

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

seated at Chillicothe

Filed of Record

NOV 15 2024

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Katherine Hine, *sui juris*
On behalf of herself and other
Co-owners of certain private property
Claimants,

Case No. 24-ROS-004

-vs-

JUDGMENT ON
DEFAULTED CLAIMS

Michael Ater, Matthew Schmidt,
Jeffrey Marks, David Jeffers,
Ty Hinton, Mika Gee, Jeff Lehner,
Richard Ward, Kathy Dunn,
James Cutright, George Lavender,
Ron Myers, Dwight Garrett,
David Yost, Michelle Arnold,
Sharon Kennedy, John Street
Toni Eddy, Michael DeWine
John Harris,
Respondents.

NOW ON this 13th day of November, 2024, upon three of our members having assembled, our Lord and King Jesus Christ thereby being in our midst, our Court having been lawfully formed after having provided all lawful public Notices and having been operating publicly and without objection for the last three (3) years as a Court of record administering the common law as the earthly expression of the ultimate law of God, bowing only to His ultimate sovereignty, conducting ourselves consistently with our understanding of the superior law of Almighty God and, wherever possible, consistently with Respondents' 1781 and 1787 Constitutions for the united states of America, the Declaration of Independence, the Northwest Ordinance, and Magna Carta, among other treatises and founding documents, having reviewed Claimant Katherine Hine's verified Petition, with attached Notices and proofs of service, non-response and return of summons, we FIND by a preponderance of the evidence and beyond any reasonable doubt as follows:

1. Respondents are wholly in default, having failed to respond to any of the Notices attached as Exhibit A to Claimants' petition, and having subsequently also failed to respond to the Petition itself or to the Summons which provided for an additional ten (10) days in which to explain previous defaults. The courier's return of summons demonstrates that said ten (10) days have now elapsed with no responses having been provided.

2. An agent of Respondent Yost, one Erica Marselle, acknowledged by email dated October 14, 2024 Respondent Yost's receipt of the Petition and Summons, expressing for the first time, unexplained confusion over the contents of the within Petition and Summons, despite such documents being based on the same Notices that Respondent Yost had been ignoring since June, 2024 without having provided any response as defined and instructed in Claimants' first Notice.

3. Respondents have conceded by their silence and specific instances of conduct, that there are no facts nor any law justifying Respondents' threatened trespasses onto Claimants' rights and their private property land as set forth in the Petition and attached Notices. Respondents concede that their statutes, codes, and related documents are merely private corporate policies, not law. Moreover the parties have each entered into a binding Contract resolving all matters, the terms of which are set forth in the May 19, 2024 Notice included in Exhibit A attached to the Petition filed herein.

4. As this Court's courier has documented in the return of Summons, Respondents Street, Eddy, and Lavender have taken evasive measures to conceal and/or disable their email addresses and/or fax numbers, thereby rendering service upon them by such means, impossible, and revealing the deceptive nature of any representations they may make as to being "public" employees. We consider that such evasive measures may be evidence of consciousness of wrongdoing.

5. Notwithstanding the evasive measures being taken by Respondents Street, Eddy, and Lavender, thereby waiving their entitlements to continuing due process notice, said Respondents were served a fourth time, with links to the petition and summons, at their places of business, as indicated, by hand delivery to agents, contractors, or principals for said Respondents Street, Eddy, and Lavender.

9/6
af
m
6. This Court's courier also noted that agents of Respondent Arnold, who were served at the Walnut Street address on three previous occasions in this matter, are now representing that Respondent Arnold is suddenly no longer employed at UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, or UNITED STATES POST OFFICE. Nonetheless, at all times pertaining to Claimants' Claims, Arnold and her agents have been acting as agents of the remaining Respondents, who have also in fact been duly served with the Petition herein, thereby effectuating service on Respondent Arnold at the same time. We further consider, for whatever relevance, if any, that it may have, the verified fact that agents of Respondent Arnold have previously behaved irrationally or by means of violent threats when served with Notices in the matter of *McCabe v. Arnold*, as previously set forth in the affidavits of service attached to Notices attached to the petition in the matter of *McCabe v. Arnold*, on file herein, being Case No. 24-ROS-001, concluded earlier this year.

7. Per the Contract between Claimants and Respondents referenced at paragraph 3 hereinabove, Claimants and Respondents have authorized us to incorporate the terms of Claimants' and Respondents' said Contract into a Judgment, as we do here today.

8. We are further authorized to reserve and retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of enforcing this Judgment, by means of our contempt power or otherwise, and to update said Judgment in the event Claimants provide additional documentation of any additional harm that Respondents may cause Claimants, as detailed in our Order hereinbelow.

9. All facts stated in the Claimants' Petition and attached Notices are true, correct, and admitted by Respondents on three (3) or four (4) occasions to be so. In particular, not one of Respondents, his or her agents, principals, or contractors has any taxing authority, nor, of course, would it be possible for a mental construct known as a corporation to have any such authority.

10. Claimant Katherine Hine, and the Claimants with whom she has formed a common law private membership association are the true owners of the land and structures known to Respondents as 41,

41 ½ and 43 South Paint Street, Chillicothe, Ohio.

11. Respondents are men and women who admittedly pose as agents of one or more private, for profit corporations masquerading as "government". In the course of operating said corporations, Respondents ignore the common law, their own and their predecessors' corporate enactments, and the law of God. They instead purport to administer corporate policies known as statutes, codes, and ordinances, none of which by their own terms apply to living people.

12. Respondents' actions set forth hereinabove are creating private and public nuisances according to definitions contained in Black's Law Dictionary (4th Ed.), because Respondents' threats of trespass admittedly harm, annoy and physically disturb living people such as Claimants in the peaceful, God given right to the quiet enjoyment of their private property land and the structures thereon affixed.

13. All prior interests, if any, that agents of the legal fiction once known as CHILDREN'S NETWORK PROPERTIES, LLC and/or THE ROSS COUNTY NETWORK FOR CHILDREN ever had to the land located on Paint Street in Chillicothe, Ohio, have been extinguished by an August 14, 2021 deed, a fact of which Respondents received a September, 2021 Notice, hand delivered to Respondents Dunn, Ward, and Yost individually or by their agents of that day, Yost having then acknowledged receipt, the remaining Respondents herein having also been noticed on September 15, 2021 by their predecessors or by the predecessors' agents of that day individually, namely Gerald Byers, Stephen Neal, Jim Caldwell, and Tom Spetnagle, none of said Respondents, their predecessors, or any of their agents having ever voiced any objection.

14. Respondents have accepted all terms of the Contract created by Claimants' Notices and the contract offer contained therein, by their (a) silence and by their (b) conduct, which consisted of threats of "arrest", i.e. battery, kidnapping, and armed thievery of their private property land, directed to Claimants and Respondents' refusal to withdraw same. The Notices warned Respondents of the specific consequences of their said silences and/or conduct. Such consequences are hardly unknown in Respondents' corporate world of commerce. Claimants' unrebutted affidavits supporting their Notices stand as truth, even according to Respondents' commercial policy enactments, such as UCC Sec. 1-202. Another of Respondents' corporate policy enactments, known as 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1746, likewise specifically concedes that the affidavits supporting the facts contained in Claimants' Notices constitute proof of the affidavits' contents. Moreover, Roman civil law, which Respondents are fond of citing, is also in accord: *Qui non negat, fatetur*. I.e. He who does not deny, agrees. Respondents still recognize or purport to recognize, via the Roman concept of *habeas corpus*, that those with no authority may not keep others in captivity or threaten to do so, as Respondents have done by means of Respondent Gee's January 24, 2024 written threat attached to Claimants' first Notice. (Exhibit A) The word of Almighty God, who Respondents have admitted is the ultimate sovereign (Public Law 97-280) also acknowledges that Claimants' unrebutted notices stand as truth: 1 Peter 1:25; Hebrews 6:13-15; Holy Bible, KJV. The three Notice process used by Claimants herein is the peaceful means of resolving conflict directed by Christ Himself to His followers. Matthew 18:15-17.

15. Claimants are under no lawful obligation to participate in the funding of Respondents' crimes, investments, or secret societies, as set forth hereinabove. Nor are Claimants obligated to contribute to the funding of acts of mass murder and robbery against people of other lands, even when such acts are labeled as "warfare". Any of the acts of violence which Respondents may coerce Claimants to

fund via "taxation" would be products of forced enslavement, a rejection of the Lord God Almighty and a mockery of His warnings about forced taxation. 1 Samuel 8:11-18.

16. God's law prohibits Respondents' recent efforts to steal land from Claimants, who own and possess it as heirs of Mildred Hine. Also prohibited are Respondents' efforts to destroy the Godly work her gift has enabled Claimants to undertake on behalf of children and others seeking Godly and earthly justice. Mark 9:42; Ezekiel 46:18: "the prince shall not take of the people's inheritance by oppression, to thrust them out of their possession . . .". The people's land is to be reserved as an inheritance for future generations. Deuteronomy 19:14; Leviticus 25. A tax on the people's land is an attempt by agents of the corporate STATE or its subsidiaries to defund, dispossess, and disinherit the people, disrupt families, and make people slaves and serfs, as Respondents' predecessors and now Respondents themselves have admitted it is. Respondents' predecessors have even admitted: "The power to tax is the power to destroy." *McCulloch v. Maryland* 17 U.S. 316 (1819).

17. Respondents are not princes, nor are they even elected "officials" or "public servants" despite sometimes posing as such. Respondents publicly portray themselves as being the legal fiction roles they play, or as agents of "elected officials". However, Respondents have conceded that there is no evidence that any particular man or woman, acting as a legal fiction "registered voter", ever voted for any of said Respondents or their superiors. In the absence of such evidence, as there always will be, due to Respondents' "secret ballot" policy, there is no basis to conclude that any one at all voted for any Respondent or for anyone who may have appointed any Respondent.

18. God created the land upon which Claimants' building was erected. People, not corporations, constructed the building. Claimants, not legal fiction persons, have kept it in a state of repair, and have ever since been using it to benefit the community's children and for honoring God through worship and applying His law.

19. A direct property tax, such as the one with which Respondents have been threatening Claimants, is also a prohibited attack on a man's God given life blood, which was always tied to the land, ever since man was created, "forasmuch as out of the substance of the earth Adam was formed". Barnabas 5:9; Genesis 1:26, 28; Hebrews 2:5-8; Barnabas 5:15.

20. Neither Respondent Gee nor any of the other Respondents have a legal or lawful interest in Claimants' private property land and fixtures described hereinabove by metes and bounds, nor do any of said Respondents assert any such claim. Only metes and bounds, not corporate identifiers, lawfully describe the land created by the most high God. "The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they who dwell therein." Psalm 24:1 Holy Bible (KJV). Neither Respondents nor their legal fiction principals are capable of creating land. They are therefore incapable of correctly identifying or defining it via metes and bounds and have therefore resorted to using corporate identifiers and "parcel numbers". Such corporate identifiers are not the equivalent of metes and bounds descriptions, such as those referred to in the Holy Bible when describing either the land created by the most high God or the people's transfers of such land to one another.

21. The people of God have historically only ever made voluntary payments or other offerings to the ultimate sovereign of Heaven and Earth, almighty God. Such sums, known as tithes or other voluntary offerings, were never considered payments for the people's use of their own land.

22. The true sovereign over the people and over the lands that He created, is and continues to be the most high God, not a man or woman acting in the role of a king or Pharaoh, and not a group of corporate actors, such as Respondents admit that they are. Not one of the Respondents nor any of his or her agents or principals is sovereign when acting on behalf of one or more legal fiction corporations and admit that taxation is an exclusive attribute of the sovereign, as their superiors admit. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe*, 455 U.S. 130 (1982).

23. Taxation in ancient times could only be imposed upon slaves, as Pharaoh did against the children of Israel by taking one fifth of the proceeds of the lands they tilled. Genesis 47:24. Respondents' predecessors and superiors have long admitted and even boast that they abolished slavery by means of the 13th Amendment to their 1787 Constitution and by their fomentation of the mutual mass murders of some one million American men on the battlefield more than 150 years ago. But Respondents' conduct nonetheless demonstrates their intention to use extortionate acts to enslave Claimants. Such enslavement efforts have historically been punished by death. Acts 7:7; Exodus 21:16. Scripture plainly commands Claimants and all others who believe in the risen Savior to not be enslaved because Claimants, like other believers, have been ransomed and redeemed by Jesus Christ. "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men." 1 Corinthians. 7:23. Claimants cannot lawfully be subjugated to any incorporeal or imaginary entity known as the corporate STATE. The most high God likewise prohibits Respondents from enslaving Claimants.

24. The notion that taxation may be imposed on a supposedly conquered people by those posing as their servants is merely a ludicrous attempt to justify Respondents' tortious and criminal acts.

25. Respondents' predecessors and now Respondents themselves have admitted that a tax on land is a direct tax and void for want of compliance with Article I Section 2 or Article 1 Section 9 if not apportioned. *Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.*, 157 U.S. 429 (1895). In *Stanton v. Baltic Mining Company*, 240 U.S. 103 (1916) Respondents' predecessors and now Respondents themselves concede that the Cabal's Sixteenth Amendment was incapable of repealing those previously enacted Constitutional provisions from Article I.

26. Respondents have no claim to immunity for their acts of thievery and threats of armed violence against Claimants and others. No principal or superior of Respondents is capable of providing lawful immunity to any of the Respondents as men and women for any of the injury, harm, or loss that they cause to Claimants or to anyone else. People who act in groups to harm others have no power or authority to immunize either themselves or their accomplices. Creating a mental construct which Respondents call a corporation admittedly creates no such immunity. Respondents' taxation and land seizure operations constitute private and public nuisances for which Claimants are entitled to monetary and injunctive judgments.

27. Respondents, their agent, contractors, and principals have each admitted that all the facts set forth in Claimants' Notices establish beyond any reasonable doubt that each Respondents has committed acts of threatened or completed violence and thievery against Claimants, by reason of which this Court is authorized to impose such criminal punishments as its members find to be lawful parts of a Godly judgment. In imposing this Judgment we further FIND no need or lawful purpose to be served by convening a grand jury to investigate facts that Respondents have already

conceded to have been established beyond any reasonable doubt.

28. Respondents, their agents, contractors and principals, whether or not specifically named herein, have been aiding and abetting one another in the crimes of extortion, attempted theft, embezzlement, and armed assault against Claimants as evidenced by the facts which each Respondent has already admitted to be true beyond any reasonable doubt. We have carefully considered the various definitions of and punishments for said crimes, which are recognized in the Holy Bible (KJV), Black's Law dictionary (4th Ed.), Webster's 1828 dictionary, and even in Respondents' corporate policy enactments known as the Ohio Revised Code. We also consider that, to date, Respondents Gee, Marks, Jeffers, and the other Respondents have not followed through on their January 25, 2024 written threats, but that they have not withdrawn such threats either.

29. The crime of extortion is complete merely upon making the threat for the purpose of inducing compliance with the thievery or kidnapping operation via fear or financial loss or injury. Respondents' threat of "foreclosure" in this case, of course, is of battery, kidnapping, and armed robbery of Claimants' land for the purpose of wrongfully exacting Federal Reserve Notes from Claimants. However, Article 1 Section 10 of Respondents' 1787 Constitution prohibits them, while posing as agents of the State, from "making any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts". Respondents' proposed thievery is dishonest and evil at every level. "Behold, then, I have smitten Mine hand at your dishonest gain ... " Ezekiel 22:13 (KJV); "Woe unto them who decree unrighteous decrees, and who write grievousness which they have prescribed." Isaiah 10:1-2 (KJV).

30. We have also considered the long recognized purposes of criminal sentencing. i.e. deterrence, retribution, isolation, and rehabilitation or repentance. We leave vengeance to our Lord and Heavenly Father, the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.

31. In assessing the evidence of Respondents' *scienter* or *mens rea* for purposes of assessing criminal responsibility, we have considered the following aggravating factors about their conduct that Respondents have admitted to, to-wit: their idolatry, including self idolatry, along with their flagrant displays of partiality toward Masonic and other secret societies that promote idolatry and the mockery of Almighty God.

32. All evidence of Respondents' wicked motivations we also find to exceed that which would be sufficient to establish that Respondents are maintaining public nuisances, not only by targeting Claimants and other land owners with similar thievery threats and extortions rackets but also by deceiving potential purchasers directly or indirectly by means of false representations and assurances as to the supposedly *bona fide* nature of said transactions.

33. According to admissions against interest contained in so-called "caselaw" from the highest levels of Respondents' corporate Cabal, not one of them has any legal duty to his or her corporate employer to protect or serve the people of the original Ohio republic. Respondents have systematically concealed from the public the fact that, as corporate agents, Respondents' only duty within its conglomerate of corporations is to generate revenue for their corporate superiors, not to protect or serve the people.

34. In the admitted absence of any duty to protect or serve, Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals have no excuse for carrying weapons while acting for the sole purpose of generating corporate revenue. When acting as living people and not as corporate agents, Respondent may have a God given right to defend themselves with arms, just as Claimants do. But they have no lawful authority to use weapons while acting as corporate agents to commit robberies, kidnaps, and extortion, as they admittedly do. Such acts are in defiance of God's Commandments set forth at Exodus 20:15,17, for which the punishment may include death: "And whosoever will not do the Law of thy God, and the King's law, let him have judgment without delay, whether it be unto death, or to banishment, or to confiscation of goods, or to imprisonment." Ezra 7:26: (Geneva Bible); Deuteronomy 17:2-5; 13:6, 9. God and those who assemble to worship Him will not be mocked. 1 Samuel 2:25.

35. The contract established by Claimants' three Notices and Respondents' consent by their repeated silences and conduct, in addition to this Judgment, constitute public notice that any man or woman who attempts to purchase Claimants' land in the event that Respondents hypothetically succeed in stealing it, will not be considered a *bona fide* purchaser, but, rather, an accomplice, and should in such event be named as a supplemental Respondent herein. We take judicial Notice of the fact that a Public Notice to this effect has already been prominently posted on Claimants' land for several months.

36. Respondents have conceded the settled principle that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits and that corporations, having no tangible physical reality, have no territorial limits. Therefore, none of the Respondents, who purport to act on behalf of legal fiction corporations calling themselves the "State" or "County", has any taxing authority.

37. No Respondent purporting to act on behalf of a private, for-profit corporation calling itself a "court" has any authority beyond that which any man or woman might have in his or her own name, because not one of the so called "courts" for which they claim to act meets Respondent BAR members' own definition of being a court, per Black's Law Dictionary (4th).

38. Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Street, Ward, and Eddy, along with their fellow BAR member agents and contractors, when acting in their roles as corporate agents, in furtherance of acts of attempted theft, extortion, robbery, kidnapping, embezzlement and/or murder committed in the corporate name, regularly conduct or participate in corporate proceedings that meet the definition of being a "sham" according to their own corporate policy enactments, e.g. Ohio Revised Code Section. 2951.52.

39. The Thirteenth amendment to Respondents' 1787 UNITED STATES Constitution, ratified in Ohio in January, 1811 and by agents of all required States by 1824, prohibits Respondents Schmidt, Ater, Marks, Cutright, Ward, Street, Eddy or any other BAR member who has received or retained such emoluments as the title of attorney at law or esquire, from holding any office of public trust. Any purported acts they have performed to date or intend to perform, including the creation of corporate "court orders", are therefore void *ab initio* and of no effect.

40. None of Respondents' corporate policy statements, which they call statutes, codes, and

Constitutions, apply, by their own terms, to living men or women. Such policy statements coming from corporate legislatures or "Congress" never use the terms man or woman because a corporation has no authority over men or women, who are created by God Almighty, not by corporate agents.

41. Since Respondents have no legal or lawful interest in Claimants' private property land, there is no interest upon which Respondent Gee could "foreclose", notwithstanding her threats to do so.

42. Respondents have previously consented by contract to hold harmless any man or woman who acts for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of either the Contract established between Claimants and Respondents or incident to the within Judgment arising therefrom.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. All Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals are hereby ENJOINED from directly or indirectly continuing to engage in further acts of trespass against Claimants or against their land. Respondents are hereby specifically ENJOINED from interfering in any manner with Claimants' exclusive possession of said private property known as 41, 41 1/2, or 43 South Paint Street, Chillicothe, Ohio. Respondents are further ENJOINED from interfering in any manner with any man or woman acting to enforce this Judgment.

2. In the event that any member of the public seeks to benefit by Respondents' proposed theft of Claimants' land, Claimants shall in that event inform this Court of the identity of any such man or woman, who we shall then consider as supplemental Respondents herein and will be subject to the same monetary judgments as are the currently named Respondents and their agents, contractors, and principals.

3. Respondents and all others are ORDERED to immediately cease and desist in all efforts to threaten, whether orally in writing, or to otherwise interfere with Claimants' rights to the exclusive possession and quiet enjoyment of their aforementioned private property land and its structures. Respondents are hereby ENJOINED from making further threats against Claimants, from carrying firearms or other weapons of any type, or committing other acts of common law armed or unarmed assault, trespass, extortion or robbery against any of the Claimants, their agents, guests, or tenants.

4. ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC is hereby authorized to remove any weapon in the possession of any Respondent or his or her agent or contractor, whether or not wearing a costume, displaying a badge, or otherwise masquerading as part of "government". Within 24 hours of seizure, all such firearms shall be surrendered to and marked for identification by agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record at 43 South Paint Street, Chillicothe, Ohio, who can be contacted as indicated on the Court's website: www.occr2021.com.

5. Any member or agent of this Court may distribute any and all confiscated firearms, on a first come, first served basis and upon written request from any member of the public operating a neighborhood patrol so long as any said member of the public provides written assurance that he or she intends to use said firearms exclusively for self defense purposes and as part of any such neighborhood patrol operating within the original Ohio republic. Agents of Ohio Circuit Court of Record shall maintain records of said confiscated firearms, which records shall remain confidential.

9/6.
ap
m

6. Monetary Judgment is hereby GRANTED to Claimant Katherine Hine individually and as agent for the other owners of the land described herein, all being members of the common law private membership association referred to in the Petition.

7. Claimants are hereby granted monetary judgment from each Respondent in the amount of \$500.00 (Five Hundred Dollars) per day from February 12, 2024, the date Claimants received Respondents' threat, until date of this Judgment or date of payments, whichever date comes later. An additional judgment in the sum of \$100,000.00 (One Hundred Thousand Dollars) is hereby granted against each Respondent individually, as compensation for harm inflicted upon Claimants beginning on February 12, 2024. This Judgment is based on the definition of a dollar as a measure of weight according to the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1906, which both define a dollar as being 24.8 grains of gold, or 371.25 grains of silver. The use of debt-based or digital currency to discharge this liability will not be acceptable.

8. In the case of failure to pay any judgment or observe any injunction within thirty (30) days of entry of any such Judgment, Respondents each agree that his or her property, including without limitation wages, or land wherever situated, is subject to lien, including judgment liens, levy, impound, confiscation, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies and that Respondents themselves are subject to additional sanctions for contempt in the event of non-payment or post-judgment threats against Claimants.

9. Respondents are hereby prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract or this Judgment incorporating it, from their customers or constituents. Respondents will be absolved of all further monetary liability, including all outstanding amounts billed, upon payment of all sums required herein and as defined herein and upon the actual termination of further acts of trespass, extortion, embezzlement assault, robbery, or conversion.

10. We hereby impose a sentence of nine (9) months continuous incarceration upon Respondents Gee, Marks and Jeffers in the facility known as ROSS COUNTY JAIL, located at 28 North Paint Street, Chillicothe, Ohio and currently operated by Respondent Lavender and his agents. "Those who lead into captivity into captivity they will go." Revelation 13:10. Said incarcerations shall be for immediate execution and all costs thereof shall be assumed entirely by Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers in their private capacities, and not by the public. We limit the imposition of the sentence of confinement that we impose upon Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers to them because they have allowed their names to be used in written armed assaults such as the one dated January 24, 2024 and marked as Exhibit A referred to in Claimants' initial Notice.

11. Respondent Lavender and his agents shall display no preferential treatment towards Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers during their incarceration and Respondent Lavender and his agents and contractors shall be subject to periodic random and unannounced investigations from OCCR members or their designees, in order to assess Respondents' compliance with our requirement of non preferential incarceration of Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers.

12. Respondent Lavender and his agents are subject to a similar Order as the one addressed to the public in paragraph 4 of our Orders hereinabove, meaning that Respondent Lavender and his agents shall confiscate the weapons of any and all other Respondents and their agents and contractors, including without limitation Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers. This ordered confiscation of

9/6/24
AP
MP

weapons also applies to all weapons in use by Respondents Lavender and Myers themselves and their agents and contractors, who shall surrender their weapons, except those weapons that are essential to jail administration, as determined by members of this Court or their designee.

13. We hereby reserve jurisdiction for the purpose of adjudicating the criminal liabilities of the remaining named and unnamed Respondents, who are agents or contractors of any other named Respondent including without limitation Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers. By declining to order the incarceration of the remaining Respondents at this time, we do not diminish the seriousness with which we view the involvement of said remaining Respondents, many of whom frequently and routinely participate in crimes of violence or threats of violence against the people of Ross County, Ohio, or the fact that they do so for financial compensation and/or for purposes of unholy idolatry to the Luciferean organizations by which Respondents admit that they are influenced. We therefore intend to address any still unresolved issues pertaining to the incarceration of the remaining Respondents, their agents, and contractors at such time as either of the following occurrences are brought to our attention by verified Notice: a. any new or resumed threat against Claimants; b. any act of interference with any of the provisions of this Judgment including without limitation, (i) the people's confiscation of any firearms used by Respondents or their agents for corporate purposes, and/or (ii) continuing incarceration of Respondents Gee, Marks, and Jeffers for the required term and according to the required conditions.

14. We hereby reserve jurisdiction to impose additional sanctions, including new liabilities and contempt sanctions, against Respondents or any other man or woman, whether or not acting as a legal fiction "person", who may violate any of our Orders. We intend to proceed upon our receipt of any verified Notice reporting new or continuing instances of Respondents' threats of violence or other trespass against Claimants' rights either directly or indirectly, contrary to this Judgment and Order.

15. All of the Orders set forth hereinabove apply exclusively to Respondents and other living men and women as indicated, not as corporate actors.

RESPONDENTS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY.

Keith Belluardo

Keith Belluardo

By: Angela Plaster

Angela Plaster

By: Michael Plaster

Michael Plaster

Executed by us as Members of this Ohio Circuit Court of Record on the date indicated hereinabove without the UNITED STATES and without STATE OF OHIO, their affiliates, subsidiaries, and parent corporations.