn's, and/or Ater's agents or contractors then create bookkeeping entries giving the appearance that some sort of tax "debt", although never owed by Claimants, was paid by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and/or Monroe.

(3) The above described tactics are then used to falsely assert that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC is indebted to the legal fictions being operated by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, and/or Monroe or their agents or contractors..

(4) Respondents Jeffers, Lehner, Dunn, Ater, Schmidt, their predecessors, agents, and contractors implement the notion of property taxation in defiance of the 1787 Constitution, to which they have sworn allegiance, which prohibits *ad valorem* taxation, because it is a direct tax that is required to be apportioned. Respondents lack any proof that whatever scheme of so called taxation they have devised would result in an apportioned tax. Respondent Lehner has publicly admitted that the so called "tax" is an *ad valorem* tax. Said form of taxation is prohibited according to Article I. Section 2 Clause 3 and Article I Sec. 9 Clause 4 of Respondents' 1787 Constitution because such taxation is never apportioned. Those Respondents acting as tax collectors or imposers, then contract with accomplices, in this case, Respondents Harding, Laughlin and Monroe, or their agents, to pay or allege that they have paid "property taxes" falsely attributed to Claimants.

(5) Respondent Harding's November 21, 2025 threat, which he communicated to agents of Respondent Ater, among others, contains an illegible scrawl instead of a signature and must therefore be considered to be unsigned since it serves no purpose of identifying the signer.

(6) Respondent Harding's most recent threat is part of an extortion scheme in which all Respondents, their agents, predecessors, contractors and corporate superiors are engaged and which constitutes a public and private nuisance by interfering with Claimants' peaceful enjoyment of their private property land.

(7) It is a matter of public record and common knowledge that Respondent Steiner's predecessor, Douglas Tulino, has on at least three occasions formally admitted his participation in acts of mail fraud against Claimants for his participation in delivering mail threats near 189 East Water Street REAR, Chillicothe, Ohio, per the pending matter of *Hine v. North*, posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>.

(8) It is also a matter of public record, common knowledge, and admitted that Respondent North's predecessor, Michelle Arnold, has been found monetarily and criminally liable twice for her participation in acts of admitted mail fraud against Claimants at the same location where Respondents North and Steiner had Respondent Harding's November 2025 threats delivered. Delivering mail from legal fictions to other legal fictions, instead of delivering from and to living people, including without limitation, Claimants, is a repetition of the earlier mail frauds previously adjudicated by the Ohio Circuit Court of Record in the matters of *McCabe v. Arnold* and *Hine v. Ater*, both judgments having been publicly posted at <https://occr2021.com/dockets/>.

(9) All Respondents, their agents, contractors and principals, have actual and constructive knowledge that the three matters referenced as to Respondents North and Steiner and their predecessors in paragraphs I(7) and (8) hereinabove all involved Respondents' communications of written threats of violence accompanied by acts of trespass onto land.

(10) Respondent Harding has been directing his November, 2025 allegations against “HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC”, a legal fiction having no tangible existence and which is not the owner of any of the private property land owned by Claimants, the people who are members of HiRGG Properties, pma.

(11) In October, 2021, Respondents’ agents and superiors, including without limitation, Respondents Dunn, Jeffers, Yost, and others, were served with a “NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF INTEREST IN REAL PROPERTY” informing them that the legal fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC no longer had any interest, if it ever had, in a group of private property lands owned by Claimants, which included, without limitation, the ones known, in addition to their metes and bounds descriptions, as 189 East Water Street, 736 East Main Street, 732 East Fourth Street, 721 East Fourth Street, 732 Madison Avenue, 412 Pohlman Road, and 282 Caldwell, all located on the private property land known as Chillicothe, Ohio. All said land was originally created by Almighty God for the use of the men and women He also created, not for exploitation by those who steal, use weapons to extort, or otherwise use or threaten violence to satisfy private covetousness and corporate greed.

(12) Not one of the Respondents, his or her agents, contractors, officers, or principals, currently has or has ever had any legal or lawful interest in the private property land known by address as stated hereinabove.

(13) Agents of such legal fictions as CITY OF CHILICOTHE who call themselves “police” or “sheriff” and who enforce no law, likewise have no duty to protect or serve anyone, including Claimants. This fact is a matter of record that Respondents Ater, Lavender, and Meyers admitted over a year ago in *Hine v. Ater*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-004, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Default-Judgment-Private-Property-Ross-County.pdf> and earlier this year in *Hine v. Free*, OCCR Case No. 24-ROS-003, located at <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Judgment-for-Contempt.pdf>. None of Respondents including without limitation Meyers, Lavender, Ater, or Washburn, their agents, and contractors have any justification for carrying firearms when committing acts of extortion or otherwise acting for the revenue generation interests of any corporate employer, regardless of whether or not said employer is considered to be part of “government”.

(14) Claimant hereby adopts each and every finding of fact already determined against Respondents Street, Meyers, Washburn, through his predecessor Toni Eddy, and the people acting as agents or contractors of the fictitious CITY OF CHILICOTHE, all of which findings are contained in the Judgment Entries publicly recorded in *Hine v. Ater* and *Hine v. Free*, after full due process opportunities to refute same, and which findings, with supporting documents, are set forth in the docket section of www.occr2021.com.

(15) Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals have all committed acts interfering with Claimants' use or enjoyment of their private property lands above

referenced. Yet Respondent Monroe and her agents and contractors refer to the land grabs and mail frauds in which they engage, as "technology and strategic growth".

(16) Respondents North, Steiner, Meyers, Lavender and their agents, aid and abet in the land grabs initiated by Respondents Harding, his agents, contractors, and principals by trespassing on the private property lands of the people who own HiRGG Properties, pma. Respondents' said actions lack any authority even from their own private corporate code enforcers and constitute act of mail fraud in violation of their superiors' corporate statute known as 18 U.S. C. Sec. 1341.

(17) BAR member Respondents Ater, Schmidt, Street, and Washburn, their agents and contractors, generate documents that are used to foment more direct acts of violence by Respondents Meyers, Lavender, and their agents. Said BAR member Respondents either provide signatures by their own hands or purport to provide them by means of electronically generated so-called signatures, aka robo signatures, on documents created by their own efforts, by their agents or by BAR member Respondents including without limitation, Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe, their agents or contractors.

(18) None of the Claimants ever consented to any contract to pay "property tax" to any of the Respondents, their agents or principals and were likewise never provided any prior disclosure to Claimants of all material facts.

(19) None of Respondents have ever provided verification of any debt any of them or their agents, contractors, or principals may allege is owed to them.

(20) According to admissions against interest made by Respondents' predecessors and superiors contained in Article I Section 10 of the Cabal's 1787 Constitution, Respondents, including Respondent Harding, are prohibited from collecting anything except gold and silver, not debt instruments such as Federal Reserve Notes, as payment for any claimed debt.

(21) Respondents Dunn, Lehner, and Jeffers operate a corporate recording service for those agreeing to act as legal fiction "persons" and in which Respondents falsely refer to the people's land as "real estate", using corporate "parcel numbers", and other corporate terms. Prior to October, 2021, any presumed "consent" by Claimants or any of them, to "record" whatever interests, if any, that the legal fiction entity known as HIRGG PROPERTIES, LLC ever had in Claimants' private property land, was meaningless because a non-existent legal fiction corporation can own no interest in private property land. Since October, 2021, Claimants have had no connection to or interest in the fiction known as HiRGG PROPERTIES, LLC and provided hand delivered, formal written Notice of that fact to Respondents in October, 2021.

(22) On or about October 9, 2021, following some sixteen years of open, continuous and exclusive use of their lands, Claimants transferred all their right, title and interest in the private property lands previously described as being in the name of HiRGG

PROPERTIES LLC to HiRGG Properties, pma. Claimants then caused hand delivery of formal written Notice of said transfer to Respondents Dunn, Ward and Yost by Respondents' predecessors Byers and Spetnagle in person or by agent, and to a surviving agent of Respondents' predecessor, James Caldwell. That Notice informed Respondents and their predecessors that the new transfer documents would not be recorded or registered with the private corporate records of Respondents or their predecessors. None of the recipients of such October, 2021 Notice responded, except that Respondent Yost acknowledged receipt of same in writing.

(23) Besides being meaningless and based on false presumptions, any attempts by Claimants or Respondent Cutright to record any corporatized perversions of Claimant Hine's interests in private property land with Respondent Dunn's private corporate record keeping service and any associated payments in connection therewith were induced by (a) active concealment of the corporate nature of Respondents' principals, (b) false statements by Respondents and their agents to the effect that private transfers of interests in land between people were somehow prohibited in the absence of recording, and (c) threats of violent retaliations, such as those currently being expressed by Respondents Harding, Laughlin, Monroe and Ater and previously implied by Respondents Cutright and Dunn. As a result, between 2005 and October, 2021 Claimant Hine was coerced into paying some \$110,000 she never owed to Respondents Ater, Dunn, Jeffers, Lehner, and their agents, contractors, and predecessors.

(24) Respondents have never contributed any value or benefit whatever to Claimants or their private property land, whether while acting in said Respondents' various roles as legal fiction persons and fictitious "agents" of COUNTY OF ROSS, or otherwise..

(25) Despite their corporate affiliations and memberships in admittedly Luciferean secret societies, Respondents publicly pose as people with integrity subject to the superior authority of the most high God. None can say they do not know. Hebrews 8:10.

(26) God created the land upon which Claimants' houses are affixed and which serve as family homes. People, not corporations, constructed, repaired and continued repairing said homes.

(27) None of the Respondents have a legal or lawful interest in Claimants' private property land and fixtures created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV).

B. FACTS *RE* RESPONDENTS' IDENTITIES, POLICIES AND PRACTICES

(1) Respondents are men and women who operate one or more private, for profit corporations, while posing as agents or contractors of "government", as indicated hereinabove. Respondents ignore the common law and the law of God, instead administering corporate policies known as statutes, codes, ordinances or even Constitutional provisions. Such policies are not law, just policies for corporate agents and

customers. Claimants are neither. There are no provisions of said corporate policy enactments that even pretend to authorize the imposition of “property tax” against living people, including without limitation, the Claimants. All provisions of the Ohio Revised Code apply, according to their own terms, if they apply at all, *only* to legal fiction “persons”, not to Claimants, who are living people.

(2) When operating the corporations they claim as their principal(s), Respondents and their agents and contractors use all capital letter perversions of their own birth names and insist that others with whom they contract, do likewise. Claimants do not knowingly engage in this practice, nor are they required to do so.

(3) Respondents are not specially privileged or entitled beings. Respondents derive no divine rights as kings or other authority from the Almighty, to enslave Claimants or anyone else. Psalms 82. Respondents as individual people have no claim or ownership interest in the land belonging to Claimants. Yet Respondents propose to take it by force, causing injury or even death to Claimants and their associates at such time as Claimants exercise their God given and common law rights to defend said private property land belonging to them and not to Respondents.

(4) Respondents portray themselves as being the legal fiction roles they play, and as “elected officials” or as agents of “elected officials”. Such designations are false and misleading, in part because of Respondents’ policy of secret voting. There is no evidence that any particular man or woman, acting as a legal fiction “registered voter” person, ever voted for any of said Respondents or their superiors. Without specific evidence that any man or woman openly, and with full accountability as a man or woman or even as “registered voter”, cast his or her vote for any of Respondents or their superiors, there can be no evidence that anyone in particular voted for any of the Respondents or for his or her superiors. Claimants are not registered voters and have not thereby or otherwise consented to be guarantors of Respondents or their legal fiction entities.

(5) Some of the Respondents are required by their corporate policy enactments to swear oaths of office. Some of these oaths are missing. Others of the Respondents’ “oaths of office” are to the corporate STATE, not to the people. Some of the Respondents take oaths to other organizations that specifically and directly negate their oaths of office, if any. Said contradictory oaths include without limitation, the Kol Nidre, the Jesuit Oath of induction, and/or various Masonic oaths. The existence of such contradictory oaths do not lawfully excuse Respondents’ liabilities for common law trespass, robbery, extortion, embezzlement, battery, or threats of same. Besides contradicting Respondents’ oaths of office, if any, the Freemasonry and Jesuit oaths are inconsistent with the law of God, and express the expectation that members will treat other members with partiality. The dogmas of such secret societies influence corporate policies of Respondents’ principals.

(6) Respondents use the Federal Reserve Notes they demand from the people in the form of “taxes” without the knowledge or consent of Claimants or that of other land owners. Most if not all of said FRN’s are admittedly used to finance inefficiency, waste and

interest on Respondents' superiors' debt. Respondents use or turn over funds collected from taxes to those who use those funds without the knowledge or informed consent of land owning people. Respondents use their own records of the corporatized descriptions of the people's lands as pledged paper collateral for Respondents' own debts and investments with affiliated corporations, also without the land owners' informed consent. Respondents then provide no accounting for such appropriations of the people's private property lands. Any use Respondents have ever made of Claimants' private property land as collateral for their corporate transactions without providing an accounting was done without the consent of Claimants and constitutes common law embezzlement.

(7) The spending practices of Respondents, their principals and superiors have created debt that has gone beyond being unserviceable, i.e. having interest payments incapable of being consistently maintained. Respondents, their principals and superiors are being charged usurious interest without the informed consent of Claimants or of other land owners. None of Respondents' superiors' debts or investments were incurred by Claimants, with Claimants' consent, or for Claimants' benefit.

(8) Respondents' agents, contractors, and principals have established a pattern, custom and practice of committing common law armed assaults, batteries and extortionate acts in the course of generating or attempting to generate corporate revenue. Respondents claim Federal Reserve Notes and private property land from targeted landowners for the stated purpose of using the funds thus extorted allegedly to "protect" or "benefit" the targeted people, regardless of whether the targeted people seek or consent to such claimed "protection" or "benefit".

(9) One of the ways Respondents generate revenue for their corporate principals, is through the extortion of credits called Federal Reserve Notes from the people by means of Respondents' "taxation" schemes. Other sources of revenue come from Respondents' Court Registry Investment System maintained by the BAR member Respondents. Yet other sources of revenue include without limitation, such operations as Respondent DeWine's admitted participation in the mass poisonings involved in the health scare hoax as set forth in the March 19, 2022 Judgment entered in *Plaster v. DeWine* <https://occr2021.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/22-CRF-001-Judgment-Entry-1.pdf>. Respondent DeWine continues to refuse to pay said judgment. In the guise of "child protection", or "public health" Respondents also use several of their principals' affiliated corporations to inject children and adults with neurotoxins, and subject them to kidnapping, forced drugging and electroshock.

(10) Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to even indirectly help fund acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare". Any voluntary financial participation in such schemes could subject Claimants to their own financial and criminal liabilities as accomplices. Any such participation would also constitute a mockery of the Lord God Almighty. Galatians 6:7.

(11) Respondents have no lawful authority to coerce Claimants to submit to threats of armed robbery, under the guise of “taxation”, or to financially support or to become unwilling accomplices to any of Respondents’ crimes against others.

C. RESPONDENTS LACK AUTHORITY TO “TAX”

(1) Respondents’ corporate superiors have admitted that taxation is a power that can only lawfully originate from a sovereign. Neither Respondents nor their principals or superiors are sovereigns when acting on behalf of any legal fiction. Respondents’ superiors and predecessors have long admitted that it is the people who are the sovereigns, sovereigns without subjects, and that it is the people who are the source of all earthly law, not those acting as legal fiction persons. Instead of paying taxes to a fictitious entity or its so called agents, Claimants have chosen to honor God by offering Him the first fruits from the land over which He gave them dominion. Genesis 1:26; Deuteronomy 26. All people are prohibited from worshipping God while also worshipping corporate idols.

(2) Respondents, as well as their agents, employees, contractors, and principals, when acting in their roles as legal fictions, are not sovereign. When acting as God-created men and women Respondents may have sovereignty over their own lives but not over the lives of others. To presume otherwise would enable unlawful and illegal attempts to enslave others, including Claimants, who are also individually sovereign. No legal fiction corporation or person has any existence in reality except as a mental construct, and therefore could not possibly have sovereignty over Claimants as creations of the Almighty God. Respondents, their agents, employees, contractors and principals create no authority to do anything merely by using legal fiction labels, costumes, or badges. Such trappings of Respondents’ corporate status provide no immunity for their acts of extortion, trespass, or armed robbery against people.

(3) Respondents’ predecessors long ago admitted that the people of the original Ohio territory were entitled to a republican form of government, i.e. a government by consent. 1802 Enabling Act. God also originally required the people to be self-governing and not governed by representation. Genesis 1:26. Claimants are bound only by the common law and, ultimately, the law of God. Both common law and God’s law are higher authorities than corporate policies. Neither recognizes the phenomenon of tax liens. Even Respondents’ superiors presume said “liens” to be unlawful. *Cassidy v Aroostook*, 134 Me. 34(1936).

(4) The corporate STATE’s taxation codes do not use the words man, woman, or people because corporations have no authority over living people. Only God has authority over His creations, the living people. Moreover, Respondents have to date failed to claim the existence of any corporate statute, code or ordinance that grants any of them or their principals taxing authority. Neither Respondents’ OHIO REVISED CODE nor their STATE OF OHIO “Constitution” contains claimed taxing authority, only descriptions of how to collect FRN’s based on groundless presumption.

(5) The true sovereign over the people and over the lands He created is and continues to be the most high God, not a man or woman acting in the role of a king or Pharaoh, and not a group of apparent extortionists and embezzlers such as Respondents. Not one of the Respondents nor any of his or her agents or principals is sovereign when acting on behalf of one or more legal fiction corporations.

(6) Nowhere does the Bible document the existence of lawful authority to impose a property tax. For centuries, the people's land has been reserved as an inheritance for future generations. Deuteronomy 19:14; Leviticus 25. A tax on the people's land is an attempt by agents of the corporate STATE to defund, dispossess, and disinherit the people, disrupt families, and make people slaves and serfs, as Respondents' predecessors have admitted it was. "The power to tax is the power to destroy." *McCulloch v. Maryland* 17 U.S. 316 (1819).

(7) Taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long claimed that slavery was abolished by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and the battlefield deaths of some one million American men more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants. Such enslavement efforts may be punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants and other believers have been ransomed and redeemed by Him. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. The most high God not only prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants. He also prohibits Claimants as Christians, from becoming the slaves of men. Claimants belong to the Messiah, Jesus Christ, the son of God. Claimants cannot lawfully be subjugated to any incorporeal or imaginary entity known as the corporate STATE. The notion that taxation, more properly called tribute, may be imposed on a conquered people is merely a way of justifying Respondents' tortious and criminal acts. Claimants reject Respondents' efforts to attack the fictions of straw they create for unconquered people such as Claimants.

(8) Respondents seek to enslave Claimants and reduce them to serfdom. In 1 Samuel 8:14-15, God warned the people about the misery that anyone acting as a king would bring to the people in the form of taxation.

(9) Claimants' land is not occupied territory. Claimants are likewise not subject to any corporate policies of agents of any entities Respondents' superiors or predecessors created pursuant to the December 9, 1945 International Organization Immunities Act, or otherwise.

(10) Respondents have no claim to immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. People who act in groups to harm others may not immunize their accomplices. Respondents' taxation and land seizure operations

are creating private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments. At such time as the facts set forth herein are admitted by default or conduct as set forth hereinabove, Respondents may also be found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for any or all common law crimes against Claimants so established.

(11) It is a settled principle that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits. Because corporations have no physical reality they can have no territorial limits. Their agents, including without limitation, Respondents, have no lawful authority over land. None of the Respondents purporting to act on behalf of their legal fiction corporations, has any taxing authority.

(12) Neither Claimants nor the strawman version of their names are in contract with the corporate "COUNTY OF ROSS", "CITY OF CHILLICOTHE", "STATE OF OHIO", or any of their parent or affiliate corporations. Claimants are not bound by nor have they consented to Respondents' unilateral corporate categorizations, if any, of their private property land. No such corporate classification policies justify Respondents' efforts to rob Claimants of their private property land.

(13) Respondents' superiors and predecessors have admitted that property generally is "the right and interest which a man has in lands and chattels to the exclusion of others." *Ralston Car Co. v. Ralston* 147 N.E. 513 (Ohio 1925) Claimants elect to exclude Respondents from their private property land.

D. RESPONDENTS HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO ACT AS COURTS

(1) Common law is a superior form of mankind's authority derived from God. Respondents' predecessors also admitted that "The inhabitants of the [Ohio] territory shall always be entitled to the benefits . . . of judicial proceedings according to the course of the common law." NW Ordinance, Article II. As corporations, Respondents' tribunals do not meet their own definition of being courts because they are not operated by the sovereign. Black's 4th. Such entities are not courts of record because they admittedly administer no common law or the law of God. A corporation governs only its agents and customers. Claimants are neither.

(2) Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Street, Yost, DeWine, Kennedy, Ward, and Washburn, along with their fellow BAR member agents and contractors, when acting in their roles as corporate agents, in furtherance of acts of theft, extortion, robbery, kidnapping, embezzlement and murder committed in the corporate name, regularly conduct or participate in corporate proceedings that meet the definition of being a "sham" according to their own corporate policy enactments, e.g. Ohio Revised Code Section. 2951.52.

(3) Any so-called process produced by Respondents' corporate proceedings is void *ab initio*. *The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia*, 6 L. Ed. [9 Wheat] 244

(1824). No corporation doing business administering corporate policy has any authority whatever to operate as lawful government and is not a court. *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363-371 (1943).

(4) The Thirteenth amendment to Respondents' 1787 UNITED STATES Constitution, ratified in Ohio in January, 1811 and by agents of all required States by 1824, prohibits Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Ward, Street, Yost, DeWine, Washburn or any other BAR member who has received or retained such emoluments as the title of attorney at law or esquire, from holding any office of public trust. Any purported acts they have performed to date or intend to perform, including the creation of corporate "court orders" are therefore void *ab initio* and of no effect.

(5) None of Respondents' corporate policy enactments, which they call statutes, codes, and Constitutions, apply, by their own terms, to living men or women. Such policy statements coming from corporate legislatures or "Congress" and never use the terms man or woman because a corporation has no authority over men or women, who are created by God Almighty, not by corporate agents.

(6) **PUBLIC NOTICE:** By continuing their efforts to steal Claimants' land, Respondents are creating a public nuisance not only for Claimants and other land owners similarly targeted but also for potential purchasers who Respondents deceive with false representations as to the *bona fide* nature of said transactions. This Notice is also public notice that any man or woman who attempts to purchase Claimants' land in the event that Respondents hypothetically succeed in stealing it, will not be considered a *bona fide* purchaser. Claimants and their tenants have common law as well as statutory and Godly authority to use deadly force in defense of their lands and their homes.

F. CRIMINAL AND INJUNCTIVE LIABILITIES OF RESPONDENTS FOR USE OF WEAPONS TO ASSAULT, BATTER, EXTORT, AND MURDER

(1) The mere existence of the corporations for which Respondents claim to act constitutes an admission that Respondents are operating one or more criminal enterprises. A corporation by definition and in practice is a mental construct based on the theory that its agents, principals, owners, and contractors, while acting in their legal fiction roles, may harm others without incurring individual liabilities therefor.

(2) According to so-called "caselaw" from the highest levels of Respondents' corporate structure, not one of them has any legal duty to protect or serve the people of the original Ohio republic. As corporate agents, Respondents' only duty is to generate revenue.

(3) In the absence of any duty to protect or serve, Respondents, their agents, contractors, principals have no lawful purpose in carrying weapons while acting for the sole purpose of generating corporate revenue. When acting as living people and not as corporate agents, Respondent may have a God given right to defend themselves with arms, just as Claimants do. Nevertheless Respondents currently propose to commit an armed robbery

against Claimants and their land. Respondents' customs and practices corroborate the fact that they use weapons while acting as corporate agents when they commit robberies, kidnaps, and the extortion or seizure by force of private property not belonging to them. Such acts are in defiance of God's Commandments set forth at Exodus 20:15,17, for which the punishment may include death: "And whosoever will not do the Law of thy God, and the King's law, let him have judgment without delay, whether it be unto death, or to banishment, or to confiscation of goods, or to imprisonment." Ezra 7:26: (Geneva Bible); Deuteronomy 17:2-5; 13:6, 9. God and those who assemble to worship Him will not be mocked. 1 Samuel 2:25, 29-32.

(4) Respondents have obligated themselves to work for the financial interests of their corporate principals and parent corporations, regardless of conflict both with common law and with God's law. "No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon". Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 6:24. Respondents' said undertaking in no way obligates Claimants to aid and abet in it. Nor does it absolve any of Respondents from personal liabilities incurred while serving the corporate entity.

IV. POTENTIAL INJUNCTIVE, MONETARY, AND CRIMINAL LIABILITIES WITH FEE SCHEDULE

It is understood that the within Fee Schedule applies to all Respondents as living men and women, not as corporate actors.

A. INJUNCTIVE LIABILITIES: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment, Respondents shall be enjoined from making further threats against Claimants and their tenants, from carrying firearms or other weapons of any type, committing further acts of statutory mail fraud, maintaining public or private nuisances, or committing common law armed trespass, extortion or robbery against any of the Claimants, their agents, guests, or tenants. Respondents to be further enjoined from causing cause injury, harm, or loss to any man or woman enforcing any contract between Claimants and Respondents or any Judgment of any Court of record.

B. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR CONTINUING HARM: Claimants have been harmed by each Respondent to date in the sum of \$500 per day since November 28, 2025, the date Claimants became aware of Respondents' acts of statutory mail fraud, common law armed trespass and extortion. Each Respondent shall be individually liable for the daily sum of \$500.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be calculated as of the date of any finding or judgment of liability and due and owing by each Respondent, their agents and principals individually.

C. MONETARY COMPENSATION FOR PAST HARM: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment that Respondents or any of them have committed acts of maintaining public and private nuisances, common law trespass, extortion, assault, battery, robbery, conversion, or embezzlement against Claimants, the parties agree that Claimants are entitled to

judgment in the further sum of \$110,000.00 United States Dollars plus a reasonable daily sum for the loss of use of payments that Respondents unlawfully coerced from Claimant Hine between 2005 and 2021. Said monetary judgment shall be against each Respondent jointly and severally.

D. CRIMINAL SANCTIONS: Upon three defaults, the facts established by the contract or judgment as set forth by means of the procedures described hereinabove, will have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt as well as by a preponderance of the evidence. In the event that all Respondents cease and desist in their attacks and threats against Claimants, such fact may be considered in suspending the imposition of criminal penalties as to some of said Respondents.

E. FAILURE TO PAY: In the case of failure to pay any fees or Judgment within thirty (30) days of presentment of any such Bill or Judgment, Respondents each agree that his or her property wherever situated is subject to lien, including judgment liens, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

F. RECOUPMENT OF LIABILITY: Respondents are prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or "constituents".

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL AND NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Katherine Hine
Katherine Hine, Claimant, a living woman and daughter of the most high God, Outside STATE OF OHIO or any other fictitious entity.

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESSES

We the undersigned witnesses, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury according to the common law of the original Ohio republic and the law of Almighty God, on this 12th day of December, 2025, that the living woman known to us as Katherine Hine, appeared before us, on the land mass known as Uruguay, and declared upon her oath that the statements made in this instrument, to which we saw her place her right thumb print official seal, were made of her own free will, act and deed for the purposes stated hereinabove.

[Signature]
Witness
12/12/25

[Signature]
Witness
12/12/25