

Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Alison Reese, *sui juris*,
as the living woman,
and
Michael Reese, *sui juris*,
as the living man,
husband and wife,
Claimants.

) Case No. 23-CUY-002

Filed of Record

JUN 27 2023

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

-vs-

) PETITION FOR JUDGMENT
ON DEFAULTED CLAIMS

Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha,
Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers,
Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein,
Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin,
Mohan Jain, James Costello,
Saundra Berry, and Clementine Cook
Respondents.

)

Corporate Identifiers: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, 683-23-058, CRB 2300419
and CRB 2300420

I. COME NOW the above named Claimants, Alison Reese and Michael Reese,
being husband and wife, and state as follows:

1. Attached to this Petition is Exhibit "A" which is a true and correct copy of a
series of three (3) Notices of liability served upon the above named
Respondents, along with affidavits of service and of non-response
demonstrating that each Respondent was personally served at least twice and in
most instances three times either personally or through an agent or principal,
with each of said Notices, and that each said Respondent refused to respond.

2. Claimants' Exhibit A is hereby incorporated in full herein by reference.

3. Respondents have been inflicting acts of violence and threats and acts of false
imprisonment and thievery against Claimants since at least April 12, 2023, based
on a false claim for "tax", which Respondents have now three (3) times admitted
that Claimants do not owe. No Respondent claims to personally own Claimants'
unmortgaged home located at 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio.

4. The facts set out in the aforementioned verified Notices demonstrate that
Respondents are all acting as agents or contractors of various private, for profit

AR
MR

corporations as indicated respectively for each Respondent in the 5/31/23 Notice contained in Exhibit A.

5. All the above named Respondent men and women, while using other names and titles, are admittedly engaged in criminal operations against Claimants, who are people living on the land of the original Ohio republic. The agency-principal relationship Respondents act as if they have with their respective legal fiction corporations, as set forth in the 5/31/23 Notice, does not insulate any Respondent as a living man or woman, from personal liability for his or her criminal and tortious acts against Claimants.

6. All Respondents have failed to dispute any of the verified facts stated in any of Claimants' three (3) notices contained in Exhibit A attached hereto. Respondents Synenberg, Bucha, Britton, Chambers, Pretel, Grabenstein, Rocco, Byrd, Dvorin, Jain, Costello, Berry, Cook, and all their agents, contractors and principals have each had three (3) reasonable opportunities to respond but have failed to do so, despite the warnings contained therein, explaining to said Respondents, their agents, and principals, that failure to respond would result in judgment against them.

7. Instead of responding as required by the first Notice, Respondents Jain, Costello and Rocco, on June 12, 2023 sent some 4-6 armed contractors of their agent Robert Furda to Claimants' home to escalate the harm they had already admittedly been inflicting on Claimants. Such new violent acts were committed against Claimants while Claimants were standing peaceably on their own land where they have been peaceably domiciled for many years. Said June 12, 2023 trespasses onto the land where Claimants make their home also included unprovoked further acts of violence against Claimants, consisting of common law conversion, robbery, vandalism, assault, battery, kidnapping, intentional infliction of mental distress and false imprisonment. Said crimes and torts have continued hereafter to the present time, as set forth at paragraph 8 of Claimants' June 16, 2023 Third Notice and adopted in full herein and contained in Exhibit A attached hereto.

8. Claimants' attached Exhibit A includes without limitation, affidavits of service of each of Claimants' three (3) verified Notices, three (3) affidavits of service of, and three (3) affidavits of non-response to any of them. Claimants' Exhibit A constitutes irrefutable proof that none of the Respondents denied any of the allegations contained therein and thereby are all in default as defined in the Notices. An un rebutted affidavit stands as truth in any forum.

9. Exhibit A establishes that no Respondent had any subject matter jurisdiction or other authority to interfere in any manner with Claimants' lives, their freedom of movement, or their peaceable enjoyment of their home, free from Respondents' violence, extortionate demands and thievery. Respondents' admitted acts of having actually kidnapped, battered, and falsely imprisoned Claimants on June

AR
MR

12, 2023, exacerbate the ongoing harm to Claimants which Respondents had already previously committed against them by threats.

10. Claimants' May 31, 2023, June 12, 2023, and June 16, 2023 Notices, each supported under oath and defaulted upon by all Respondents and their agents and principals, established the absence of subject matter jurisdiction or other authority over Claimants and the fact that said Claimants were and remain living people who had caused no harm, loss, or injury to anyone nor have they even been accused of harming, endangering or causing loss to anyone. Respondents have all chosen to refuse to rebut Claimants' claims and to instead invade their home and destroy, vandalize, or steal many of the contents of Claimants' home, thereby trespassing against Claimants' admitted rights to their own home. Such acts also constitute Respondents' acceptance by conduct of the contract offer contained in Claimants' original Notice.

11. The affidavits contained in Exhibit A attached hereto establish that Respondents, by their refusals to respond and by their continuing threats and acts of further harm against Claimants in spite of having admitted their utter lack of authority to inflict same, have also accepted the terms of the contract Offer set forth in the 5/31/23 and subsequent Notices contained in Exhibit A. Respondents have therefore personally and by means of their agents and principals, accepted a Binding Contract between Claimants and each Respondent by which Respondents, their agents, and principals, agree to be liable according to the terms of said contract offer contained in the Notices and accepted by Respondents and their agents, principals and contractors by silence and conduct.

12. The verified facts established by the attached copy of Exhibit A, and never refuted by any Respondent, despite multiple opportunities to do so, may be accurately summarized as follows:

a. On or about June 27, 2021 Respondent Cook deeded her home to her nephew, Claimant Michael Reese, who had been living in that home since the 1990's. Claimant Alison Reese has been Michael's wife before and since that time and living there as well and has a dower interest in said land. Title was taken in Michael's name as a living man, not as MICHAEL REESE, or any other legal fiction that Respondents and their agents, principals, and predecessors have created for profit, by perverting the people's birth names since their non-consensual imposition of birth certificates.

b. None of the Respondents, including without limitation, Respondent Jain, claims to own Claimant's aforementioned land and the home that is affixed to it.

c. Affidavits attached to Claimants' Notices show that Claimants have established by separate instruments, that neither is a surety for the all caps legal fictions

AR
MR

created by Respondents for each Claimant without the informed consent of either.

d. Claimants have no contract with any Respondent other than the one created by the aforementioned 3 accepted Notices, nor has it been alleged that Claimants have ever knowingly consented to allowing their all caps names to do so.

e. The right to home ownership has long been acknowledged to be a God given and Constitutionally protected right. The sovereign people's rights to the allodial title to their homes where they seek shelter has been recognized even by the de facto for centuries. Fundamental unalienable rights require no payment of extortion fees known as "property tax".

f. None of the Respondents ever produced any sworn testimony or sworn statement alleging that either Claimant or either of the imaginary entities Respondents created from their names, had caused injury, harm, loss or threatened injury, harm, or loss to any living being.

g. Respondents, their agents, contractors and principals are entitled to no presumption that Claimants ever consented to any Respondent's supposed authority or subject matter jurisdiction over either. Claimants had no contract with any of the above named Respondents until Respondents consented to the terms of Claimants' contract Offer contained in their May 31, 2023 through June 16, 2023 Notices. Claimants have consented to no intrusions upon their God given freedoms by any Respondent, his or her agents, principals, or associates.

h. With or without consent Claimants lack the power to confer non-existent subject matter jurisdiction upon any legal fiction entity neither created, including without limitation, the ones which Respondents claim as their principals.

i. Respondents Costello and Berry, and their agents and contractors, *via* their corporate item numbers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420, have been creating and continuing to create publicly displayed corporate records targeting Claimants through their perversion of Claimants' names, since June 12, 2023, falsely portraying Claimants as having violated the corporate policies of Respondents' legal fiction principals created for such purposes. At no time has any Respondent or his or her agent or principal ever provided sworn oral or written testimony from any man or woman with actual personal knowledge suggesting that either Claimant ever caused harm, loss, or injury to any living being, nor even that the all caps entity created for each ever violated any corporate policy of the legal fiction known as STATE OF OHIO, its affiliates, subsidiaries, branches, or parent corporations.

j. Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, Bucha, Costello, Dvorin, and any and all other Respondents who are BAR member agents, principals, or contractors of

AR
MR

the private, for profit and foreign corporations of which they admit to membership, are prohibited from serving in positions of public trust, per the Thirteenth Article amending the addressees' never-repealed 1781 Constitution, and due to admissions in corporate "caselaw" and in Black's Law Dictionary (4th) conceding that administrative corporate tribunals such as the so-called CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT, the various versions of THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, COMMON PLEAS COURT OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, and CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT being operated by CITY OF CLEVELAND HEIGHTS, OHIO are not courts at all because they are not being operated by the sovereign. Corporate agents cannot be sovereign. They are instead legal fiction mental constructs that likewise cannot be courts of record because they fail to administer common law.

k. Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, Bucha, Costello, Dvorin, and those of their agents, principals, or contractors who have also sworn allegiance to bylaws of European and domestic BAR corporations, have admittedly refused to register as foreign agents, in contravention of their own corporate policies set forth in the Foreign Agent Registration Act. For this reason as well, any supposedly official act performed by said Respondents is void and prohibited.

l. None of the Respondents has taken a lawful oath of office notwithstanding that they may falsely portray themselves to the people as having done so. Respondents are also for that additional reason lacking in all authority over Claimants and are disabled from serving in positions of public trust.

m. Respondents' corporate code sections apply to persons and other legal fictions, not to living men and women such as Claimants. Respondents' statutes and codes are not law but rather, corporate policy applicable only to the assets or agents of said corporations, their affiliates, subsidiaries, branches and parent corporations. Neither Claimant is an agent, contractor, principal or otherwise of any such corporations for which Respondents claim to act.

n. Respondent BAR members, including without limitation, Respondents Synenberg, Bucha, Grabenstein, Dvorin, Costello, are likewise admittedly prohibited by the original Thirteenth Amendment from serving in positions of public trust and are moreover not entitled to any special privileges to commit crimes against the people without consequence.

o. An integral part of Respondents' efforts to kidnap and falsely imprison Claimants is their use of documents that are functional forgeries, the signature portions having been obviously and clumsily photo-shopped. Moreover, the documents purporting to bear the signature(s) of Respondents Synenberg and Costello constitute merely robo signature scrawls that are not the equivalent of a lawful signature. Unsigned documents mean nothing.

AR
MR

p. All documents that may be signed and all statements made by either Claimant while having been held captive or under the threat of further violence prior to or subsequent to the filing of this Petition, are of no lawful effect.

q. Respondents have consented to each be personally and individually liable to Claimants in the monetary amounts described in the Fee Schedules set forth in the Notices included in Exhibit A. Claimants seek no such compensation from Respondent Cook, as her inclusion in the Notices was as a courtesy and purely for informational purposes.

r. Claimants are admittedly entitled to monetary and injunctive relief as set forth hereinbelow, as well as any and all further incidental and consequential harm attributable to continuing threats and acts of violence and thievery accompanying Respondents' criminal activity committed under the pretexts of corporate identifiers known as CV21956154, CVG 2300530, 683-23-058, CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420. Even following Respondents' June 12, 2023 attacks, agents and/or contractors of Respondents Costello, Berry, and Britton have continued to threaten Claimants with threats of new acts of kidnapping, battery and extortion under the guise of "warrants", which are assaults. Respondents have a history of communicating said assaults electronically to armed agents of STATE OF OHIO's cabal of interconnected corporations. Such ongoing assaults place Claimants in a constant state of fear and intentionally inflicted emotional distress, for which monetary and injunctive relief is justified.

s. The parties have adopted the definition of a UNITED STATES dollar as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage according to the Coinage Acts of 1792 and 1900, which are still in effect, having been created and admitted to by the predecessors of Respondents' superiors.

t. All matters, if any, remaining in controversy at the time that this Court renders judgment or thereafter shall be resolved exclusively either by this Court's reservation of continuing jurisdiction or by another common law court of record, as Claimants may select.

u. Respondents, their agents, contractors, and principals agree that they are prohibited from interfering in the enforcement of the judgments of the Ohio Circuit Court of Record or any other court Claimant may select, and have further agreed to hold harmless any of the people authorized by such court to enforce its judgments.

13. Claimants seek assistance from this Court in requiring that all Respondents provide fully executed copies of any and all bonds, insurance policies, or underwriting agreements that would compensate Claimants for the harm and losses Respondents have caused and continue to cause them.

AR
MR

14. Claimants are entitled to further compensation in the amount of \$500 per day from June 12, 2023 until date of judgment for intentional infliction of mental distress in connection with Respondent Costello's published threats on that date and subsequent dates, if such occur, of more violence and false imprisonment as well as the additional sum of \$500 per minute for any actual kidnapping, assault, battery or other harms which may result from any ongoing published threats and assaults from Respondent Costello until such time as Respondents' threats cease and Claimants' land is restored to them.

II. STATEMENT OF THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION

1. When the original organic 1781 Constitution for the United States of America referred to "law" it referred to common law, not to corporate bylaws. Under common law, and as acknowledged even in the corporate system, for every right there must be a remedy. Claimants claim rights under the Creator as free people living on the land of Ohio, the original republic. Respondents also are living flesh and blood people living on the land of Ohio, the original republic. Respondents have already consented to Claimants' choice of Ohio Circuit Court of Record (OCCR) as a forum for any further disputes in this matter upon which Respondents have defaulted three times. According to admissions contained in Respondents' Black's Law Dictionary (4th), a court of record is one that administers common law, not corporate bylaws called statutes, codes, regulations, and ordinances. The corporate tribunals calling themselves "courts" have no authority to subject any living soul to their private corporate enactments applicable only to legal fiction "persons". OCCR meets Respondents' own Black's law dictionary definition of a "court of record" because it is a court comprised of individually sovereign men and women. Such a court applies common law and equity only to living people and acknowledges the people's right to live without being subjected to harm so long as they harm no one else and so long as they do not knowingly and after full disclosure, subject themselves by contract to some other forum.

2. The Ohio Circuit Court of Record is a common law court comprised of Ohioans who have each rebutted the presumptions created by the corporate state's birth registration bylaws, the presumption of being an agent, slave or asset of the corporate state, and who have rescinded any registrations they may have unwittingly signed as voters in corporate elections. Unlike the current corporate tribunals, the Ohio Circuit Court of Record has lawful authority to adjudicate common law claims between living men and women. Unlike the corporate tribunals and other entities which supposedly employ Respondents, OCCR is not a corporation, has no profit motives, and does not administer corporate bylaws called statutes except as to living people, such as Respondents, who agree that said bylaws apply to them. Most importantly, OCCR has authority also under God's law acknowledged to be sovereign in the Declaration of Independence and in Congressional Resolution 97-280. The members of this Court have taken sacred oaths to apply the common law and the law of the Creator to all living

AR
MR

men and women. Members of the corporate tribunal known as UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT once conceded:

"The judgment of a court of record whose jurisdiction is final, is as conclusive on all the world as the judgment of this court would be. It is as conclusive on this court as it is on the other courts. It puts an end to inquiry concerning the fact, by deciding it." *Ex parte Watkins*, 28 U.S. 193, pp. 202-203 (1830).

The rights conceded to exist once, continue to exist. Claimants' rights to have their claims of harm at the hands of the Respondents adjudicated in such a court of the republic in which they live may not lawfully be infringed.

III. REQUEST FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF FROM THE COURT

As a matter of law and equity, Claimants request that this Court of Record adopt the monetary and injunctive portion of the contract formed by the agreement of the Claimants and Respondents as living people, and not as legal fictions, as set forth in Exhibit A, consistently with Claimants' verified claims already defaulted upon. One United States Dollar is defined herein and by the Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage. Specifically Claimants request that this Court grant them the following relief:

1. An Order enjoining all Respondents either directly or indirectly through agents, from further communications, publications, trespasses or other acts threatening or carrying out further acts of violence or thievery against them or their land or household goods and furnishings located at 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio and ordering the return of all of Claimants' items which Respondents stole under the pretexts of corporate items bearing such numbers as: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, 683-23-058, CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420, all as set forth previously by Notices and consented to by Respondents.
2. An Order requiring Respondents Costello and Berry, their agents, principals and contractors to return Claimants' stolen identity documents depicting their unique DNA, their likenesses, and their unique fingerprints, to cease and desist in converting such documents to their own purposes, in the guise of Respondent Costello's corporate trafficking operations bearing corporate identifiers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420.
3. Judgment of liability upon Respondents' defaults to the within Petition for acts of common law trespass, extortion, assault, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, domestic terrorism, and treason against Claimants, in the sum of \$100,000.00 United States Dollars against each said Respondent for harm inflicted between April 12, 2023 and June 12, 2023.

AR
MR

4. Judgment against each Respondent, his or her agents and principals as individual men and women, for continuing harm for acts of trespass, common law extortion, assault, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress and statutory domestic terrorism, said judgment to be in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) per day commencing April 12, 2023 and continuing until the aforesaid acts and the harm caused thereby cease.

5. Judgment against Respondents in the additional sum of Five Hundred Thousand UNITED STATES (\$500,000) dollars to compensate Claimants for the new trespasses, kidnappings and batteries committed under the auspices of Respondent Costello's and Berry's corporate item numbers CRB 2300419 and CRB 2300420, committed by their agents on 6/12/2023 and continuing to date,

6. Judgment for further compensation in the amount of Five Hundred UNITED STATES DOLLARS (\$500.00) per day from June 12, 2023 until date of judgment for intentional infliction of mental distress caused by Respondents' false imprisonment of Claimants on that date and by continuing threats of more violence and false imprisonment; as well as the additional sum of \$500 per minute for any new acts of actual kidnapping, assault, battery or other harms which may result from any ongoing published threats and assaults which Respondent Costello may hereafter perpetrate under the auspices of corporate items numbered CRB 2300419 and/or CRB 2300420 until such time as Respondents' threats and attacks cease and Claimants' land is restored to them.

VERIFICATION

We, Alison Reese and Michael Reese, of lawful age, being husband and wife and having first been duly sworn upon our oaths, state that we are the Claimants above named, that we have read the foregoing Petition for Judgment on Defaulted Claims and know the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true and correct.

Alison Reese

Seal

Alison Reese, Claimant

Michael Reese

Seal


Michael Reese, Claimant

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, on the landmass known as Cuyahoga
County County, Ohio, the undersigned men and women of
Ohio did on this 26th day of June, 2023, witness the living woman
known as Alison Reese and the living man known as Michael Reese, be

AR
MR

duly sworn upon their Oaths in the presence of each of us and our Heavenly Father, that they did affirm the truth of the statements contained in the above and foregoing Verification for the purposes described therein and under penalty of perjury, and set their autographs and right thumb print seals thereto.



Witness



Witness

REQUEST FOR SERVICE

TO THE CLERK;

Please issue service of the above verified Petition for Judgment on Defaulted Claims with its paper document attachments, along with summons electronically referencing all of Claimants' filings with this Court, upon the above named Respondents by personally serving them each at any physical or electronic address where they may be found, as indicated by previous services of Notices upon each.


Alison Reese


Michael Reese

AR
MR

EXHIBIT A

Attached hereto contains, in chronological order, true and correct copies of the three (3) Notices served upon the above-named Respondents, along with copies of affidavits of service and nonresponse for each Notice, as well as any evidentiary exhibits.

**NOTICE OF ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO
TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, THE ABSENCE
OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE ABSENCE
OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX, NOTICE OF LIABILITY
FOR TRESPASS, COMMON LAW EXTORTION, COMMON LAW
ASSAULT, COMMON LAW CONVERSION, INTENTIONAL
INFLICTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS, TREASON, AND STATUTORY
DOMESTIC TERRORISM, CONTRACT OFFER, AND AFFIDAVIT**

May 31, 2023

FROM: Alison Reese, nee Adkins, previously known as Workman and Stryczny, *sui juris*, a living woman having been found to be of full legal age, and Michael Reese, *sui juris*, a living man having been found to be of full legal age;
Claimants.

TO: Joan Synenberg, the living woman, also being employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 361735913;
1200 Ontario Street Floor 11
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(united states of America);

Stephen Bucha the living man, also being employee, contractor, agent or officer of the corporation publicly known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT but doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 361735913;
[c/o] 1200 Ontario Street Floor 11
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(united states of America);

Christopher Britton, the living man, also being employee, contractor, or agent of the corporation or corporate contractor known as CITY OF CLEVELAND HEIGHTS, OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 079507008;
[c/o] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
(united states of America);

Michael Chambers, the living man, also being employee, contractor, or agent of the corporation or corporate contractor known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY RECORDER and/or the corporation known as CITY OF CLEVELAND HEIGHTS, OHIO, having Dun and Bradstreet number 079507008;
[c/o] 2079 East Ninth Street, Suite 4
Cleveland, Ohio [44115]

Or [c/o] 14591 Superior Road
Cleveland, Ohio [44118]
Or [c/o] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
(united States of America),

Harold Pretel, the living man, also being employee, contractor, or agent of the corporation known publicly as CUYAHOGA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, also doing business as COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA having Dun and Bradstreet number 066047804 for the headquarters of that corporation, as well as Dun and Bradstreet numbers 617408414 and 079422543 for several branches of COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA doing business at the address indicated;

[c/o] 1215 West Third Street
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(united states of America);

Matthew Grabenstein, the living man, also being employee, contractor, or agent of the corporations known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR and THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, the latter bearing Dun & Bradstreet number 360705099;

[c/o] 310 West Lakeside Avenue Suite 300
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(united States of America);

Lisa Rocco, the living woman, also being agent, contractor, or officer for the entity known publicly as CUYAHOGA COUNTY and as COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA, with Dun and Bradstreet, which assigned it the number 616466632;

[c/o] 1200 Ontario Street, Ninth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]

(united States of America);

Or 2079 East Ninth Street

Cleveland, Ohio [44115]

(united States of America);

Nailah Byrd, the living woman, also being employee, contractor, officer or agent of the corporation doing business publicly as COMMON PLEAS COURT OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, but known to Dun & Bradstreet as the private, for profit corporation, THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, bearing Dun and Bradstreet Number 6215520847;

[c/o] 1200 Ontario Street, First Floor
Cleveland, Ohio [44413]

(united States of America);

David Dvorin, the living man, also being officer, agent, or principal of the legal fiction corporation known as LIEBERMAN, DVORIN & DOWD, LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number 088781478 and of the private, for profit corporation publicly doing business as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, and having Dun and Bradstreet Number 6215520847;

[c/o] 30195 Chagrin Boulevard Suite 300,
Cleveland, Ohio [44124];
(united states of America);

Mohan Jain, the living man, also being officer, agent, or principal of the legal fiction corporation known as PREMIER HOMES CLE , LLC, having Dun and Bradstreet number: 089043107,
[c/o] 23880 Commerce Park Suite A
Beachwood, Ohio [44122];
(united states of America);

James Costello, the living man, also being officer agent, or principal of the private, for profit corporation publicly doing business as CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT but being a private, for profit corporation known to Dun and Bradstreet as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, with at least two (2) Dun and Bradstreet identifiers associated with the address for the Cleveland Heights entity, namely 621961150 and 621961168;
[c/o] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
(united states of America);

Saundra Berry, the living woman, also being agent, officer or contractor of the legal fiction corporation known publicly as CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT but being a private, for profit corporation known to Dun and Bradstreet as THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, with at least two (2) Dun and Bradstreet identifiers associated with the address for the Cleveland Heights entity, namely 621961150 and 621961168;
[c/o] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
(united states of America);

Clementine Cook, the living woman, *sui juris*, having been found to be of full legal age
[c/o] 212 Shields Road
Stockbridge, Georgia [30281]
(united states of America);
Respondents.

Corporate Identifiers: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, and 683-23-058

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE
TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B)
CONTINUED ACTS OR THREATS IN PURSUIT OF CLAIMS AGAINST CLAIMANTS
OR THEIR LAND; OR BY (C) ANY ENTRY OR OTHER TRESPASS UPON
CLAIMANTS' LAND OR OTHER PRIVATE PROPERTY.**

PRELIMINARY NOTICE. This document and attachments are presented with honorable, peaceful intentions, and are expressly for your benefit to provide each Respondent with due process according to the due course of Common Law and with a good faith opportunity to provide verified facts specifically refuting the statements contained herein

I.PREAMBLE:

A.NOTE ON MEANING OF TERMS USED HEREIN: Notwithstanding any agreement, course of dealing, or usage of trade to the contrary, the undersigned do not understand, nor are they required to understand or accept any other meaning of words in the English language other than those found in common American speech or in Webster's 1828 dictionary. Unless otherwise specified herein, terms used herein, including legal fictions, which may have particularized meanings among the employees of the corporate employers set forth hereinabove, are being used as the undersigned understand them and according to their ordinary and plain meanings and/or as defined by Webster's. Specific terms used herein include without limitation:

- (1) Usage of the term "you" refers to each Respondent named herein exclusively as a man or woman and not in his or her corporate role unless otherwise specified.
- (2) The term "Claimant" refers to Alison Reese or Michael Reese.
- (3) One United States "Dollar" is defined herein and by the Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage. Neither act has ever been repealed.
- (4) The term "payment" of a claimed liability refers to the tender of United States Dollars as defined hereinabove.
- (5) The term "discharge" of a claimed liability occurs upon the tender of Federal Reserve Notes or other debt instruments.
- (6) The term "allodial" means "free; not beholden of any lord or superior; owned without obligation...; the opposite of feudal." Black's Law Dictionary (6th).
- (7) Usage of the term "treason" herein is defined as any act of warfare against the people or adhering to their enemies.

B. AGREEMENT & WAIVER OF RIGHTS: If any Respondent agrees with all of the statements contained in the within Notice, he or she need not respond. Respondent's silence will constitute his or her agreement and acceptance of all of the terms, statements and provisions hereunder as his or her complete understanding and agreement with the undersigned and addressee's waiver of any and all rights, remedies and defenses of protest, objection, rebuttal, argument, appeal and controversy for all time. Respondents agree that his or her agreement, having been granted knowingly, voluntarily and with full disclosure, settles all matters finally and forever, and cannot be withdrawn.

C. DISAGREEMENT & FAILURE TO RESPOND: Respondents may disagree with any of the terms of the Notice by stating a verified claim with particularity. Each Respondent and the undersigned agree that a response which is not verified, or a response from a third party agent lacking first-hand knowledge of the facts, will constitute that Respondent's "failure to respond"

as defined herein. If a Respondent fails to respond or state a claim by the indicated Effective Date, the facts and law stated within this Notice will become binding and fully enforceable in a common law court of record or in a *de facto* corporate tribunal as the undersigned may select.

D.OFFER OF IMMUNITY—STATING A CLAIM: Any Respondent may avoid all liability and obligations under this Notice by simply responding no later than by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the Effective Date with a notarized Affidavit signed by a witness with personal knowledge of the facts contained in said Affidavit and which proves any claim any man or woman may have against the undersigned or the all caps name resembling either of theirs. The statement must be sworn to be true, contain a notary *jurat*, and be supported by certified factual evidence and verified proof. Alternatively, Respondent may respond with a point-by-point rebuttal of the Notice, sworn to be true by a man or woman with actual personal knowledge of the facts, and to which he attaches certified factual evidence. In the event any Respondent declines this good faith Offer of Immunity, said Respondent agrees with all terms, facts, statements and provisions in this Notice and any obligations created hereunder.

E. TERMS OF RESPONSE: As with any administrative process, Respondent may rebut the statements and claims in the Notice herein by executing a verified response, point-by-point with evidence that is certified to be true and in affidavit form, correct and complete, to be received by Erin Rawlins at the address indicated at Paragraph I(I) hereinbelow, no later than 5:00 PM on the Effective Date.

F. FAILURE TO RESPOND: The term “failure to respond” means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice or “insufficiency of response” as that term is defined herein. The above Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with all of the terms and provisions of this Notice.

G. INSUFFICIENCY OF RESPONSE: The terms “insufficiency of response” and “insufficient response” are defined to mean a response which is received by the Effective Date but which fails to specifically rebut, line by line, any of the established terms, provisions, statements or claims in the Notice, or offers blanket denials, unsupported rebuttals, inapposite rebuttals such as “not applicable” or equivalent statements, declarations of counsel and or other third parties who lack first-hand material factual knowledge, and/or any rebuttal which lacks verification or an equivalent level of risk or fails to exhibit supportive evidence certified to be true, correct and complete under full commercial liability. Respondents agree that any such response is deemed to be legally and lawfully insufficient to rebut the established statements in the verified Notice, thereby conveying Respondent’s agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

H. TACIT AGREEMENT: Respondents may admit to all statements and claims in this Notice by simply remaining silent. The parties herein agree that failure to respond or insufficiency of response as defined herein constitutes agreement with all terms, provisions, statements, facts and claims in this Notice. When circumstances impose a duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation. Any Respondent may also consent to the terms of the within Notice and offer and be deemed to have admitted to all statements and claims in this Notice by his or her conduct, including without limitation continued acts or threats in pursuit of claims against Claimants or their land or by any entry or other trespass upon Claimants’ land or other private property.

I.EFFECTIVE DATE/RESPONSE: Response must be received by the effective date, which is ten (10) days from the date of receipt of this notice. **Response must be by U.S. certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:**

Erin Rawlins
[c/o] 22659 Alden Avenue
Alliance, Ohio [44601]
(united states of America).

II. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO RESPOND

A. FAILURE TO RESPOND DEFINED: The term "failure to respond" means Respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice, or an attempted response that is "insufficient" as that term is defined herein. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

B. INSUFFICIENCY OF RESPONSE: The terms "insufficiency of response" and "insufficient response" are defined to mean a response which is received by the Effective Date but which fails to specifically rebut, line by line, any of the established terms, provisions, statements or claims in the Notice, or offers blanket denials, unsupported rebuttals, inapposite rebuttals such as "not applicable" or equivalent statements, declarations of counsel and or other third parties who lack first-hand material factual knowledge, and/or any rebuttal which lacks verification or an equivalent level of risk or fails to exhibit supportive evidence certified to be true, correct and complete under full commercial liability and acknowledging the applicable penalties for perjury. Respondents agree that any such response is deemed to be legally and lawfully insufficient to rebut the established statements in the Notice, thereby conveying respondent's agreement with all of the terms and provisions of this Notice.

C. SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT: Upon your failure to respond or perform as defined hereinabove, this Contract becomes instantly self-executing. Respondents agree to be bound by all of the terms of the Contract commencing on the date of default.

D. NOTICE OF DEFAULT: Claimants acknowledge that Respondents are entitled to a Notice of Default. In consideration, Respondents agree to accept a Notice of Default as Binding Judgment certifying Respondents' agreement with all terms, statements, facts and provisions in the Contract. Since Judgment is issued when a party waives the right to respond, all parties to this Agreement agree to be bound in perpetuity by any and all such Judgments which may be issued regarding the Contract.

E. BINDING JUDGMENT: Any Respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the within Contract may be entered by any common law court and/or administrative tribunal, at Claimants' election. Any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any other "court" or tribunal. Claimants may elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it through a common law sheriff, deputy or other law or corporate code enforcer who has taken an oath to support the Constitution. Any Respondent who fails to respond as defined herein agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or against any of the

aforementioned common law sheriffs, deputies or other law or corporate code enforcers who have taken an oath to support the Constitution, which claims may hereafter arise in connection with the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

III. ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX

A. SUMMARY OF FACTS

(1). Since the early 1990's and until June 27, 2021 when she deeded her land to Claimants, Respondent Cook, a single woman, had been the prior owner of the land having physical address of 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio and known by metes and bounds as follows:

Situated in the City of Cleveland Heights, County of Cuyahoga and State of Ohio, and known as being Sublot No. 145 in the Rapid Transit Land Company's Subdivision No. 17-B of part of Original Euclid Township Lot No. 57, as shown by the recorded plat in Volume 81 of Maps, page 6 of Cuyahoga County Records, and being 40 feet front on the Southerly side of Grosvenor Road and extending back of equal width 110 feet, as appears by said plat, be the same more or less, but subject to all legal highways.

(2) Respondent Cook either chose or was induced by Respondents, their agents and contractors, without prior disclosure of all material facts, to record her interest in the above described land in the private, corporate records being administered by agents or principals of Respondents Chambers or Rocco. Any payments by Respondent Cook to said Respondents, their agents, predecessors, or principals, constituted gifts. The only consideration Respondents provided Respondent Cook for such payments was the compelled "benefit" of the act of "recording" itself. Respondent Cook's prior years' worth of paying taxes, if any, were not as a result of any contract, only because of Respondents' fraudulent billings and fraudulent claims that recording is required in order to transfer title that she received from the prior owner.

(3) The above described land was Respondent Cook's home and domicile until she deeded it to Claimants.

(4) On June 27, 2021 Respondent Cook transferred all her right, title and interest in said land to Claimant Michael Reese, the living man, not to the legal construct known as MICHAEL REESE. Claimant Alison Reese, the living woman, is, was at that time, and continues to be, the wife of Michael Reese. The above described land became and since June 27, 2021, has remained Claimants' home and domicile. The June 27, 2021 deed from Respondent Cook to Claimant Michael Reese was never recorded or registered with any agent or principal of any Respondent.

(5) Since at least as early as early June, 2021, Respondents Grabenstein, Rocco, and Hammett, have been acting as code enforcement agents or officers of and generating income for, the corporate entities above named as being their principal(s). Said Respondents or their agents or

principals have been utilizing unsigned billings containing explicit and implied threats of violence and conversion. Such threats have in the past been successful in extorting "payments" from Respondent Cook. There are no corporate bylaws known as statutes, whether contained in the Ohio Revised Code, the Ohio Administrative Code or otherwise, that authorize any of the Respondents to demand payments from either Claimant or from Respondent Cook, based on their private ownership of land or the claimed value thereof.

(6) On or about November 22, 2021, Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, Rocco, Byrd and others initiated against Respondent Cook, corporate proceedings known as CV21956154, emanating from the private, for profit legal fiction entity publicly known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT. Said Respondents aided and abetted one another in efforts to take the land described hereinabove. Claimants were provided with no Notice of said corporate proceedings.

(7) On or about March 8, 2023 without prior notice to Claimants, Respondents, including without limitation Grabenstein, Chambers, Rocco or her predecessor, and predecessors of Respondent Pretel and his agents purported to sell the land to a legal fiction known as PREMIER HOMES CLE LLC, being operated by Respondent Jain.

(8) The operation of any legal fiction, such as a corporation, serves no lawful purpose and is unrecognized according to the common law and law of God. There is nothing lawful about creating or participating in an imaginary concept that somehow magically shields the living people who operate it from liability for the harm that they inflict on others.

(9) Respondent Jain had and has actual or constructive knowledge that Respondents acting as agents of legal fiction "sellers" had no authority to sell anything to him, including without limitation, Claimants' land.

(10) On or about April 12, 2023 Respondent Jain, aided and abetted by his agent, one Susan Jain, trespassed onto Claimants' private property to post a written threat called a "Three Day Notice" addressed to the legal fiction known as MICHAEL K. REESE. The three Day Notice threat contained false statements and corporate policy enactments inapplicable to Claimants. On or about May 16, 2023 Respondents Jain, Dvorin, Berry and Costello, aided and abetted by their agents and contractors, participated in corporate proceedings known as CVG 2300530 emanating from the legal fiction entity publicly known as CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT, in a stated effort to invoke corporate Ohio Revised Code Section 1923.06 obtain the appearance of authority to again trespass upon Claimants' land, to assault, batter, and/or abduct Claimants and their family members, and to convert their private property, including without limitation, their land, household goods and furnishing, to their own uses.

(11) Ohio Revised Code sets forth corporate policy for its agents and corporate assets it refers to as "persons". Sections 1923.01 through 1923.06 of said corporate code admit that such statutes apply only to "persons who make unlawful and forcible entry into lands or tenements and detain them, and about persons who make a lawful and peaceable entry into lands or tenements and hold them unlawfully and by force". Claimants, as they have established by separate Notice, are not legal fiction "persons" subject to the corporately created Ohio Revised Code unless they

specifically consent to be after full prior disclosure of all material facts. No such disclosure ever occurred. Claimants have not so consented to Respondents' attempts to impose their private corporate code upon them. In any event, Claimants are the rightful owners of the land, household goods and furnishings which Respondents are attempting illegally and unlawfully to convert to their own uses, as set forth in paragraph III(A)(4) hereinabove.

(12) There are no corporate bylaws known as statutes, codes, ordinances or even Constitutional provisions, that pertain to the notion of "property tax" and that also apply to living people, such as Claimants and Respondent Cook. All provisions of the Ohio Revised Code, including without limitation, Ohio Rev. Code Secs. 323.25 and/or Sec. 5721.18(A) and/or (B), cited by Respondents Grabenstein, Synenberg, and other Respondents during corporate proceedings known as CV21956154, emanating from the legal fiction entity publicly known as CUYAHOGA COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT, or during corporate proceedings known as CVG 2300530 from the legal fiction entity publicly known as CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT, purport to apply, according to their own terms, *only* to legal fiction "persons", not to living people, including without limitation Respondent Cook and Claimants.

(13) None of the Respondents has or claims a property interest in the above described land, nor does any one of the legal fictions for which said Respondents act. Legal fictions, including without limitation, PREMIER HOMES CLE LLC, the latter having no lawful existence and being therefore incapable of entering into contracts or owning anything.

(14) Claimants as living people, have God-given rights that are equal to those enjoyed by any other living man or woman in Ohio, including each of the Respondents.

(15) None of Respondents alleges that Claimants entered into any contract that obligated either Claimant to pay Respondents, their agents, or principals. Nor does any Respondent allege that Respondent Cook consented to pay any of them or their agents or principals "property tax" after full prior disclosure to them of all material facts.

(16) Respondents, as men and women, have no greater right to demand payment from Claimants without their consent, than would Claimants have any such right to demand payment from any of them without their consent.

B. ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

(1) Common law is a superior form of jurisdiction that derives its authority from God and which exceeds that of any corporation. Members of the corporate UNITED STATES CONGRESS have admitted this maxim for several decades.

(2) At common law there was no tax lien. Such liens are purely statutory creations. *Cassidy v Aroostook*, 134 Me. 34(1936). In other words, a private corporation enacts policy and calls it "law" when it is not law.

(3) None of the Respondent attorneys, including without limitation, Dvorin, Grabenstein, Synenberg, Costello, or other BAR members are entitled to serve in positions of public trust. None of the acts committed to date by Respondents or their agents, whether by means of

Respondent Jain's 4/12/23 trespass and written threat or his 5/16/23 "COMPLAINT", or the November 21, 2021 proceedings operated by Respondents Synenberg, Bucha and Byrd, are authorized because Respondent BAR members, even if they took oaths of office, are incapable of holding "any office of trust" by virtue of the fact that each, by being a BAR member, has accepted a title of "nobility or honour" from a foreign power. Any purported acts they have performed to date, including the creation of corporate "court orders" are therefore void *ab initio* and of no effect. The Thirteenth amendment to the original, organic U.S. Constitution prohibits anyone who has received or retained such emoluments as the title of attorney at law or esquire, from holding any office of trust. Said original Thirteenth Amendment was unanimously ratified by the required number of states by 1824 and ratified in Ohio in January, 1811. It reads in full as follows:

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

It has never been repealed. It also constitutes an admission against interest by Respondents' predecessors.

(4) The principal of each BAR member Respondent is a private, for profit corporation. A corporation is a legal fiction that cannot think, act or do any thing without the intervention of a living man or woman. The fact that Respondents are operating as agents of one or more corporations means that they have no greater right to proceed against Claimants than would private citizens. Corporate tribunals which publicly portray themselves as CUYAHOGA COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS and CLEVELAND HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL COURT likewise have no right to proceed against Claimants or claim their land. Neither of said corporate tribunals is a court because neither is a sovereign. Moreover, neither tribunal is a "court of record" because neither administers common law. The process of both such legal entities results from sham so-called legal proceedings and is void *ab initio*. *The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia*, 6 L. Ed. [9 Wheat] 244 (1824). No corporation merely doing business as if it were a "court", without the informed consent of the litigants has any authority whatever to operate legitimately as part of Ohio's government and is not a court. *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363-371 (1943).

(5) It is a fundamental maxim of both common law and of the statutory corporate tribunal system, that subject matter jurisdiction (or claimed corporate authority) may be challenged at any time.

(6) Respondents' refusals to fully and fairly disclose Claimants' rights to a court of record have harmed Claimants and induced them to rely on such concealments to their detriment, thereby causing harm to them and their God-given, unalienable common law rights to be free to live their lives free from trespasses and interferences from Respondent corporate employees operating to generate revenue. Claimants have never been provided notice or an opportunity to be heard by a true, non-corporate court of record on any of the facts or law which could have established the existence of any authority to tax at all.

C. ABSENCE OF TAXING AUTHORITY

(1) Corporations masquerading as governmental entities, including without limitation, Respondents' principals, have no land jurisdiction at all. All their delegated powers return to the unincorporated states and people when they are bankrupt, in liquidation or reorganization. When they foreclose, they are operating outside their charters. They may advertise a "Land Sale", but in reality, all they can sell, if anything at all, is a Temporary User Privilege, and even then only when the true owner does not object. Respondent Jain had every reason to know that he was never purchasing Claimants' private property as he allegedly did on March 8, 2023 because Respondent corporate agents had no authority to transfer it to him or to the legal fiction corporation Respondent Jain operates.

(2) Respondent BAR members' predecessors have long admitted that after exclusive jurisdiction over lands within a State have been ceded to the United States, private property located thereon is not subject to taxation by the State, nor can state statutes enacted subsequent to the transfer have any operation therein. *Surplus Trading Company v. Cook*, 281 U.S. 647 (1930).

(3) At the time Congress transferred the public lands to the new Ohio state republic, via the 1802 Enabling Act, it granted the new state no taxing power.

https://ohiohistorycentral.org/w/Enabling_Act_of_1802 The corporate STATE OF OHIO masquerading as the original Ohio republic has even less.

(4) Claimants are the owners of the land mass described herein and have chosen to not record their private property interests in the land mass described hereinabove, into the private corporate records maintained by the above named Respondents. As sovereigns without subjects Claimants inherited the right to the type of perfect allodial title that once was believed to be the exclusive prerogative of monarchs. As allodial title holders Claimants are the only ones entitled to the usufruct, an unalienable characteristic of their allodial title but Respondent county agents have been using Claimants' private property since the time that Respondent Cook owned the property, as collateral for their corporate transactions without the consent of Claimants or Respondent Cook, and without providing an accounting.

(5) Claimants receive no benefits from any of Respondents' corporations or any of their agents unless they pay for such benefits, or unless they are compelled to receive some "benefit" they do not want. Compelled benefits are not benefits at all. Claimants have entered into no contract or any presumed contract with Respondents and have not authorized the entities represented by the all capital versions of their names to do so. It has long been admitted that neither a state nor a subsidiary or subdivision of it may impose a charge for the enjoyment of any right granted by the Constitution for the United States of America. *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*, 319 U.S. 105, 113 (1943). A tax would constitute a prior restraint on Constitutionally protected liberties and suppress their exercise. Since Claimants' entitlement herein is guaranteed by the Federal Constitution, and exists independently of State authority, any inquiry as to whether the State has given something for which it can ask a return is irrelevant. In any event, Claimants have received absolutely no benefit from Respondents' corporate county employers unless such benefit was compelled. The reasonable value of recording services said county employers offer to Claimant

or others has already been discharged and paid by Respondent Cook and her predecessors in title. Claimant rejects, as a compelled "benefit" of dubious value, any additional presumed or residual value Respondents' principals may claim to have provided Claimant or the public in general by virtue of its services in recording deeds.

(6) Claimants are the exclusive owners of the aforementioned land located on the land mass know as Cuyahoga County, Ohio Not one of the Respondents and not one of the agents, officers, employees or principals of the parent, affiliate, or subsidiary corporation of such employers or principals, has or claims to have any legal or lawful interest in the aforementioned properties.

(7) Respondents' corporate principals may lawfully own no interest in land because legal fictions have no authority to even exist and could not possibly be sovereign.

(8) None of the Respondents purporting to act on behalf of their legal fiction corporations, have any taxing authority. It is a settled principle pre-dating the 14th Amendment, that States may not tax beyond their territorial limits. When "states" become private, for profit legal fiction corporations, i.e. mere mental constructs, they *have* no territorial limits. Their agents, including without limitation, Respondents, have no authority beyond their threats of violence. American government has never been sold to the people under any theory of "might makes right". It has always claimed authority based only on the consent of the governed.

(9) As men and women are all equal in rights to one another, no man or woman, such as any of the Respondents, whether acting as "county treasurer" or otherwise, can lawfully tax any man or woman, such as Claimants, any more than Claimants may any of the Respondents, as living people. The right to the ownership of property and to contract with respect to its use is Constitutionally protected.

(10) Property taxes are *ad valorem*, direct taxes and must therefore be apportioned. Article I, Section 2 Clause 3 and Article I Sec. 9 Clause 4 of the United States Constitution require that state agents first apportion any taxes they seek to impose on privately owned land. Respondents have never computed any such apportionment.

(11) Respondents have to date failed to claim the existence of any corporate statute, code or ordinance that grants any of them or their principals taxing authority, although there are corporate policy enactments describing *how* to compute the unauthorized tax. Neither Respondents' OHIO REVISED CODE nor their STATE OF OHIO "Constitution" contains claimed taxing authority, only descriptions of how to collect it based on groundless presumption.

(12) Claimants are not in contract with the corporate "COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA" and are not bound by nor have they consented to Respondents' unilateral categorizations of their private property land and fixtures using such arbitrary classifications as "residential, industrial or agricultural".

(13) Those Respondents presuming to act as if they were agents of "government" are Constitutionally prohibited from taxing private property unless it is classified pursuant to constitutional limitations (residential, industrial, agricultural). Respondents have nevertheless

made such classification without Claimants' consent in an obvious effort to justify taxing it. Such tactics constitute fraud upon Claimants.

(14) Property has been defined as the right and interest which a man has in lands and chattels to the exclusion of others." *Ralston Car Co. v. Ralston* 147 N.E. 513 (Ohio 1925) If the corporate state were able to lawfully take Claimants' property any time they do not pay Respondents' billings, if any, then Claimants would be dispossessed of their land and have become slaves.

(15) Respondent Jain claims no authority for himself or the imaginary entity he operates in making claims of ownership during the CVG 2300530 corporate proceedings. Respondent Jain paid for whatever it was that the other Respondents were selling him despite actual or constructive knowledge that what he was ostensibly purchasing was subject to adverse claims in general and that Claimants in particular were in fact the true owners. Claimants are not responsible for any frauds committed against Respondent Jain by the other Respondents' unauthorized acts and representations, if any, of their non-existent authority to sell.

(16) Claimants' land is not needed or claimed to be needed for any public purpose.

(17) Respondents do not have and do not claim any source of any lawful, legal, or even corporate authority from which they are entitled to bill Claimant for "taxes" on the aforementioned land masses. The taxing power may not be exercised except by a sovereign. Not one of the Respondents has claimed that, when acting on behalf of the corporate state that he or she has sovereign authority over Claimant or any of their rights. Claimants are not 14th Amendment legal fiction U.S. citizens and are not subject to directives from any affiliate, subsidiary, or franchisee of any corporation, whether or not its agents refer to it as part of the "government". Claimants are not corporate assets or agents who have any allegiance to or affiliation with any of Respondents' employers above named, or their parent corporations.

(18) Respondents have at all times failed or refused to fully or fairly disclose to Claimants the corporate nature of their principals or that corporations have no greater rights than living men and women to use the non-existent but claimed taxing power to deprive Claimants of their land in the absence of their informed consent to be subject to such corporate claims of authority. Claimant hereby rebuts any presumption of consent Respondents may entertain to the contrary. Any deemed "consent" by Claimants or either of them, to such corporate jurisdiction could not have ever occurred without such disclosures. Corporate entities, cannot contract with living men and women without full, fair and honest disclosure.

(19) The parties agree that Respondent corporate agents and contractors believe themselves bound to follow the directives of their corporate superiors operating as "justices" of UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT which has stated that the power to tax is an incident of and exclusive feature of sovereignty. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe*, 455 U.S. 130, 144-148 (1982). Agents of corporations doing business as COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA, as do all Respondents and their principals, have no sovereignty and therefore lack the taxing power of a sovereign. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/148693098.pdf> In the absence of an allegation that Respondents' efforts to appropriate Claimants' private property is for a public purpose, no lawful tax may be laid. Respondents' predecessor superiors operating as "justices" of UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT conceded that the power of the supposedly sovereign state to tax "is the

highest attribute of sovereignty” (*McCulloch v. Maryland*, 17 U.S. 316, 338-339 (1819)) but denied the imposition of that power on one with greater sovereignty.

IV. NOTICE OF POTENTIAL LIABILITY

A. Any further acts by any Respondents in continuing to exercise non-existent claims of authority against Claimants may result in full liability for common law trespass. Such trespasses include, without limitation, common law extortion, common law assault, common law conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, treason, and/or statutory domestic terrorism or other harm. The infliction of harm is not a permissible action for those Respondents claiming to be part of the “government”, regardless of whether or not such harm may be deemed justified by corporate bylaws known as statutes. The right to property is an unalienable right. Taxing property (of the people) is an alienation of that right. Property tax is solely for entities that do not have unalienable rights, such as corporations, LLCs, business and commercial entities. The corporate STATE’s taxation codes do not use the words man, men, woman, women or people because corporations cannot and do not have authority over living people.

B. The above Notices are legal and lawful notices. Respondents may deny the facts set forth in said Notice ONLY by serving the natural woman, Erin Rawlins above named, by certified, restricted delivery U.S. Mail, with return receipt, to the address indicated hereinabove for said Erin Rawlins within Ten (10) days after the delivery of this Notice. Thereafter, the liabilities listed above may not be denied or avoided by the respondents named and their agents and principals, as set forth in this notice.

C. As private men and women, Claimants have unlimited, inviolable and Constitutionally protected powers and rights to contract or to decline to contract. All Respondents facilitated or participated in the violation of Claimants’ Constitutionally protected, common law and natural God-given rights, in the following particulars: a. by threatening them with violent abduction from their home without cause; b. by depriving them of their right to a court of record, c. by depriving them of any semblance of due process or due course of law.

D. Respondents’ corporate tribunal principals profit from various contracts with other federal and state governmental and non-governmental corporations, which also generate profits through trade on the stock exchange. As agents of said corporation(s), Respondents are obligated to work solely for the financial interests of their corporate employer(s) regardless of the Constitutional, common law, and/or natural law rights of the Claimants. Respondents’ allegiance to their corporate employers presents a conflict both with common law and with God’s law, which Congress acknowledged in 1982 via P.L. 97-280 to be supreme. Part of God’s law and the common law which serves it, states: “No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon”. Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 6:24.

V. FEE SCHEDULE

A. CONTINUING HARM: A fee schedule is included herein for the purpose of compensating Claimants for past and continuing harm. The liabilities set forth in the within schedule, as to all Respondents above named, are based on their acts of trespass, extortion, assault, conversion,

intentional infliction of mental distress, domestic terrorism, and treason (as to oath taking Respondents), all as set forth hereinabove.

B. HARM CAUSED BY ACTS OF TREASON: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment that Respondents or any of them are oath takers and committed acts of common law trespass, extortion, assault, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, domestic terrorism, and treason against Claimants, the parties agree that Claimants are entitled to judgment in the further sum of \$100,000.00 United States Dollars against each said Respondent. One United States Dollar is defined herein and by the Acts of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver Coinage.

C. PERSONAL LIABILITY: Claimants herein are entitled to additional compensation from all Respondents, their agents and principals, for their acts of trespass, extortion, assault, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, and domestic terrorism. It is understood that the within Fee Schedule applies to all Respondents as living men and women, not as corporate actors.

D. HARM RESPONDENTS CAUSED AND CONTINUE TO CAUSE TO CLAIMANTS: A fee schedule of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) per day commencing April 12, 2023 for their acts of trespass, common law extortion, common law assault, common law conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, and statutory domestic terrorism, shall be due and payable from Respondents to the Claimants upon any finding or judgment of liability. Each aforementioned Respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be due and owing by each said Respondent, their agents and principals, jointly and severally, upon any finding or judgment of liability.

E. FAILURE TO PAY: In the case of failure to pay any fees or Judgment within thirty (30) days of presentment of any such Bill or Judgment, you Respondents each agree that your property wherever situated is subject to lien, including judgment liens, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

F. RECOUPMENT OF LOSSES: Respondents are hereby prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or constituents. Respondents will be absolved of all liability, including all outstanding amounts billed, upon payment of all sums required herein and as defined herein and upon the actual termination of further acts of trespass, common law extortion, assault, conversion, intentional infliction of mental distress, domestic terrorism, and treason.

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

AFFIDAVIT OF CLAIMANTS

The undersigned Michael Reese and Alison Reese, having first been duly sworn upon their oaths and under penalty of perjury, state as follows:

Status and Standing

1. Claimants Alison Reese and Michael Reese, husband and wife, are two of the people or their posterity referred to in the Preamble to the U.S. Constitution. Claimants have been living on the land mass known as Ohio for many years. They hereby reserve the right to "define the moral, political, and legal character of their lives", as Respondents' superiors have conceded to be their right. Executive Order 13132 Sec. 2(d) (8/10/99).

2. Since the 1935 enactment of the corporate bylaw known as the Social Security Act, and the implementation of State issued Birth Certificates, agents of State corporate entities have created the legal fiction presumption known as *parens patriae* to assume unconstitutional authority over children through parents' signatures on Birth Certificate documents, executed without corporate agents having first fully disclosed such secret presumptions to the parents.

3. Claimants hereby disavow any presumption that any corporate State ever had any authority to use the birth documents of either to create a legal fiction "person" by using the name of either, whether by using *parens patriae* or other corporation fiction.

4. Claimants disavow any presumption that either is a surety for any debt of Respondents or their legal fiction principals.

5. Once agents or contractors of the hospitals where each was born transferred or sold the birth certificate documents to the corporate STATE OF OHIO, they then fraudulently and with no notice to or consent from either Claimant's father or mother, created for each at least one new corporate entity known as a legal fiction "person", which it then falsely attributed to Claimant Alison Reese, the woman, and Michael Reese, the man, so as to use the legal fictions it created thereby to earn profits for agents of the corporate STATE through investments. Agents of the corporate STATE OF OHIO, its subsidiaries, affiliates, branches, and parent corporations then perverted the spelling of Claimants' infant names by capitalizing all the letters and then monetizing the resulting legal fictions for financial gain, all without the prior knowledge or consent of either Claimant or of his or her parents.

6. Parents are not lawfully enabled without their knowledge or consent to indebt, pledge, conscript, or otherwise enter their sons and daughters into any form of bondage, debt, peonage, or enslavement. The parents of neither Claimant would have or did in fact voluntarily and with full prior disclosure of all material facts, relinquish any of their parental rights to either Alison or Michael following full disclosure. Any deemed "consent" to terms and conditions of a contract that are undisclosed is meaningless and evidence of fraud, thereby rendering any resulting contract null and void *ab initio*.

7. Claimants were never lawfully entrusted to the State despite any corporate presumption to the contrary supposedly created by their parents' signatures on birth certificate documents. The parents of neither of Claimants ever had any intention of delivering their child to the custody of the corporate State.

8. Claimants are not legal fiction "citizens", "persons", "residents" or employees of the entity variously known as UNITED STATES, united States of America, United States of America, UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY, its subsidiaries, successor corporations, affiliates, franchisees or contractors, whether or not known by other names and legal fictions.

9. Claimants may not be considered to be chattel property, a transmitting utility, a British or Vatican subject, employee of the STATE OF OHIO corporation or any of the UNITED STATES subsidiary or affiliate corporations. Nor is either an employee of either corporate tribunal above named, or of any other corporate government. Neither Claimant is a *cestui que vie* trust, a vessel, lost or misplaced cargo, a corporate fiction "person", a corporation, a ship, a dead body, a patient, a client or a slave.

10. Each Claimant reserves his or her right to choose when to allow the legal fiction associated with his or her name, to be in contract with a corporation, as has been admitted by agents of other corporate entities doing business as "courts" in writing decisions which Respondents recognize as controlling authority. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe*, 455 U.S. 130, 144-148 (1982).

11. Each Claimant hereby denies having ever consented to be in contract with any of the above named Respondents or their corporate employers or principals, whether or not any of said Respondents acted under any actual or apparent authority of said corporations. As living people and not legal fictions, Claimants would have at all times lacked any power or right to engage in contractual relations with any legal fiction, such as any of the corporations employing any of the above named Respondents.

12. Claimants hereby deny that either ever consented to any subject matter jurisdiction or personal jurisdiction or other authority being exercised over either by virtue of the prior ownership of the private property described at paragraph III(A) hereinabove by metes and bounds description, because not one of the above named Respondents, whether as agents, employees, officers, or contractors for his or her principal, is lawfully authorized to act on behalf of the legitimate non-corporate *de jure* Ohio republic.

Claimants not bound by Corporate Presumptions

13. Claimants hereby affix his or her own autograph upon all the affirmations in this document with explicit reservation of all his or her unalienable rights and his or her specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which he or she has not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion.

14. Each Claimant has already rebutted by separate document, any presumptions which may have been deemed to have been created by the birth certificate and certificate of live birth documents created after his or her respective births.

15. Claimants rarely use any identification number from any government corporation and only do so for informational purposes and under duress because of the extreme inconvenience of operating without such number in today's marketplace. The use by either Claimant of any such number is not out of a desire to participate in the corporate system.

16. Each Claimant uses Federal Reserve Notes to discharge debt only because neither has access to any widely recognized currency. His or her use thereof does not make either a surety for any corporation.

17. Any use Claimants make of any bank account, and any signatures he or she has been coerced to provide to obtain one is due to the absence of reasonable alternatives. Claimants hereby rebut any

presumptions that others may create as a result of any hidden contract created by any signature either Claimant may have provided in connection with securing any such account. Claimants refuse to be obligated to fulfill any hidden or unrevealed contracts whatsoever, due to the absence of full disclosure and voluntary consent.

18. Any tax returns either Claimant may have filed in the past, were filed due to the atmosphere of fear and intimidation created by the tax collector and by other agents of the corporate state; not because there is any law requiring either Claimant to do so.

19. Any use that either Claimant has ever made of a "driver's license" has been under duress. There is no legal requirement for either Claimant to have such a license to travel for purposes of trade, for her own pleasure or that of their family and friends. However, because of the lack of education and well known violence of code enforcement agents known as "police officers", any use that either Claimant has ever made of a license was exclusively for the purpose of avoiding being subjected to harassment or injury.

20. Any document that either Claimant may have ever signed, in which either answered "yes" to the question, "Are you a U.S. citizen?" cannot be used to compromise either Claimant's status, nor obligate either to perform in any manner. Without full written disclosure of the definition and consequences of such supposed "citizenship," provided to Claimants via document bearing each Claimant's autograph given freely without misrepresentation or coercion, there can be no legally binding contract as to either Claimant to act as such "U.S. citizen".

21. Claimants were never previously informed about, nor do they consent to any utilization of their all capital names through the Court Registry Investment System which agents of both corporate tribunals use for financial gain. By creating such securitization of Claimants' names and documents filed into Respondents' tribunals without Claimants' informed consent, Respondents are harming Claimants, the living people, committing common law trespass upon their rights to the free use of their private property, as well as by committing the common law crime and tort of conversion.

22. Since no hidden, unrevealed, and undisclosed information, if it exists, can be lawfully held to be binding, all certificates and registrations, such as marriage or birth certificates, along with any presumptions which may be deemed created thereby, are null, void, and of no effect.

23. The attendance of any of either Claimant's children in corporate government-supported "public" schools or government-controlled "private" schools does not create any legal tax obligation for either Claimant, or any other legal obligation, because neither Claimant ever signed a contract agreeing to such obligation for the coerced so-called "benefit" of public school attendance. Either Claimant's use of such alleged "benefits", if any, has been under duress only, and with full reservation of all of his or her common law rights. Claimants have waived none of his or her intrinsic rights and freedoms by his or her use thereof.

24. If any of either Claimant's children have attended government supported "public" or controlled "private" schools, such was done under duress and not out of free will. Claimants regard "compulsory state education" as a violation of the natural and universal common law of freedom of choice.

25. Claimants agree to the Fee Schedule set forth hereinabove to compensate them for the injury, harm or loss of their family home with which Respondents threaten them.

26. Authorization for sharing of personal and private information may only be given by the originator and subject of that information. That authorization is hereby denied and refused with regard to the Claimants described herein.

27. Claimants hereby affix their autographs to all affirmations in this Notice with explicit reservation and retention of all their unalienable rights and their specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which either has not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion. Any use of a notary public in the past or future is for identification, and such use does not grant any jurisdiction to anyone.

28. Authorization for sharing of personal and private information may only be given by the originator and subject of that information. That authorization is hereby denied and refused with regard to the claimants described herein.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL AND
NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

Alison Reese
Claimant
Michael Reese
Claimant



NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CLAIMANTS' AUTOGRAPHS

We, Michael Reese and Alison Reese, Claimants herein, as the living man and woman, also being husband and wife, having been first duly sworn upon our oaths, do herewith affirm and declare according to our unlimited commercial liability and as we shall answer unto God, affirming with our autographs and right thumb print seals that we are competent and of lawful age to state the matters set forth herein, that the above statements are true, correct, complete, not intended to be misleading, that they constitute admissible evidence, and are in accordance with our best firsthand knowledge, understanding and belief.

Dated this 1 day of June in the Year Two Thousand Twenty-Three.

All Rights Reserved and Retained

Alison Reese
By: Alison Reese, A True Living daughter of



YHWH, a


Woman, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;
Without STATE OF OHIO

M. L. K. R.

By: Michael Reese, A True Living son of YHWH, a
Man, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;
Without STATE OF OHIO

Signed by Michael and Alison Reese in my presence the date and day above stated on the land
mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

Notary Public



MYRON P. WATSON
ATTORNEY AT LAW
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF OHIO
My Comm. Has No
Expiration Date
Section 147.03 R. C.
Recorded in
Cuyahoga County

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF NOTICE DATED 5/31/23

The undersigned Heather Broyles, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:


1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. On June 2, 2023 I personally delivered true and correct copies of the 5/31/23 "NOTICE OF ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, THE ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX, NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR TRESPASS, COMMON LAW EXTORTION, COMMON LAW ASSAULT, COMMON LAW CONVERSION, INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS, TREASON, AND STATUTORY DOMESTIC TERRORISM, CONTRACT OFFER, AND AFFIDAVIT" to the clerk serving as agent for James Costello, Christopher Britton, Sandra Berry, and Michael Chambers at 40 Severance Circle, Cleveland Heights, Ohio.
6. Also on June 2, 2023, I went to the address 23880 Commerce Park Suite A in Beachwood Ohio, 44122 to serve Mohan Jain, I walked into the building. I knocked on the first floor doors. No one answered. I then walked upstairs to the second floor and there was suite 200 and Suite 2. I knocked on the doors and a female answered. I asked whether Mohan Jain was there but she said "No. He's across the hall." That office was for a law firm. A man named Thomas came to the door and said there was no one there by that name nor was he a client of theirs.
7. Also on June 2, 2023 I then served Respondents Jain and his agent of record, Dvorin, by leaving a copy of the 5/31/23 Notice with David Dvorin's assistant on the counter at Dvorin's 3rd floor office at 30195 Chagrin Blvd. Suite 300 Cleveland Ohio. Before leaving it with Dvorin's assistant, she spoke briefly with Dvorin, informing him I had a package for him. Then she relayed to me his message that he wasn't interested in receiving it.
8. Also on June 2, 2023 I handed true and correct copies of the aforementioned May 31, 2023 Notice to agents of UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, for mail delivery to Mohan Jain, Michael Chambers, Sandra Berry, Christopher Britton, James Costello, Nailah Byrd, Lisa Rocco, Harold Pretel, Joan Synenberg, Steven Bucha, Matthew Grabenstein, David Dvorin, and Clementine Cook, as indicated by the attached certificates of mailing .


ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED


Heather Broyles
Outside STATE OF OHIO

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, we the undersigned witnesses observed Heather Broyles place her autograph hereinabove, on this 2nd day of June, 2023 in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.


Witness


Witness



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr + Mrs Michael Reese

3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Mohan Jain

[Cp] 23880 Commerce Park Suite A
Beachwood, Ohio [44122]
United State of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr + Mrs Reese

3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Hts, Ohio
[44118]

To: David Dvorin

[Cp] 30195 Chagrin Boulevard Suite 300
Cleveland, Ohio [44124]
United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Sandra Berry
[Cp] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Christopher Britton
[Cp] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: James Costello
[Cp] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.



Certificate of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Michael Reese

3630 Grosvenor Road

Cleveland Heights, Ohio

[44118]

To: Nailah Byrd

[C/O] 1200 Ontario Street, First Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.



Certificate of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Michael Reese

3630 Grosvenor Road

Cleveland Heights, Ohio

[44118]

To: Joan Synderberg

[C/O] 1200 Ontario Street

First Floor Cleveland, Ohio

[44113] (United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]

To: Mathew Grabenstein
[C/O] 310 West Lakeside Avenue Suite 300
Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.



Certificate Of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Rd.
Cleveland Hts, Ohio [44118]

To: Stephen Bucha
[C/O] 1200 Ontario Street Floor 11 Cleveland, Ohio [44113]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.



Certificate Of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio [44118]

To: Michael Chambers
[C/O] 40 Severance Circle
Cleveland Heights, Ohio [44118]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.



Certificate Of Mailing

**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mrt + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Michael Chambers
[C/O] 2079 East Ninth Street,
Suite 4 Cleveland, Ohio [44115]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS



Certificate Of Mailing

**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mrt + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Harold Pretel
[C/O] 1215 West Third Street
Cleveland Ohio [44113]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS



Certificate Of Mailing

**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Mrt + Mrs Michael Reese
3630 Grosvenor Road
Cleveland Heights Ohio
[44118]

To: Clementine Cook
[C/O] 212 Shields Road
Stockbridge, Georgia [30281]
(United States of America)

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

Postmark Here

CLEVELAND HTS UNIVERSITY HTS
JUN - 2 2023
44118 USPS

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-RESPONSE TO NOTICE DATED 5/31/23

The undersigned Erin Rawlins, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 22659 Alden Avenue, Alliance, Ohio, which is the address designated in Alison and Michael Reese's 5/31/23 "NOTICE OF ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, THE ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX, NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR TRESPASS, COMMON LAW EXTORTION, COMMON LAW ASSAULT, COMMON LAW CONVERSION, INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS, TREASON, AND STATUTORY DOMESTIC TERRORISM, CONTRACT OFFER, AND AFFIDAVIT" for Respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 5/31/23 NOTICE from any of the following: Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers, Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein, Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin, Mohan Jain, James Costello, Sandra Berry, and Clementine Cook.



Erin Rawlins

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 12th day of June, 2023 by Erin Rawlins, whose autograph above we witnessed on this date on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.


Witness


Witness

June 12, 2023

NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

FROM: Alison Reese, nee Adkins, previously known as Workman and Stryczny, *sui juris*, a living woman having been found to be of full legal age, and
Michael Reese, *sui juris*, a living man having been found to be of full legal age;
Claimants.

TO: Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers,
Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein, Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin,
Mohan Jain, James Costello, Sandra Berry, and Clementine Cook;
Respondents.

Corporate Identifiers: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, and 683-23-058

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE
TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B)
CONTINUED ACTS OR THREATS IN PURSUIT OF CLAIMS AGAINST CLAIMANTS
OR THEIR LAND; OR BY (C) ANY ENTRY OR OTHER TRESPASS UPON
CLAIMANTS' LAND OR OTHER PRIVATE PROPERTY.**

I. NOTICE OF FAULT

A. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTICED that you are in fault of an opportunity to reply to the 5/31/23 "NOTICE OF ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, THE ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX, NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR TRESPASS, COMMON LAW EXTORTION, COMMON LAW ASSAULT, COMMON LAW CONVERSION, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS, TREASON, AND STATUTORY DOMESTIC TERRORISM, CONTRACT OFFER, AND AFFIDAVIT" (hereinafter, the "5/31/23 Notice"), as set forth hereinbelow:

B. True and correct copies of the 5/31/23 Notice were delivered to all of the Respondents above named on the dates indicated in the attached Affidavit of Service dated June 2, 2023 .

C. The 6/2/23 Affidavit of Service verifies that the most recent date of service upon the above named Respondent men and women occurred on 6/2/23.

C. The 5/31/23 Notice informed each Respondent the specific manner that he or she would be required to respond, including the ten (10) day deadline for doing so.

D. Not one of the Respondents provided any response in the manner specified in the Notice, or in any manner at all, as may be verified by Affidavit of Non Reply executed by Erin Rawlins on 6/12/23 and available upon request.

E. Respondent men and women above named were each given the opportunity to dispute each of the statements made in the 5/31/23 Notice establishing the absence of subject matter jurisdiction, or any other authority, to eize possession of Claimants' land.

F. Each of the Respondents, by remaining silent, failed to dispute and therefore agreed to each of the provisions set forth in the 5/31/23 Notice.

G. Each Respondent therefore has rejected his or her due process opportunity to respond in the manner required by said Notice and contract contained therein.

H. Each Respondent has by tacit admission and agreement waived his or her right to respond in the manner required by the 5/31/239 Notice with a specific, verified, and sworn reply.

II. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

A. As of the effective date(s) set out in the 5/31/23 Notice, Respondent men and women above named each failed to reply in either a timely manner or as instructed, and are now in fault and dishonor of the contract set out in said 5/31/23 Notice. Each Respondent is therefore subject to any and all of the terms therein.

B. As a result of the instant contract set out in the 5/31/23 Notice, which was hand delivered to each Respondent as verified in the 6/2/23 Affidavit of Service, a DEFAULT JUDGMENT is being sought against said defaulting Respondents.

C. Respondents, having waived the right to answer, by tacit admission and failure to contest as instructed in the Notice, rejected the due process opportunity Claimants offered them.

D. In the event that any Respondent failed to accept the remedy offered in the 5/31/23 Notice by claiming that his or her silence was an oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional, Claimants grant any such Respondent two (2) days from the date of service of the within Notice, to CURE the fault and effect the remedy.

E. In the event that any Respondent claims such oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional ignoring of his or her obligation to respond, Respondent is required to explain by affidavit the factual nature of any such claim.

F. If any respondent fails to cure as specified herein, then, by operation of law, such second failure to respond as required by the 5/31/23 Notice will constitute the FINAL agreement and admission of the liability of Respondents through tacit agreement as further explained in the attached 5/31/23 Notice and contract.

G. Any further actions shall be taken in accordance with the procedures, jurisdictions, and penalties thereof set forth in the 5/31/23 Notice as defaulted.

H. REPLY to this Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure MUST BE RECEIVED by 5 p.m. on the effective date, which is within TWO (2) DAYS from the date of Respondent's receipt of the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, all as previously set forth in the 5/31/23 Notice. All responses must be specific, factual, verified and sworn to by a man or woman with actual knowledge, exactly as previously set out in the 5/31/23 Notice and thus be by U.S. certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:

Erin Rawlins
[c/o] 22659 Alden Avenue
Alliance, Ohio [44601]
(united states of America).

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS
NOTICE TO AGENT**

SILENCE IS ACQUIESCENCE, AGREEMENT AND DISHONOR

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Claimants Alison and Michael Reese, as the living man and woman, also being husband and wife, having been first duly sworn upon our oaths, do herewith affirm and declare according to our unlimited commercial liability and as we shall answer unto God, affirming with our autographs that we are competent and of lawful age to state the matters set forth in the above Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, that the above statements are true, correct, complete, not intended to be misleading, that they constitute admissible evidence, and are in accordance with our best firsthand knowledge, understanding and belief, having each first been duly sworn upon their oaths, autographed the above and foregoing document in our presence at Cuyahoga County, Ohio on this 12th day of June in the Year Two Thousand Twenty-Three.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Alison Reese

By: Alison Reese, A True Living daughter of

YHWH, a

Woman, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;

Without STATE OF OHIO

Michael Reese

By: Michael Reese, A True Living son of YHWH, a
Man, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;

Without STATE OF OHIO

Erin Rawlins
Witness


Maxine Butler
Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF NOTICE DATED 6/12/23

The undersigned Alison Reese, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
2. I am more than 18 years of age.
3. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
4. On June 12, 2023 I personally delivered true and correct copies of the verified 6/12/23 "NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE" at 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio to a man purporting to either be Robert Furda or one of Furda's agents who held the title of "bailiff", said man also acting as agent for Respondents James Costello, Christopher Britton, Sandra Berry, and Michael Chambers. I served him moments before he or one of the six or so armed men with him battered, hand-cuffed, and kidnapped me and my husband.
5. Also on June 12, 2023, I personally delivered true and correct copies of the verified 6/12/23 "NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE" at 3630 Grosvenor Road, Cleveland Heights, Ohio to a man known as "Steve" who had been claiming to be agent for Respondent Jain.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED


Alison Reese, Affiant
Outside STATE OF OHIO

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, we the undersigned witnesses observed Alison Reese place her autograph hereinabove, on this 13th day of June, 2023 on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.


Witness


Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF NOTICE DATED 6/12/23

The undersigned Heather Broyles, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. On June 13, 2023 I personally emailed a true and correct copy of the 6/12/23 Notice to the following Respondents who are agents, officers or contractors of the corporation doing business as CUYAHOGA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS: Nailah Byrd, Lisa Rocco, David Dvorin, Synenberg, Bucha, Grabenstein, at CourtInfo@CuyahogaCounty.us.
6. On June 13, 2023 I emailed a true and correct copy of the 6/12/23 Notice to Respondent Clementine Cook at clementinecook71@gmail.com.
7. On June 13, 2023 I emailed a true and correct copy of the aforementioned June 12, 2023 Notice to Respondent Harold Pretel at shcuy@cuyahogacounty.us.


ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED



Heather Broyles, Affiant
Outside STATE OF OHIO

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, we the undersigned witnesses observed Heather Broyles place her autograph hereinabove, on this 13th day of June, 2023 on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.


Witness
Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-RESPONSE TO NOTICE DATED 6/12/23

The undersigned Erin Rawlins, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 22659 Alden Avenue, Alliance, Ohio, which is the address designated in Alison and Michael Reese's 6/12/23 "NOTICE OF FAULT & OPPORTUNITY TO CURE" for Respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 6/12/23 NOTICE from any of the following: Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers, Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein, Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin, Mohan Jain, James Costello, Sandra Berry, and Clementine Cook.


Erin Rawlins

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 15th day of June, 2023 by Erin Rawlins, whose autograph above we witnessed on this date on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.


Witness


Witness

June 16, 2023

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY, FINAL OPPORTUNITY
TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/31/23 & 6/12/23 NOTICES OF
LIABILITY AND NOTICE OF NEW ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND
THIEVERY**

FROM: Alison Reese, nee Adkins, previously known as Workman and Stryczny, *sui juris*, a living woman having been found to be of full legal age, and
Michael Reese, *sui juris*, a living man having been found to be of full legal age;
Claimants.

TO: Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers,
Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein, Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin,
Mohan Jain, James Costello, Sandra Berry, and Clementine Cook;
Respondents.

Corporate Identifiers: CV21956154, CVG 2300530, 683-23-058, CRB 2300 420

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE
TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; OR BY (B)
CONTINUED ACTS OR THREATS IN PURSUIT OF CLAIMS AGAINST CLAIMANTS
OR THEIR LAND; OR BY (C) ANY ENTRY OR OTHER TRESPASS UPON
CLAIMANTS' LAND OR OTHER PRIVATE PROPERTY.**

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

1. You, as the Respondents named in two prior notices, dated 5/31/2023 and 6/12/2023 respectively, are in DEFAULT of an opportunity to reply to the 5/31/2023 "NOTICE OF ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO TRESPASS ON CLAIMANTS' LAND, THE ABSENCE OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE ABSENCE OF AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE TAX, NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR TRESPASS, COMMON LAW EXTORTION, COMMON LAW ASSAULT, COMMON LAW CONVERSION, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS, TREASON, AND STATUTORY DOMESTIC TERRORISM, CONTRACT OFFER, AND AFFIDAVIT", hereinafter referred to as the "5/31/2023 Notice" or "first Notice" and also in default as to the 6/12/2023 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE", hereinafter referred to as the "6/12/23 Notice" or "Second Notice". The 6/12/2023 Notice was delivered to each of you Respondents on or before 6/13/2023 as indicated in the two Affidavits of Service publically posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases/>.

2. You Respondents continue to be in default as to the 5/31/2023 Notice and are also now in DEFAULT as to the 6/12/2023 Notice, the latter having provided you with an additional opportunity to reply to the original 5/31/2023 Notice, which is also posted at <https://occr2021.com/pending-cases>, along with the two (2) Affidavits of Service of the Second Notice.

3. You, as the original Respondents, having each failed to respond to the 6/12/2023 Notice by the Effective Date of 6/15/2023, which was 2 days from the 6/13/2023 date of last receipt of said 6/12/2023 Second Notice, are therefore in DEFAULT of an opportunity to cure as lawfully offered in said Notice.

4. To clarify the record, you and each of you Respondents have to date been given the opportunity to:

- a. State a claim as stated in the first and second notices above referenced;
- b. Specifically accept the Offer of Immunity offered to you by Claimants at paragraph I(D) of the original 5/31/2023 Notice;
- c. Refute by specific affidavit, point by point, by means of verified facts sworn to by a man or woman affiant with actual knowledge, specifically disputing any or all facts Claimants established showing that no Respondent and no principal of any such Respondent had any authority or subject matter jurisdiction to take any of the actions he or she took individually or on behalf of his or her corporate principal;
- d. Cure the failure to respond to the 5/31/2023 Notice as specified at paragraphs II (E), (F) and (H) of the 6/12/2023 Notice.

5. By remaining silent and by committing new acts of violence and thievery *via* agents or contractors of Respondents, who entered Claimants' private property on 6/12/2023 where they assaulted, battered and kidnapped each of them, original Respondents have agreed with all terms of the said contract, such terms being clearly set forth in the 5/31/2023 Notice and again in the 6/12/2023 Notice.

6. Due to the failure of each original Respondent above named to answer with any specific, verified, and sworn reply to the original 5/31/23 Notice and contract offer, required by both the 5/31/2023 Notice and by the 6/12/2023 Notice, a binding contract may have been created and a subsequent DEFAULT JUDGMENT may be issued against each said Respondent.

7. Each Respondent is further hereby Noticed that:

- a. You have failed to contest the verified statements made in either the 5/31/2023 Notice or the 6/12/2023 Notice, to which you have to date been provided two opportunities to respond, and by your continuing unlawful conduct, may have waived the right to answer or object, thereby rejecting each said Respondent's due process opportunity.
- b. Each of the Respondents has declined to claim his or her silence to date was "an oversight, mistake or otherwise unintentional," as each was given an opportunity to assert per the 6/12/23 Notice.
- c. In the further absence of such verified reply from any Respondent, Claimants do hereby assert their previously stated intention to enforce the within "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT

LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/31/23 & NOTICE OF NEW ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND THIEVERY”, the 6/12/23 Notice, or the 5/31/2023 Notice, which set out the terms of the self-executing contract contained therein and which appear to have already been agreed to by said Respondents.

d. Any or all of the Respondents have agreed and understand that each may incur liability whether or not their actions were justified by corporate bylaws known as “statutes,” and as each was warned in the 5/31/2023 Notice.

8. Claimants have already twice informed Respondents of the fault of each in exercising non-existent authority and jurisdiction over said Claimants, as demonstrated by the acts of Respondent Costello and his agents, including without limitation, one Robert Furda, on 6/12/23. On that date, said Respondents exacerbated the crimes and torts each had already admitted to having previously committed, by committing new acts of trespass on Claimants’ land, consisting of assaults, batteries, and kidnappings of both Claimants. Respondents then wrongfully imprisoned Claimants purportedly for trespassing on their own land and stole furniture and boxes of merchandise and other valuables from Claimants’ home where they have lived since the 1990’s. Respondents and their agents are now required to justify their 6/12/23 criminal acts committed in the admitted absence of any lawful authority to do so, in addition to responding to the first two Notices and according to the previously Noticed instructions. Service of Respondents’ first Notice was effected as to each said Respondent man or woman on or before 6/1/2023. Had Respondents heard Claimants at that time, the matter could have been resolved: “Moreover, if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and if he shall hear thee, thou has gained thy brother.” Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18:15.

9. But said original Respondents appear to have not heard Claimants and so, with their several witnesses, Claimants repeated what they had previously told said Respondents, by means of their 6/12/2023 Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, which Claimants caused to be served on said Respondents on 6/12/2023 and on 6/13/2023. “But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established.” Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18:16.

10. Original Respondents, upon being served with the 6/12/2023 Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, again neglected to hear Claimants or to respond, although each was allowed a reasonable time frame in which to do so, by reason of which Claimants are now, *via* the within Notice of Default and Imminent Liability, again informing Respondents, in the presence of the broader community. “And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church but if he neglected to hear the church, let him be unto thee as an heathen man and a tax collector.” Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 18:17.

11. This lawful process, including the 5/31/2023 Notice, the 6/12/2023 Notice and the within NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 6/12/23 & 5/31/23 NOTICES OF LIABILITY AND NOTICE OF NEW ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND THIEVERY”, with attached affidavits of service and non-reply as to said 6/12/2023 Notice, all jointly constitute the “meeting of the minds” as to all the terms of the original self-executing contract, to which Respondents have all clearly expressed their assent. Respondents are all in default for having failed to reply and are continuing and even escalating their unlawful conduct without ever attempting to refute the verified evidence that

Respondents' admittedly unlawful acts continue to increase the harm, loss and injury to Claimants. Further failure to respond and cure prior defaults shall constitute the FINAL admission and agreement of the parties as stated in the three NOTICES as defaulted.

12. BINDING JUDGMENT: Any Respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the Contract created by Respondents' acceptance of the May 31, 2023 Notice and contract offer and the 6/12/2023 Notice may be entered by any common law court and/or administrative tribunal, at Claimants' election.

13. Any such judgment would be entitled to full faith and credit by any common law court or corporate tribunal at Claimants' election. Claimants may also elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it, through a statutory or common law sheriff, deputy, or other common law or corporate code enforcer who has taken an oath to support the Constitution. Any Respondent who further fails to respond as defined herein agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or against any of the aforementioned common law sheriffs, deputies or other common law or corporate code enforcers who have taken an oath to support the Constitution, which claims may hereafter arise in connection with the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

14. FINAL OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCHARGE OF LIABILITY: Any Respondent will be absolved of all liability as of the date of the receipt of the within Notice of Default upon his or her payment of all outstanding amounts billed on account of joinder fees if any, costs of delivery of Notices, plus all sums billed and then owing pursuant to the Fee Schedule of Sec. V set forth in the 5/31/2023 Notice plus the additional sum of Five Hundred Thousand UNITED STATES dollars to compensate Claimants for the new trespasses committed on 6/12/2023 and continuing to date. A dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

15. All Respondents are further hereby notified that Respondents' third and final refusals to deny the existence of their threats, frauds, and continuing acts of common law trespass, assault, theft, interference with the right to contract, and breach of contract, may be considered additional evidence of Respondents' usurpation of legitimate Constitutional authority and unlawful intent to harm, in the event that such acts become the subject of statutory or common law grand jury inquiry. Respondents are therefore specifically Noticed that such third failure to respond in the manner repeatedly indicated by this and prior Notices may hereafter be considered as evidence of *scienter* or *mens rea*. Claimants also intend to seek additional monetary, injunctive and related relief from a common law court of record.

16. The above Notices are legal and lawful notice. Respondents may deny said Notice ONLY by serving the natural woman, Erin Rawlins, by certified, restricted delivery U.S. Mail, with return receipt, to the address indicated for her in previous Notices, to-wit:

Erin Rawlins
[c/o] 22659 Alden Avenue

Alliance, Ohio [44601]
(united states of America).

By 5 p.m. on or before the passage of Two (2) days after the delivery of this Notice. Thereafter, the liabilities listed above may not be denied or avoided by the original Respondents named in this and prior Notices or their agents, contractors. *Qui non obstat quod obstare potest facere videtur*

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS
NOTICE TO AGENT**

SILENCE IS ACQUIESCENCE, AGREEMENT AND DISHONOR

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Claimants Alison and Michael Reese, as the living man and woman, also being husband and wife, having been first duly sworn upon our oaths, do herewith affirm and declare according to our unlimited commercial liability and as we shall answer unto God, affirming with our autographs that we are competent and of lawful age to state the matters set forth in the above Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure, that the above statements are true, correct, complete, not intended to be misleading, that they constitute admissible evidence, and are in accordance with our best firsthand knowledge, understanding and belief, having each first been duly sworn upon our oaths, autographed the above and foregoing document in our presence at Cuyahoga County, Ohio on this 16th day of June in the Year Two Thousand Twenty-Three.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED

Alison Reese

By: Alison Reese, A True Living daughter of
YHWH, a
Woman, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;
Without STATE OF OHIO

Michael K. Reese

By: Michael Reese, A True Living son of YHWH, a
Man, Steward of Elohim, With All Rights Given By El
Shaddai, in and through Yahushua ha Mashiach;
Without STATE OF OHIO

Martin B. H.
Witness


Joseph Ford
Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF NOTICE DATED 6/16/23

The undersigned Heather Broyles, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. On June 16, 2023 I successfully served the verified 6/16/23 "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY, FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/31/23 & 6/12/23 NOTICES OF LIABILITY AND NOTICE OF NEW ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND THIEVERY" as follows:
 - a. upon to the clerk serving as agent for James Costello, Christopher Britton, Sandra Berry, Michael Chambers, David Dvorin, and Robert Furda and his agents, at mcclerk@clevelandheights.gov;
 - b. upon Lisa Rocco at TREASCOMMENT@cuyahogacounty.us;
 - c. upon Mohan Jain by his agent DavidDvorin as indicated herineabove and also at paruljain@aol.com, paruljain@yahoo.com, paruljain@hotmail.com, paruljain@compuserve.com, paruljain@cs.com, and paruljain@msn.com.
6. Also on June 16, 2023 I emailed a true and correct copy of the 6/16/23 Notice to the following Respondents who are agents, officers or contractors of the corporation doing business as CUYAHOGA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS: Nailah Byrd, Lisa Rocco, David Dvorin, Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, and Matthew Grabenstein, at CourtInfo@CuyahogaCounty.us.
7. Also on June 16, 2023 I emailed a true and correct copy of the 6/16/23 Notice to Respondent Clementine Cook at clementinecook71@gmail.com.
8. Also on June 16, 2023 I emailed a true and correct copy of the aforementioned June 16, 2023 Notice to Respondent Harold Pretel at shcuy@cuyahogacounty.us.


ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND RETAINED




Heather Broyles, Affiant
Outside STATE OF OHIO

WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, we the undersigned witnesses observed Heather Broyles place her autograph hereinabove, on this 21st day of June, 2023 on the land mass known as Cuyahoga County, Ohio.


Witness


Witness

AFFIDAVIT OF NON-RESPONSE TO NOTICE DATED 6/16/23

The undersigned Erin Rawlins, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Alison Reese, Michael Reese, or the entities known as ALISON REESE and MICHAEL REESE.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 22659 Alden Avenue, Alliance, Ohio, which is the address designated in Alison and Michael Reese's 6/16/23 "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY, FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/31/23 & 6/12/23 NOTICES OF LIABILITY AND NOTICE OF NEW ACTS OF VIOLENCE AND THIEVERY" for Respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 6/12/23 NOTICE from any of the following: Joan Synenberg, Stephen Bucha, Christopher Britton, Michael Chambers, Harold Pretel, Matthew Grabenstein, Lisa Rocco, Nailah Byrd, David Dvorin, Mohan Jain, James Costello, Sandra Berry, Robert Furda and Clementine Cook.

Erin Rawlins
Erin Rawlins

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before us, the undersigned witnesses, this 21st day of June, 2023 by Erin Rawlins, whose autograph above we witnessed on this date on the land mass known as Stark County, Ohio.

Dorothy Weir
Witness

Christopher Gaston
Witness