

Filed of Record

JUL 10 2023

Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Alison Reese, *sui juris*,
Claimants.

) Case No. 23-CUY-002

-vs-

) JUDGMENT ON
DEFAULTED CLAIMS

Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha,
Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers,)
Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein,
Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin,
Mohan Jain, James Costello,)
Saundra Berry, and Clementine Cook
Respondents.

10
kk
LC.

NOW ON this 10th day of July, 2023, this Court, upon being duly convened, having been lawfully formed after having provided all lawful public Notices, being non-corporate and wholly without profit motives, now being a Constitutional court of record administering common law since 2021, having reviewed the verified 6/27/23 Petition with attached three (3) Notices, proofs of service and affidavits of non-response, and this Court's return of service of summons, FINDS that the Respondents above named in their capacities as living men and women, not as corporate fictional entities or "persons", were lawfully served with Notices of Liability as to which they are all now in default. This Court further FINDS that such Notices, dated May 31, 2023, June 12, 2023, and June 16, 2023, also contain on their face all relevant terms of an offer of a binding self-executing contract, which Respondents accepted by their conduct and silence.

WHEREFORE this Court accepts the parties' authorization to incorporate the terms of said Contract into this Judgment binding the above named Respondents and Claimants Reese. On June 29, 2023 our clerk served each Respondent by the Court's email, with Claimants' June 27, 2023 Petition with attached Exhibit A, Summons and instructions allowing each an additional three (3) days from date of receipt in which to deliver their responses, if any, to us as instructed. As of the date of this Judgment, not one has responded. We therefore grant Judgment to Claimants on their defaulted claims and related Orders as set forth hereinbelow.

Upon a thorough review of the Petition and associated documents, we hereby further FIND by a preponderance of the uncontroverted evidence, as follows:

1. All of the allegations of Claimants' June 27, 2023 Petition are true, correct, and admitted to be so.

2. At all times relevant to the claims before us, Respondents have each acted as living people regardless of having also acted as agents for the fictitious corporate entities known by an assortment of names, including without limitation, the following:

- a. CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT, but doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 361735913;
- b. CITY OF CLEVELAND HEIGHTS, OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 079507008;
- c. CUYAHOGA COUNTY RECORDER and CUYAHOGA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, doing business at various locations as branches of the parent corporation, COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA having Dun and Bradstreet numbers 066047804, 617408414 and 079422543.
- d. CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR, doing business under the conglomerate of parent corporations known as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, the latter bearing Dun & Bradstreet number 360705099;
- e. COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA, also doing business under the Dun and Bradstreet number 616466632,
- f. COMMON PLEAS COURT OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, but known to Dun & Bradstreet as the private, for profit corporation, THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, bearing Dun and Bradstreet Number 6215520847;
- g. LIEBERMAN, DVORIN & DOWD, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 088781478 and operating under the "authority" of the private, for profit corporation publicly doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet Number 6215520847;
- h. PREMIER HOMES CLE, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number: 089043107;
- i. CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT, operating as a branch or subsidiary of the private, for profit corporation known to Dun and Bradstreet as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, the latter having at least two (2) Dun and Bradstreet identifiers associated with the address for said Cleveland Heights entity, namely 621961150 and 621961168; among possible other affiliated, subsidiary, or parent entities and/or corporations.

3. Corporations cannot lawfully operate as courts because courts are creations of living sovereigns, not of legal fictions, and because courts seek justice, not profits.

4. This Entry also applies not only to the originally named Respondents, namely Synenberg, Bucha, Britton, Chambers, Pretel, Grabenstein, Rocco, Byrd, Dvorin, Jain, Costello, and Berry, who have admitted to being living people. It also applies to Respondents' named and unnamed agents and principals including without limitation, one Robert Furda and his agents, because they aided and abetted in the commission of the June 12, 2023 admitted acts of violence against Claimants, as described in the Petition.

5. Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, Bucha, Costello, and Dvorin are also BAR members and are therefore disabled from serving in positions of public trust, by virtue of the still enforceable, original 13th Amendment to the people's 1781 Articles of Confederation. Said Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, Bucha, Costello, and Dvorin are likewise unregistered foreign agents because they admittedly follow directives of

various foreign legal fictions known as AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, a subsidiary or branch of INTERNATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION, all in violation of Respondents' Foreign Agent Registration Act, which sets the policy for members of the corporate conglomerate of legal fictions masquerading as "government".

6. None of the Respondents has taken a lawful oath of office.

7. Respondents operate a "property tax" business, which offers the "service" or "benefit" of a centralized cataloging of land. Respondent Cook appears to have been one of their customers. Just as when a customer of a lawn maintenance service corporation decides he or she no longer wants the lawn service or transfers the land to a new owner who has no interest in the lawn service, and stops paying, the only consequence is that the lawn owner stops receiving the service. The unwillingness of the customer or the subsequent owner of the land to continue to do business with the agents of the service corporation does not entitle agents of that service corporation to continue billings, complete with "junk charges". Moreover, the customer's decision to discontinue receiving the "benefits" of the service contract certainly does not entitle the agents of the service corporation to trespass onto their former customer's land, batter them, or kidnap them from their own home. Yet this is exactly what Respondents did and are still doing to the Claimants.

8. Respondents have at no time accused Claimants of having been in contract with any of them or having caused any harm, loss, or injury to any living soul. Respondent Jain himself claims no loss but even if his legal fiction entity had experienced such due to Respondent Jain's having gambled on buying land from the other Respondents, based on non-payment of extortion fees falsely known as "taxes", any such loss was based on Respondent Jain's own greed, failure to investigate, and assumption of the risks that such purchases entail. Taxation is a feature of sovereignty and Respondents' legal fiction principals are not sovereign. "Property tax" is a service fee. Claimants discontinued the contract for same and Respondents discontinued providing accurate records of the property ownership. Yet Respondents continued to deem themselves somehow entitled to payment. They are mistaken, if not delusional. Respondents' conduct smells far more like a protection racket than it even does, as a service contract.

9. Respondents have never had any authority to inflict harm for any purpose, and certainly not for the benefit of themselves, their agents or principals. Interestingly Respondents' documents are not even valid in their own corporate systems because they are obviously robo-signed by unknown entities to resemble the apparent signatures of Respondents Synenberg and Bucha as to the supposed "foreclosure" documents, and later, the "eviction" documents, which seem to bear the apparent signature of Respondent Costello.

10. Respondent Costello has admittedly never had any authority to instruct anyone to have aided and abetted in the commission of any of the acts set forth in Claimants' verified June 27, 2023 Petition for Judgment on Defaulted Claims. The other Respondents likewise do not deny this.

af
rk
L.C.

11. Not one of the Respondents nor any of his or her agents or principals, including when acting as legal fictions, claims any ownership interest in the Claimants' family home and shelter. Nonetheless, on June 12, 2023, Respondents trespassed onto Claimant's land and invaded Claimants' home with weapons, battered and kidnapped them there while they were peaceably exercising their God-given Constitutionally protected rights to occupy their own land where they make their home.

12. Notwithstanding their utter lack of authority to do so, Respondents continue to engage in the torts, criminal acts, and other acts of maintaining a public nuisance in the form of extortion schemes, as described in Claimants' Petition and attached Notices. Respondents have admitted now on four (4) occasions to the truth of all statements of fact and conclusions of law contained in such Petition and Notices, and have therefore consented to the Contract created thereby which establishes their liabilities. Each of said Respondents acted in concert with one another and each is therefore liable as a man or woman, not as an agent of any legal fiction corporation.

13. Respondents' superiors or corporate principal(s), for whom they may claim to act, have no authority to provide them with any "immunity" and none of Respondents claims such "immunity" in any event.

14. Respondents have accepted all terms of the Contract created by Claimants' Notices and the contract offer contained therein, as the Notices informed them they would be, by their (a) silence and by (b) their conduct, which consisted of threats of "arrest", i.e. battery and kidnapping of either or both Claimants and ongoing thievery of their land, home, and private household property. Claimants' un rebutted affidavits stand as truth, even in Respondents' corporate legal fiction world [UCC Sec. 1-206] as well as in the world of people who live under God. Holy Bible, KJV; 1 Peter 1:25; Hebrews 6:13-15.

15. Notwithstanding their defaults and their current contractual duties to Claimants, said Respondents have continued to act in derogation of their admitted lack of authority to interfere with Claimant's God given and Constitutionally protected right to the peaceful enjoyment of their own home and have admittedly engaged in thievery and vandalism of the Claimants' home and its household goods and furnishings. Respondents' actions, which constitute a public and private nuisance, continue to harm Claimants.

16. Respondents' recent admissions, effected by their third default to the Petition, also establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondents are in breach of the new contract established between themselves and Claimants by their previous three (3) defaults.

We further FIND

17. The supposed "foreclosure" proceedings and "Sheriff Sale" from the COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA entities, which Respondents Synenberg, Bucha, Grabenstein, Pretel, and Chambers and others have variously styled with such corporate identifiers as

CV21956154, CVG 2300530, and 683-23-058, are all nullities, void *ab initio* and incapable of conferring any rights on anyone. They clearly offered no basis for Respondent Costello and/or his agents to have committed the tortious acts of June 12, 2023 against Claimants and their home.

18. Respondents conducted the aforementioned corporate proceedings with no authority. It would almost be nonsensical to say they were conducted in the absence of subject matter jurisdiction, which they were, because criminal operations such as the ones Respondents are running never have lawful authority over their victims in any event.

19. A review of Respondent Costello's corporate records labeled as CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420 reveals that, instead of making any attempt to mitigate the harm that said Respondents were perpetuating, Respondent Costello, aided and abetted by Respondent Berry and their agents instead chose on June 12, 2023, to continue to escalate the harm of their previously admitted extortion scheme established by their default to the 5/31/23 Notice. They did so by (a) continuing to pretend to be maintaining a "court", by (b) pretending to occupy a position of public trust when prohibited from so doing by their own statutes and Constitutional provisions, and by (c) continuing to assault Claimants through the issuance of threats against them directing their agent Furda and other agents or contractors to inflict new acts of common law kidnapping, battery, false imprisonment, and statutory identity theft upon Claimants under the auspices of Corporate Item Numbers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420.

20. Since Respondents Costello and Berry are not operating a court, they are incapable of issuing arrest warrants. Even if they did operate a true court, such "warrants" would have to have been supported by an affidavit of probable cause to believe that the target of the "warrant" had caused injury, harm or loss, consistently with the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. We therefore FIND that any such "arrest warrants", whether issued under the auspices of corporate item numbers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420 or some other corporate identifier, in truth constitute merely written or electronic assaults. They are criminal acts, not part of lawful process from a true court.

21. Respondents Costello, Berry, and the other Respondents have not only been threatening or actually inflicting kidnappings, assaults, batteries, and emotional distress upon Claimants, they have also been appropriating Claimants' private property to their principals' corporate uses, by means of acts constituting identity theft in violation of Respondents' own claimed corporate policies, such acts having been committed during Respondents' *ultra vires* "booking" procedures. Respondents continue to this day to refuse to return to Claimants their own biological property which they admittedly took from them by force during the June 12, 2023 kidnappings, assaults, batteries, and false imprisonments.

22. Respondent Jain and his agents who reportedly are now "storing" Claimant's personal items after their June 12, 2023 vandalizing of Claimants' home and their personal belongings, continue as of this writing to exacerbate their original theft of Claimants'

land and the home affixed to it, as well as household goods, by refusing to restore the latter to them without new extortionate demands for "storage" fees.

We further FIND

23. That the contract established between Claimants and Respondents by the Three Notice process set forth in Claimants' Exhibit A, is reasonable, or would be if complied with. Clearly it is reasonable to conclude that Claimants live in a condition of fear that they will again be kidnapped and battered, for which continuing harm they should be compensated as agreed.

24. Respondents' acts set forth hereinabove are creating private and public nuisances in that their assaultive, violent and extortionate acts harm, annoy and physically disturb living people such as Claimants in the peaceful enjoyment of their God-given, Constitutionally protected rights to their own home and private property, unimpeded. We further FIND that, although we do impose the agreed-upon financial liabilities upon the Respondents as set forth hereinbelow, such monetary awards are likely to be insufficient to abate the nuisance Respondents routinely create and maintain, and we therefore invoke our equity powers to impose injunctive and declaratory relief as well.

25. All Respondents have now four times conceded the wrongfulness of their participation before and after the fact, in the June, 2023 thievery of Claimants' home. The need to impose exemplary or punitive damages is likewise evident. Without each Respondent's profiteering from the extortion scheme being operated by the other Respondents, the degree of harm being imposed on Claimants and other members of the public might not have been as grave, or lingering. Punitive damages may be appropriate and even required in order to send a message to others who wish to engage in businesses that harm others. Respondents should govern themselves accordingly and in contemplation of our reservation of jurisdiction.

26. Further acts by Respondents Costello, Berry or any other Respondent, their agents, or principals, support the appropriateness of our imposition of exemplary or punitive damages. Such damages are required in order to send a message not only to Respondents Costello and Berry and those who directly aided and abetted them before and after the June 12, 2023 home invasion, but also to deter other members of the *de facto* tribunals and their agents, who may portray themselves as the people's "public servants", from further displays of similarly egregious conduct. Without the clerical as well as armed assistance of the remaining Respondents, Costello's June 12, 2023 actions facilitating the home invasion of that date may not have been able to have created the same atmosphere of terror in which Claimants and the public generally live in today every time they open their mail to find new demands for payment from Respondents and their ilk. Respondents, while posing as "public servants", as well as their agents and principals, knowingly and admittedly participated in the commission of new acts of common law trespass, domestic terrorism, consisting of, without limitation, common law assault, battery, kidnapping, conversion, and intentional infliction of emotional distress, all of which acts clearly appear designed to publicly humiliate and to terrorize Claimants and

the public at large. And they did so because of greed. We therefore FIND that Costello, Berry, Jain and those Respondents operating under the directives of agent Robert Furda who enabled the June 12, 2023 home invasion, battery, kidnapping and false imprisonment, should be subject to punitive damages. We reserve jurisdiction for the purpose of setting the amount of said punitive damage award and contemplate that such amount will be based on Respondents' future conduct and compliance with the other provisions of this Judgment.

27. While the findings this Court makes today are required to be based merely on a preponderance of the evidence, there is in fact no dispute that the facts established support the elements of the aforementioned crimes, even beyond a reasonable doubt. We therefore conclude that the interests of justice would best be served by referring the actions of the Respondents in this matter to a common law grand jury of the people, for further investigation.

28. Any Respondent having the title "law enforcement", including without limitation Respondent Pretel, his agents and contractors, as well as other "law enforcement" personnel operating in other parts of the county than Cleveland, do not in fact or in law, enforce law. Although they may enforce corporate code, such code is not law. Such Respondents, their agents, and contractors, have no duty to protect or serve the public, as has been conceded in the corporate matter of *Gonzalez Castle Rock v. Gonzales*, 545 U.S. 748 (2005). They therefore serve no lawful function. Instead they serve corporate policy functions, such as revenue generation. Their role in the perpetration of violence against members of the public is well established, although it is somewhat less clear how such incidents of violence in and of themselves serve corporate profit purposes. In the matter before us, the violence-for-profit function of Respondent Pretel's office is more obvious. Respondent Pretel's role is as salesman of land offered at less than fair market value after having first been stolen by means of violence from the true owners - Claimants in this case.

29. Respondents have failed, despite three opportunities to do so, to rebut the presumption that Claimants are the true sovereigns of their portion of the land mass of the Ohio territory upon which they live and therefore have allodial title to. By virtue of their unchallenged deed and by occupancy of their land and homestead, Claimants have at all times enjoyed a superior title against all the world and a clearly superior interest to that of any legal fiction or its agents, not one of whom claims *any* interest in Claimants' private property at all.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED and JUDGMENT GRANTED as follows:

1. All proceedings bearing "CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT" corporate identifiers labeled as CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420 or any of the COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA corporate proceedings having corporate identifiers CV21956154, CVG 2300530, and/or 683-23-058, are hereby declared to be null, of no effect, and void *ab initio*. Said corporate proceedings and the paperwork Respondents produce under such

auspices confer no rights upon anyone. All agents and principals of said so-called "courts", their affiliates, subsidiaries and parent corporations, whether or not specifically named herein, are therefore ENJOINED and PROHIBITED from interfering in any manner with Claimants' freedom, property, or right to the peaceable enjoyment of their own land. Respondents shall take note that the law of God informs us all that "He that leadeth into captivity shall go into captivity; he that killeth with the sword must be killed with the sword. Here is the patience and the faith of the saints." (Holy Bible, Revelation 13:10 (KJV))

ap
kb
L.C.
2. All Respondents, their agents, contractors, officers and principals are hereby ENJOINED from causing further communications, publications, trespasses or other acts threatening or carrying out new acts of violence, thievery, or extortionate demands against Claimants Michael or Alison Reese or their land or household goods and furnishings located at 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio. Respondent Jain specifically, along with his agents and principals, shall return all of Claimants' items which Respondents converted to their own use under the pretexts of corporate items bearing such numbers as: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, 683-23-058, CRB 2300419 and/or CRB 2300420. Failure to restore said property, according to any inventory which Claimants provide to us or to any Respondent, or failure to restore Claimants to possession of their family home FORTHWITH at the above address as ORDERED shall result in new liabilities for Respondents, including without limitation, contempt sanctions and/or punitive damages, as this Court may hereafter determine.

3. Respondents are hereby Ordered to ABATE the public and private nuisances they have created by their scheme consisting of (a) posing as sovereigns entitled to tax despite having no authority to demand non-consensual tax from any member of the public; and (b) extorting fines and fees from Claimants or by (c) assaulting or battering them under any guise while Claimants exercise their Constitutionally protected right to live in their own home and occupy their own land. Upon Notice to Respondents and to this Court of refusals to comply with this Order of Abatement, or further acts of aggression or extortion against Claimants, any Respondent or corporate code enforcer having Notice of these Orders and found participating in the nuisance scheme shall be required to post a bond of Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars with this Court, secured by a lien against his or her private property.

4. Respondents Costello and Berry, their agents, principals and contractors shall forthwith return to Claimants their stolen identity documents depicting their unique DNA, their likenesses, and their unique fingerprints. Said Respondents shall immediately cease and desist in converting such documents to their own purposes, whether or not said Respondents attempt to justify their thievery of such private personal identifying items as part of Respondent Costello's corporate trafficking operations bearing corporate identifiers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420, or otherwise.

5. All Respondents are hereby ENJOINED and prohibited from directly or indirectly engaging in further communications, publications, or other acts constituting assaults or batteries or otherwise threatening or inflicting violence upon Claimants, whether based

on the pretext of demanding payments, serving "warrants" emanating from any of the aforementioned corporate proceedings, enforcing corporate code, or otherwise.

6. Judgment for monetary compensation is hereby GRANTED to Claimants Alison Reese and Michael Reese and against Respondents, jointly and severally, as the parties have previously agreed via the Three (3) Notice process, in the following agreed-to sums:

a. Judgment in the sum of \$100,000.00 UNITED STATES Dollars against each of the above named Respondents is hereby GRANTED to Claimants Alison and Michael Reese to compensate them for the harm Respondents inflicted upon them between April 12, 2023 and June 12, 2023, based on said Respondents' admitted acts of common law trespass, extortion, assault, battery, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, domestic terrorism, and treason against Claimants,

b. Further Judgment in the sum of One Thousand UNITED STATES Dollars (\$1,000.00) per day commencing April 12, 2023 is hereby GRANTED to Claimants Michael and Alison Reese and against each Respondent, his or her agents and principals as individual men and women, for continuing harm for acts of trespass, common law extortion, assault, battery, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress and statutory domestic terrorism, said judgment to continue until the aforesaid acts and the harm caused thereby ceases.

c. Judgment against Respondents in the additional sum of Five Hundred Thousand UNITED STATES Dollars (\$500,000) dollars is granted to Claimants and against all Respondents, jointly and severally, as well as against their agents and principals, to compensate Claimants for the June 12, 2023 trespasses, kidnappings and batteries committed under the auspices of Respondent Costello's and Berry's corporate item numbers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420.

d. Judgment for further compensation in the amount of Five Hundred UNITED STATES DOLLARS (\$500.00) per day from June 12, 2023 until date of judgment for intentional infliction of mental distress caused by Respondents' false imprisonment of Claimants on that date and by continuing threats of more violence and false imprisonment; as well as the additional sum of \$500 per minute for any new acts of actual kidnapping, assault, battery or other harms which may result from any ongoing published threats and assaults which Respondent Costello may hereafter perpetrate under the auspices of corporate items numbered CRB 2300419 and/or CRB 2300420 until such time as Respondents' threats and attacks cease and Claimants' land is restored to them.

7. All Respondents shall provide fully executed copies of any and all bonds, insurance policies, or underwriter agreements that may at least partially indemnify Claimants for the harm and losses said Respondents have caused and continue to cause them.


8. All matters, if any, remaining in controversy shall be resolved exclusively by this Court upon proper verified Notice to us.

9. Respondents have agreed to and SHALL waive any and all claims any of them may have against the members of this Ohio Circuit Court of Record or any other man or woman acting to enforce this judgment. Respondents SHALL hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

10. We hereby reserve jurisdiction to make appropriate findings pertaining to Respondents and other, as yet unnamed agents of the corporations acting as *de facto* branches of "government", particularly those who helped terrorize Claimants on their own land on June 12, 2023. Any future findings as to Respondents or as to their *de facto* agents or principals as yet unnamed, will be based on their future conduct in either observing the proper enforcement of these Orders or refusing to do so.

RESPONDENTS, THEIR AGENTS, AND PRINCIPALS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY.


Luciana Constantino


Keith Balluardo


Angela Plaster

Executed by us as Members of this Court on the date indicated hereinabove without the corporate UNITED STATES and without STATE OF OHIO, their affiliates, subsidiaries, and parent corporations.

The clerk is directed to serve copies of this Judgment Entry upon the parties.