

Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Filed of Record

MAR 19 2022

Michael-David, House of Plaster, *sui juris*
as the living man,
Claimant,

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Case No. 22-CRF-001

-vs-

Michael DeWine, Amy Acton, also
known as Amy Stearns and Amy Beech,
Lance Himes, Bruce Vanderhoff,
Stephanie McCloud, Sheryl Maxfield,
Lydia Mihalik, Sam Randazzo,
Nicholas Akin, Lynn Good,
Kathleen Madden, John Harris,
Ursel McElroy, Lori Criss,
Salvadore Robles, Lance Trenary
John Menard Junior, Brian Belden,
Craig Wood, Rich Wolowski,
Jeannette Troyer, and Eddie Steiner,
Hugh Quill, as living men and women.
Respondents.

JUDGMENT ENTRY

NOW ON this 19 day of March, 2022, this Court, having been duly convened upon having provided all lawful public Notices, is a Constitutional court of record under the laws of the Creator. Those laws long ago entitled man to dominion over all Creation [Genesis 1:26; Holy Bible KJV] but only while operating under His sovereign authority. The formation of this Court is consistent with the 1787 Constitution for the united states of America, the Declaration of Independence, the Articles of Confederation, the Northwest Ordinance, and Magna Carta, among other treatises and founding documents. We have reviewed Claimant Michael Plaster's verified Petition, attached Notices, exhibits, proofs of service and affidavits of non-response, and FIND that the Respondent men and women named above have been provided reasonable notice and an opportunity to rebut all allegations against them in their capacities as living people, not as corporate fictional entities or "persons". We FIND that all said Respondents were lawfully served with Notices of Liability as to which they are now in default. This court further FINDS that such Notices contain on their face all relevant terms of a binding self-executing contract, supported by Affidavit and lawful consideration, and that this Court accepts the parties' authorization to incorporate the terms of said contract into a Judgment binding said Respondents and Claimant Plaster.

We specifically FIND that Claimant granted each Respondent twenty-eight (28) days in which to respond to the first Notice, dated February 19, 2021, as well as additional response times of seven (7) days for the second Notice, dated May 4, 2021, and five (5) days for the third Notice, dated August 12, 2021. Moreover, this Court caused service of

Claimant's February 17, 2022 Petition, with attachments [Exhibit "A"], as well as our Summons, to Respondents above named, on or about February 24, 2022. Said Summons provided each Respondent an additional ten (10) days in which to respond to Claimant's Petition. Each Respondent has failed or refused to submit or file with us any response thereto during said additional ten (10) days we allowed each for response. Nor have any Respondents requested a trial by a jury of their peers. We therefore grant Judgment to Claimant on his defaulted claims and FIND as follows:

This Judgment applies to all agents of any corporation which may be an affiliate, subsidiary, or a parent entity of any the following corporations, without limitation: STATE OF OHIO, SUPREME COURT OF OHIO doing business as OHIO SUPREME COURT, HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, which does business as OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC., DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, OHIO NATIONAL GUARD aka OHIO ARMY NATIONAL GUARD, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF AGING, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES, GOLDEN CORRAL CORPORATION, MENARD, INC. doing business as MENARD'S, O. E. MEYER CO., GORDON FOOD SERVICE, INC., and THE COMMERCIAL & SAVINGS BANK. This Entry also applies to all other, unnamed agents of said entities to the degree that any such agents not specifically named herein, such as private corporate code enforcers falsely referred to as "police" or "sheriff". No man or woman may hereafter commit acts harming Claimant of the type for which we impose liability today upon Respondents. We also reserve and retain jurisdiction over this matter in order to enforce and/or update this Judgment in the event that Respondents or their fellow agents fail to comply with the terms hereof.

Upon a thorough review of the Petition and the verified, unrebutted statements contained in its attached Notices, marked as Exhibit A, we hereby FIND that all statements of fact contained therein are true and correct. We hereby adopt the usages of the 1828 Webster's Dictionary and all other definitions contained in the February 19, 2021 Notice and Contract, agreed to by Respondents, and therefore FIND as follows:

1. The term "covid/5G operation" as used herein includes without limitation, any act committed by any Respondent, either directly or indirectly and which is based either on (a) the alleged threat posed by the so-called virus known variously as covid 19, Coronavirus, SARS "COVID", covid, COVID-19, severe acute respiratory syndrome Corona virus 2, SARS-CoV-2, or any alleged "variant", "new strain" or mutation of same; or (b) the furtherance of the non-consensual rollout of 5G and other wireless or so-called "smart" technologies which expose the human body to electromagnetic radiation [EMR] and frequencies [EMF] outside of the natural range of solar radiation.
2. The term "trespassing technology" refers to all nonconsensual imposition of smart meters, 5G technology, directed energy devices and/or weapons, and/or other features of what is often referred to as the "smart grid".
3. We define and adopt the term "cabal Respondents" to refer to Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akin, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss as a group, along with their agents and principals. We also adopt the term "retailer Respondents" to refer to Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, Steiner, along with their agents and principals.

4. The cabal Respondents publicly initiated their covid/5G operation in March, 2020 by publicly making false and fraudulent misrepresentations of the existence of a "pandemic", by falsely using the term "Executive Order" to imply that it was "law", and by embarking on a program of domestic terrorism and intimidation by assault, battery, extortion, destruction of the right to contract, and by other massive interferences with Ohioans' rights to live their lives without Respondents' infringements on their freedoms. The "retailer Respondents" cooperated with the covid/5G operation by breaching their contracts with Claimant and by aiding and abetting the actions of the cabal Respondents in the infliction of further harm to Claimant Plaster and others.

5. The retail Respondents also each ignored the ostensible terms of the covid/5G operation limiting its application to those having medical reasons that contra-indicate mask wearing. Specifically Claimant is such a man but retail Respondents all refused to acknowledge any such "medical exemption" provision. In any event, Claimant was under no obligation to sacrifice his rights to medical privacy to obtain such "exemption" from the harm the retail Respondents' proposed contract breaches would and did cause him.

6. From the beginning of the covid/5G operation Respondent DeWine appointed Respondents Akin, Good and Randazzo to implement the trespassing technology part of the Respondents' criminal operation. Respondents Akin, Good, and Randazzo thereupon implemented and continue to implement programs of 5G and smart meter trespassing technology throughout Ohio in reckless disregard of the harm to human health they each admitted that such technology causes or exacerbates. Cabal Respondents have admittedly been attributing such 5G connected illness to the "virus". This would be the same alleged "virus" all Respondents have admitted cannot be isolated or proven to exist at all.

KL
DM
LC 7. Retail Respondents and their fellow agents and principals specifically caused harm to Claimant by (i) breaching the pre-existing contract each had with Claimant, (ii) causing Claimant public humiliation by intentionally inflicting mental distress upon him, (iii) interfering with Claimant's other contracts, and by (iv) threatening his rights to medical privacy.

8. All Respondents have, by their silence and continued active pursuit of the covid/5G operation for a time even after having been served with Notice, consented to and accepted all terms of the Contract offer created by Claimant's Notices, as the Notices informed them that such conduct would constitute. Claimant Michael Plaster's un rebutted affidavit attached to the original February 19, 2021 Notice therefore stands as truth not only in the commercial world of the Uniform Commercial Code and the common law of contract, but also according to the law of God. 1 Peter 1:25; Hebrews 6:13-15, Holy Bible KJV.

9. Instead of making any attempt to mitigate the harm they have been causing, cabal Respondents continued for a time to interfere with Claimant's ordinary daily contracts by means of assaultive threats, the aiding and abetting of common law assaults and batteries against members of the public and assaults against Claimant when he attempted to exercise his right to be in contract with any retailer, including without limitation, retailer Respondents and their agents.

10. The cabal Respondents and all of their agents and those acting in concert with them admittedly assumed non-existent authority to use the ongoing threat of force to restrain Claimant Plaster's liberty, freedom of movement, right to contract, and right to travel by illegally and unlawfully interfering with his rights to contract with those providing public accommodations. The actions constituting contractual interference perpetrated by the cabal Respondents DeWine, Acton/Stearns/Beech, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akin, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss were aided and abetted by the acts of retailer Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Belden, Wood, Wolowski, Troyer, Steiner and their agents in breaching their pre-existing contracts with Claimant. All Respondents' admissions against interest and defaults confirm their acquiescence to each and every term of the contract created by the offer contained in each of Claimant's Notices. The terms of said Contract created by the February 19, 2001 Notice are incorporated in full therein.

11. All Respondents created private and public nuisances according to definitions contained in the Black's Law Dictionary (4th Ed.) since their interferences with and breaches of pre-existing contracts with Claimant harm, annoy and physically disturb living people such as Claimant in the peaceful enjoyment of his and their private property rights to exercise their God-given right to freedom of contract and public accommodation without suffering the consequent ostracism and hostility which all Respondents fostered among the people of Ohio.

2/11
D
LC 12. Even while Respondents have all acquiesced three (3) times to having no authority to commit any of the acts they were committing against Claimant, they continued for a time to promote an atmosphere of terror, complete with widespread and ever-present threats against Claimant and others, of batteries and kidnapping (via "arrest" or otherwise), resulting in the terrorizing of those who will not submit to toxic masking, to painful, brain damaging testing procedures or, more recently, to toxic injections. All operations pertaining to Respondent DeWine's alleged "covid/5G operation are hereby declared to be unlawful, contrary to the oath taking cabal Respondents' duties to protect the rights of freedom of contract, travel, and privacy contained in the Bill of Rights. Such operations are therefore based on fraud, utterly null, of no effect, and void *ab initio*.

13. The parties' Contract resolving all claims as set forth in Claimant's 2/19/21 Notice contained in Exhibit A attached to his Petition is reasonable, lawful, and consented to by all Respondents. This Judgment therefore incorporates all terms of said Contract fully herein and FINDS that the Judgment we enter today shall hereinafter be entitled to comity or full faith and credit by any entity referring to itself as a court or tribunal.

14. Respondents have waived any and all claims they may have now or may in the future have against Claimant or any man or woman, including any Common Law court member, which may arise in connection with the enforcement of this Judgment. Respondents have agreed and should be Ordered to hold all men, women, corporate persons and their agents and principals harmless as to any claimed liability for any and all acts which may be performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or Judgment arising therefrom.

15. We further FIND by a preponderance of the evidence and beyond any reasonable doubt that cabal Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, Harris have each, notwithstanding the purported "oaths" each may have taken, continued

to commit (a) assaults and batteries causing physical harm to the people of Ohio whose interests they ostensibly took oaths to uphold; (b) widespread interferences with the people's Constitutionally protected right to contract; (c) widespread interferences with the people's Constitutionally protected right to travel, (d) widespread interferences with the people's Constitutionally protected right to bodily autonomy, (e) multiple acts of "domestic terrorism" consisting of intimidation, coercion, and mass destruction within the meaning of Title 18 Section 2331(5) of the UNITED STATES Criminal Code, and (f) multiple acts which continue to expose Ohioans to electromagnetic radiation and other attacks harming the people, the wild and domestic livestock, and the pollinating insects, all of which harm the people and their agriculture/food supply. [2/19/21 Not. III(D)(16).

16. The cabal Respondents have all agreed that their covid and 5G attacks against the people of Ohio originate from outside the Ohio republic, and constitute acts of warfare, as well as common law trespass, against the people of Ohio [2/19/21 Not. III(D)(16)]. Respondents are hereby informed that such trespasses may not only be considered acts of war against the people they also constitute grounds for Grand Jury presentments for treason and various common law crimes.

2H
LC 17. Each of said cabal Respondents named hereinabove is therefore FOUND AND DECLARED TO BE a traitor to the people of Ohio, for which we impose liability. Because acts of treason may also be punishable by death, and because we have not taken on the duties of a people's common law grand jury, we hereby refer the issuance of a formal accusatory instrument, the grand jury presentment, to an Ohio, duly constituted common law grand jury.

18. We further FIND that the cabal Respondents have all admitted to evidence tending to establish that each has committed acts resulting in the unlawful killing and maiming of human beings with malice aforethought, either express or implied. We therefore FIND that any such common law grand jury would also be free to investigate said cabal Respondents for any specific acts of common law or aggravated murder as defined by Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 2903.01(B), battery, assault, terrorism committed during the implementation of the covid/5G operation, and treason. Because the cabal Respondents have persisted in implementing the covid/5G operation even after having admitted to their crimes and torts, new acts and new evidence of harm, including deaths, are occurring on a daily basis. Said grand jury members are reminded that, as free people they make the law, not the cabal members designated as "judges", "legislators", or BAR members. To take on such task with honor, we urge said grand jury members to make specific findings as to aggravating and mitigating factors and to include same in their grand jury presentment. One aggravating factor that is not listed in the cabal's statute but which the grand jury should consider when investigating evidence of the cabal Respondents' acts of apparent murder, is the degree to which cabal Respondents and their agents were motivated in their criminal acts by greed. We therefore draw attention here to the fact that the cabal Respondents have admittedly perpetrated their criminal acts by means of payments to, investments in, and financial incentives to Ohio's "healthcare" providers and/or to the agents of pharmaceutical corporations profiting from the covid/5G operation.

19. In assessing the future liabilities or culpabilities of those who have aided and abetted the cabal Respondents, thereby resulting in deaths and maiming, we draw attention to the frequently used doctrine of natural and probable consequences, as described in *Roy v. United States* 652 A.2d 1098, 1105 (Ct. App. D.C. 1995). Art. 1 Sec. 8 of the 1791 UNITED STATES Constitution, which, when read in

conjunction with the 9th and 10th Amendments, continues to prohibit agents of the federal corporation from funding healthcare or subsidizing electric service. That Constitution binds all cabal Respondents herein and their agents, including without limitation any man or woman who may hereafter be investigated for aiding and abetting in the crimes and offenses being committed as part of the covid/5G operation.

20. Respondents neither have nor claim "immunity" for the harm they have caused as living men and women who had other choices besides harming their fellow Ohioans. This means that the Nuremberg defenses of "just following orders" are as futile now as then.

21. We make no finding at this time as to any participation by Respondents Hugh Quill, the living man, or any other agent or former agent currently serving as his successor in office of the corporate entity known as or formerly known as STATE OF OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES.

22. We retain jurisdiction to make appropriate findings pertaining to enforcement proceedings which Claimant may undertake or to resolve issues as to other agents of the corporations acting as *de facto* branches of STATE OF OHIO, its affiliates, subsidiaries, parent corporations, and/or alter egos. Any future findings as to respondent Quill, his successor or as to other *de facto* agents yet unnamed will be based on his or her conduct in regard to assistance in the proper enforcement of these Orders.

2/4
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

LC
1. All Respondents are hereby ENJOINED from directly or indirectly engaging in further communications, publications, or other acts continuing the public nuisance they have created to date in connection with the covid/5G operation. They are further ENJOINED from hereafter threatening or implementing the use of violence, including "arrests", kidnappings, batteries, extortion demands, or other interferences with Claimant's freedom of movement, as sanctions for refusal to submit to such covid/5G-connected schemes as masking, vaccination, use of Trespassing Technology or any other act or demand, under whatever guise, which may interfere in any way directly or indirectly with Claimant's God given rights to medical privacy, right to contract, including public accommodation contracts, right to bodily autonomy, and his rights to freely travel.

2. All Respondents are hereby Ordered to ABATE the public and private nuisances they created by (a) using false information to commit acts of terrorism including the inducement of panic in members of the public; (b) interfering with contract rights by threatening the contracting parties with the extortion of fines and fees, (c) causing contract breaches for refusal to submit to the batteries of masking and "gene therapy aka vaccination", (d) forcing Trespassing technology; (e) stealing valuable contractual benefits to which Claimant and all Ohioans are entitled, thereby creating economic hardship upon Claimant and the people as a whole where no such disruption had previously existed and (f) creating a class of people such as Claimant who cannot wear a mask and who are thereby prevented from full use of their private conveyances and their Constitutionally protected right to contract and to travel unimpeded on the roadway even when they cause no harm to others. All Respondents are ORDERED to and shall forthwith CEASE and DESIST any of the above enumerated acts.

3. Upon Notice to Respondents and to this Court of refusals to comply with this Order of Abatement or any other portion of this Judgment, any Respondent or other code enforcer found participating in said nuisance scheme shall be required to post a bond of Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars with this Court, secured by a lien against his or her private property and lands.

4. All Respondents who are BAR members are hereby permanently ENJOINED from serving in positions of public trust. This means that notwithstanding any contract of employment any such Respondent may have with the corporate STATE OF OHIO, Respondent DeWine, as well as the other BAR members among the cabal Respondents, must and he or she SHALL immediately cease all activity in which he or she may be deemed to be acting in positions of "public trust". Because Respondent DeWine is not the lawful governor of the people of the Ohio republic, he shall provide a full financial accounting of all funds belonging to the public over which he exercised any authority, as trustee or otherwise, during his time as "governor". He shall provide such accounting on or before July 1, 2022. All remaining BAR member cabal Respondents shall likewise provide, on or before July 1, 2022, a full financial accounting of all funds over which he or she exercised any authority while unlawfully acting in any position of "public trust".

KH
LC 5. All Respondents are hereby permanently ENJOINED either directly or indirectly through their agents, principals, and/or contractors from further communications, publications, or other acts under the auspices of public health measures or otherwise threatening the use of violence, including without limitation "arrests", extortionate demands for payment, or further interferences with existing contracts Claimant has had with retailer Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Belden, Wood, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner.

6. Judgment is hereby awarded to Claimant in the sum of \$500,000.00 (Five Hundred Thousand United States Dollars) each against Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris, for their multiple acts of treason against Claimant while being or portraying themselves as being oath takers, as well as for the assaults, batteries, and ongoing acts of contractual interference and related trespasses committed and continuing to be committed by said so-called oath-taker Respondents.

7. Judgment is further awarded to Claimant in the sum of \$1,000.00 (One Thousand United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 through date of this Judgment Entry for any trespassing technology installed within a quarter mile of the Claimant's private dwellings and or workplaces, against cabal Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, Harris, Akin and Good. Each such Respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 (One Thousand United States Dollars), said sum to be due and owing by each said Respondent, jointly and severally, effective on the file-stamped date of this Judgment Entry.

8. Judgment is further hereby awarded to Claimant in the sum of \$1,000.00 (One Thousand United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 through date of the within Judgment Entry for all acts committed in furtherance of the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation including without limitation, acts of contractual interference, against Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud,

McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris. Each aforementioned Respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars.

9. Judgment is also awarded to Claimant in the further sum of \$500.00 (Five Hundred United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 against each of the retailer Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner for continuing acts of breach of contract being committed by them either directly or *via* his or her agent or principal. Per the parties' agreement, retailer Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, and Wolowski may discharge part of said Judgment at Claimant's election by means of in-store credits.

10. All monetary provisions of this Judgment are based on the definition of a dollar as a measure of weight according to the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900, which defines a dollar as being 24.8 grains of gold, or 371.25 grains of silver. The use of debt-based currency will not be acceptable.

11. This Judgment Entry also constitutes a judgment lien upon the private property of each originally named Respondent, wherever any such property may be situated. Respondents having each clearly consented on three (3) occasions to refrain from interference with any efforts to enforce this Judgment, each said Respondent, their agents, assignees, and contractors are ALL hereby PROHIBITED from interfering in any manner with the enforcement of the monetary, abatement, or other features of this Judgment.

12. Claimant remains free to seek any and all other or additional lawful, equitable, statute-based, and/or commercial remedies as he may choose including without limitation, enforcement by a common law sheriff or corporate *de facto* "sheriff".

13. Respondents are hereby PROHIBITED from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from members of the public, their customers or from funds being held in trust for the public.

13. Upon any Respondent's providing of verified proof that (i) all trespassing technology equipment has been removed from the quarter mile radius of Claimant's home and that (ii) Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris have each publicly announced the termination of the covid/5G operation as defined in Exhibit A of the Petition filed herein and hereinabove, accompanied by the actual termination of all features of said operation, this Court will, upon the request of any Respondent, upon Notice to this Court, entertain the possibility of partial absolution of such Respondent's monetary liability incurred herein.

14. We hereby retain jurisdiction for the purposes of facilitating the enforcement or interpretation of this Judgment, as well as in order to update its terms in the event that Respondents create new liabilities by continuing to harm Claimant as set forth in the parties' February 19, 2021 Contract.

RESPONDENTS SHALL GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDINGLY.


Justice Luciana Constantino


Justice Katherine Hine


Justice Debra McCabe



Ohio Circuit Court of Record
seated at Chillicothe

Michael-David, House of Plaster, *sui juris*)
as the living man,
Claimant,

) Case No. 22-CRF-001

-vs-

Michael DeWine, *et al.*)
Respondents.

OPINION

This Court now provides an additional discussion of the record before us and the more prominent bases for the Judgment we have entered. We in common law do not recognize corporate "caselaw", statutes, codes, "orders" or "mandates" as anything other than corporate bylaws that apply solely to those agents of the unlawful *de facto* system or to those who *freely* consent to them. They do not apply to living people. It is no coincidence that the terms man and woman are not used in the corporate legal world. Respondents themselves do not dispute that common law is a form of jurisdiction that is superior to that claimed by corporate statutory proceedings of any type.[2/19/21 Notice, Sec. II(C)(1)] Since much of what passes for the *de facto* STATE corporations' "caselaw", statutes, and codes do apply to STATE actors and do occasionally contain relevant admissions against interest, we who govern ourselves consistently with the 1787 Constitution and according to common law and the law of God remain free to use such admissions as we choose. Such cabal admissions are among the sources we use in support of the Judgment we reach here today. We are not limited to such sources.

2H
LC The crux of the matter we have decided today is assessing the financial impact of Respondents' common law crimes and torts and statutory offenses, committed under the guise of "public health". Although neither Respondent DeWine nor any of his accomplice fellow agents of the corporate STATE OF OHIO and affiliated corporations has or ever did have authority to make law, Respondent DeWine entered what he calls an "Executive Order" early in 2020, following many months if not years of planning. As Respondents have all admitted, neither they nor any other agents of the corporate STATE are the sovereigns of the Ohio republic. The *people* are. And this is the case even though the people appear to have been induced by fraud to have forgotten this truth, their own history, and their own longstanding sovereignty. There is no lawful justification for Respondents' acts perpetrated against Claimant and the other men and women who live on the land mass known as Ohio, the republic. Respondents offer us no such justification. As living men and women, Respondents are obliged to follow the basic tenets of every free society and in particular, the ultimate sovereignty of the Creator, for whom we are mere stewards:

"There is one lawgiver, who is able to save and to destroy. Who art thou that judgest another?"
James 4:12 Holy Bible, KJV.

Yet Respondents seem to be operating under the delusion that government was instituted of, by and for the corporations they work for and are not of, by and for the people. When Respondents' delusions of authority are stripped away, we can only conclude that the true nature of the acts Respondents admit to, is more akin to a joint venture to commit crimes and torts for financial gain, and therefore a public

nuisance. We operate to resolve and in this case, to finalize Claimant's claims against all cabal Respondents as well as those breach of contract claims against the retail Respondents, all of whom have been acting as Respondent DeWine's deputies and agents.

Unless we specify otherwise, we do not deal with the roles Respondents as living people may also assume as legal fictions, strawmen, politicians, business owners, attorneys or corporate agents. Nor do we recognize any presumptions in which Respondents, their agents and principals engage unless we indicate otherwise. All parties before us are living people living on the land mass known as Ohio, the original republic. Respondents' legal fiction corporate employers are not before us, nor could they be. Neither STATE OF OHIO aka OHIO, STATE OF nor related legal fiction entities such as SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO, AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC., DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, OHIO ARMY NATIONAL OHIO DEPARTMENT OF AGING, and OHIO DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES nor the corporate businesses employing Respondents Trenary, Menard, Belden, Wood, Wolowski, or Troyer have independent reality. They are mental constructs. These corporations do not constitute a land mass (or a republic). The original Ohio republic is a land mass and is indeed a nation. Common law recognizes only living people such as Claimant and Respondents, notwithstanding the ruse in which Respondents are attributing their own crimes and torts to the legal fictions which their predecessors and superiors created.

2H
I. RESPONDENTS' LIABILITIES TO THOSE WHO REFUSE TO CONSENT TO THEIR EXERCISE OF NON-EXISTENT AUTHORITY

LC (A) *The cabal Respondents never had any lawful or Constitutional basis to infringe on Claimant's God-given and Constitutionally protected freedoms*

The idea of a republic, which Ohio was created and included in the Union to be, is that people are free and equal so long as they do no harm to others. Respondents have all admitted that Claimant engaged in no activity that ever caused or threatened harm, loss or injury to any living being. But Respondents admittedly have caused Claimant harm. Since we the people are admitted to all be equal in right one to another, and not slaves or in servitude unless we volunteer to be, then is Claimant Plaster equally entitled to force an unwanted medical device over Michael DeWine's face? Would Claimant Plaster, since he is individually sovereign, also be entitled to refer to such medical advice as a "mandate" or "executive Order" and to back up the self-described medical "mandate" with physical violence against all who will not obey him? Would Michael Plaster be equally entitled to use the threat of violence, as the cabal Respondents do, to shut down any business that deals with Michael DeWine? Would such practices not constitute slavery? Yet, this is exactly what Respondent DeWine and the other Respondents have been doing with impunity. There is no question scientifically that mask wearing does absolutely nothing to stop the transmission of micro-organisms or to promote health, as Respondents have all admitted, but masking does do quite a bit to destroy health. The masking charade is also known historically to be a shaming ritual commonly used against outspoken women and slaves.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scold%27s_bridle Forced masking is likewise associated with some fairly perverted social, political and psychiatric agendas. <https://aeon.co/essays/how-masks-explain-the->

psychology-behind-online-harassment but still does nothing for physical health, as Respondents admitted.

In response to receiving Claimant's 3 liability Notices, each cabal Respondent admitted, through his or her silence and continued terroristic conduct, that none had any legal or lawful justification for any of their crimes and torts. Pretexts for Respondents' acts of terrorism were admittedly based not on fact but on (1) false scientific premises, (2) invalid and harmful testing procedures, (3) harmful and ineffective "prevention" strategies such as masking, curfews, social distancing, contact tracing, and vaccination, (4) rigged statistics, (5) result-oriented staging of covid-related reports, and (6) Respondents' major conflicts of interest.

Cabal Respondents' threat-backed actions in imposing new terms into the Claimant's contracts with the retailer Respondents not only constituted a calculated interference with the people's right to contract, such actions unilaterally threatened violence and financial ruin to both Claimant and any hypothetical retailer with enough integrity to refuse to impose the battery of mask wearing on their old customers instead of honoring pre-existing contracts with them. The retail Respondents in this case chose to dishonor their contracts. The retailers certainly have every right to volunteer for servitude, as permitted by the Constitution's 13th Amendment, but only so long as they do not breach their contracts with Claimant or otherwise cause him harm in so doing. Their refusal to honor their pre-existing contracts with Claimant carry the usual consequences for breach of contract. Claimant is not limited to breach of contract damages, however, since it was the retailer Respondents who admittedly went beyond refusing to honor their contracts with Claimant by also intentionally inflicting mental distress and assaults upon him every time Claimant attempted to make deliveries to them or to otherwise patronize their businesses.

LC The cabal Respondents are liable not only for interference with Claimant's established contract rights with the retailer Respondents. All Respondents are liable for trespassing on Claimant's right to travel due to their acts severely interfering with public accommodation contracts. Respondents inflicted this harm on Claimant and other Ohioans even though those in their corporate system who speak of the God-given right to travel at all have admitted it is a common right. E.g. *Kent v. Dulles*, 357 U.S. 116, 125 (1958)(tracing the right to travel as an inherent element of liberty per Magna Carta) Respondents' continuing contractual interferences and breaches also implicate Claimant's right to medical freedom, medical privacy, and his right to bodily autonomy contrary to the principles of their own corporate caselaw. E.g. *Steele v. Hamilton Cty. Cnty. Mental Health Bd.*, 90 Ohio St.3d 176 (2000).

(B) *Respondents' actions are outside of their own corporate authority*

Initially we acknowledge that Articles I Sec. 9 Cl.7 and 13 of the original 1787 Constitution for the united states of America continues to prohibit BAR member Respondents such as Respondent DeWine from serving in positions of public trust. Respondents have conceded that Respondent BAR members DeWine, Randazo and possible others, receive emoluments such as titles of "esquire" directly or indirectly from a foreign power, namely the corporate AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, a subsidiary of EUROPEAN INTERNATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION, which issue directives to the men and women who are BAR members. Respondents' admitted violations of the Foreign Agents Registration Act establish the *ultra vires* nature of their actions even within their corporate realm. Hence, the actions

of Respondent DeWine, including holding office and including the appointment of the cabal Respondents, are all void *ab initio*.

When Respondent DeWine issued EO 2020-01D on 3/9/2020 and EO 2020-33D on 8/8/2020 while the House side of the Ohio General Assembly was in session on both occasions, he ignored Art. I Sec. 18 and Art. III Sec. 8 of the 1851 Ohio Constitution by suspending the statutes and Constitutional provisions pertaining to contract, assault, battery and corporate bylaw Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 2317.54 by refusing to first defer to the "legislature". His actions were clearly in derogation of even whatever corporate authority he may have claimed, and were therefore *ultra vires*.

The cabal Respondents have been conducting an agenda of domestic terrorism, assaults and apparent murder based on claims of "emergency", all of which they now admit.

The cabal Respondents have all acted in derogation of yet another of the *de facto* corporations' bylaws, i.e. Title 18, Section 2331(5) of the UNITED STATES Criminal Code. Said Respondents covid/5G operation includes the prohibited acts of intimidation, coercion and mass destruction described in that code section. And Respondents have committed such acts for reasons that the cabal Respondents have lied about on numerous occasions, as Respondent Acton did on June 11, 2020 when she publicly and falsely stated that the covid aspect of Respondents' covid/5G operation was based on "law" from the 1800's, or "very old law" There is in fact no law, as Respondents admitted, either from the 1800's or from any other time period to suggest that the 1787 U.S. Constitution may be suspended when a man or woman occupying an office in corporate "government" uses Executive Orders to claim there is a state of emergency. Neither the 1787 U.S. Constitution nor the subsequent 1791 version provides for its repeal. No, not even in the event of an "emergency", nor even during "martial law" or "martial rule". The 1787 U.S. Constitution, as well as the common law to which it refers, have not been suspended but have continued in full force and effect during the entire period in which the cabal Respondents have been promoting the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation. Were any such law to exist, it would infringe on the natural, God given right of every man and woman, who has caused harm to no one, to be free of harm caused by the intentional act of another man or woman posing as a "public servant". Any such "law" would violate all Constitutional prohibitions upon those acting as *de facto* government agents from infringing on the people's right to a republican form of government.

We are well aware that other agents of the cabal of corporations that employ the cabal Respondents have enacted corporate bylaws that purport to excuse their agents' experimentation on the people of the several states. E.g. Title 50 Ch. 32 Sec. 1520. None of those or similar enactments are lawful. None of the agents of the corporate "Congress" had any authority or informed consent to enact or apply such bylaws to living people. Indeed the lawfulness of any act that runs contrary to the laws of Nature and Nature's God is non-existent. The members of the corporate entity masquerading as a Constitutional Congress, have zero authority to enact law. Their enactments are not law. They are evidence of an attempt to get away with criminal activity.

We are not unmindful that, over the years, agents of the cabal's *de facto* tribunals have created fictitious concepts and presumptions to chip away at these and others of the people's God-given rights. Such concepts include without limitation such self-serving notions as the so-called "police power" and "necessity", ideas they created themselves out of thin air and which curiously seem to not constitute a

defense available to the people (e.g. *State v. Green*, 470 S.W.2d 565 (Mo. 1971)) We the people of this Court are not bound by these or other self-serving artifices by whatever name.

As all Respondents have repeatedly conceded, there is no corporate legal fiction, such as STATE OF OHIO and/or the others with which Respondents are associated, that may claim facts or even corporate theories to justify Respondent DeWine's original assaultive act of unilaterally inventing rules that would interfere with a vast array of public and private contracts. Despite the absence of any such facts, Respondents continued with more assaultive threats throughout the Notice process, even after becoming in default.

(C) Cabal Respondent oath takers not only have serious conflicts of interest, such conflicts are admittedly in the nature of treason

It is a matter of record that the corporations operating as STATE OF OHIO and Respondents' other corporate principals are themselves invested in the very pharmaceutical corporations that have been profiteering from the covid aspect of Respondent DeWine's domestic terrorism operation. Respondent DeWine even admitted to being personally invested in the pharmaceutical companies currently profiteering from the fake crisis DeWine and the other cabal Respondents generated. [2/19/21 Not. Sec. IV(C)(6)(a)] A common law grand jury should also investigate the further evidence that has emerged since Respondents' defaults indicating that the agents of the pharmaceutical companies in which Respondent and STATE OF OHIO are invested are also causing deaths of Ohioans, including boys and girls. Clearly the profit motive has done nothing to deter any of the Respondents in the slightest from continuing to conduct their criminal operations and batteries contrary to the expressed will of Claimant and the other people for whom the Ohio republic was created. The public is entitled to know that the cabal Respondents are acting for private interests, foreign interests, and, as corporate agents, are necessarily guided only by the profit motive.

LC II. Subject Matter Jurisdiction Lies in the Ohio Circuit Court of Record [OCCR]

A. Common law jurisdiction is superior to any other than that of the creator

The claims submitted in the Petition in this case are primarily based on common law and invoke a broader form of jurisdiction that is superior to the administrative or statutory functions of tribunals such as the ones Respondents' principals operate. That superiority has long been acknowledged as far back in Anglo-American law as in Sir Edward Coke's Opinion in *Dr. Bonham's Case*, 8 Co. Rep. 114 (Ct/ Common Pleas, 1610) ("when an act of Parliament is against common right or reason, or repugnant, or impossible to be performed, the common law will control it and adjudge such act to be void.") Common law concerns itself with vindicating the basic premise of freedom for all living men and women so long as they harm no one else and fulfill their promises. Common law does not recognize legal fictions, presumptions, corporate codes, or statutes except as applied to those who consent to be ruled by such means. Claimant is a man who does not so consent.

When the original organic 1787 Constitution for the united States of America referred to "law" it referred to common law, not to corporate bylaws. Under common law, and as acknowledged even in the corporate system, for every right there must be a remedy. Claimant claims rights under the Creator as a

free man living on the land of Ohio, the original republic. He does not claim corporate "benefits". Respondents are also living flesh and blood people either living on the land of Ohio or committing trespasses on the land of Ohio, the original republic. As a living soul, Claimant claims his rights to a court of record, which according to admissions contained in Black's Law Dictionary (4th), is one that administers common law, not corporate bylaws called statutes, codes, regulations, and ordinances. The corporate tribunals calling themselves "courts" have no authority to subject any living soul to their private enactments applicable only to legal fiction "persons". The Ohio Circuit Court of Record meets the Black's law dictionary definition of "court of record" because it is a court comprised of individually sovereign men and women. It applies common law and equity only to living people and acknowledges the people's right to live without being subjected to harm so long as they harm no one else and so long as they do not knowingly and after full disclosure, subject themselves by contract in some other forum.

The people's only recourse, then, if they want to remain free to govern their republic, is to restore and reform their own courts of record. People who disagree always remain free to consent to stand in for the legal fiction name and be subjected to a corporate administrator. Voluntary servitude remains an option. "Consent" created by threat is not consent. Respondent DeWine's extortionate *proclamations* he used and continues to use to physically force and coerce Claimant and Ohioans generally into some type of "consent" to the battery of masking were all created to generate fear - the fear of job loss, the fear of the bio-weapon "virus" that has never been isolated and the fear of corporate STATE-created violence.

It is a fundamental principle since the founding of the confederation of state republics that only the people are endowed by the Creator with certain unalienable rights. *De facto* corporate entities are not. E.g. *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363, 369 (1943). Each of the 50 states, upon joining the Union were required to guarantee to the people who created them, a republican form of government; i.e. one in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people. Even when the people delegated some of those rights, they remain free always to take them back, as described in the 1776 Declaration of Independence:

"But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariable the same object, evidences a design to reduce Them under absolute despotism, it is Their Right, it is Their Duty, to throw off such Government and to provide new guards for Their future Security."

Congressional enactments concede that the Declaration is one of "The organic Laws of the United States of America." [1 US Code xxxv-xxxvii (1982 ed.)] On July 13, 1787 Congress enacted "An Ordinance for the Government of the Territory of the United States North-West of the River Ohio". At Section 4 of said Ordinance, the "inhabitants" of the Ohio territory were conceded to have the following God given rights, among others:

"... a court to consist of three judges, any two of whom to form a court, who shall have a common law jurisdiction, .".

The NorthWest Ordinance further guarantees that

"The inhabitants of the said territory shall always be entitled to the benefits
* * * of judicial proceedings according to the course of the common law."

Respondents do not dispute that their employer or employers are for-profit corporations and cannot function in more than administrative capacities. Even the corporation known as UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT is limited in its powers by the Constitution. The people are clearly under no such constraint. The people yield their sovereignty not to those who serve them but to the Almighty, the ultimate Sovereign. It has long been acknowledged that just beneath divine sovereignty, even as far back as

"...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves; the citizens of America are equal as fellow citizens, and as joint tenants in the sovereignty."
Chisholm v Georgia, 2 U.S. 419, 471-472 (1793).

Over one hundred years later, agents of that same UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT conceded that

"[t]he very meaning of 'sovereignty' is that the decree of the sovereign makes law." *American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.*, 213 U.S. 347, 358 (1909)[citing *Kawananakoa v. Polyblank*, 205 U.S. 349, 353 (1907)].

LC *Q* *2H*
Respondents, being mere corporate actors and, at most, servants of the people, have no sovereignty, nor do their corporate principals. Provisions of the Tenth Amendment to the 1791 Constitution prohibit those purporting to act as the national government, whether the corporate UNITED STATES or its subsidiaries such as the STATE OF OHIO, from exercising rights reserved to the people. The powers the people once delegated to the general government are named in the Constitution, and all not there named, either expressly or by implication are reserved to the people and can be exercised only by them. *U.S. v. Williams*, N.Y. 194 U.S. 295 (1904). There is nothing to suggest that rights once delegated cannot be withdrawn. Otherwise the concept of government by consent would be meaningless.

B. The Ohio Circuit Court of Record has Exclusive Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Claims in this matter invoking the superior jurisdiction of the Common Law

Black's Law Dictionary (4th) concedes that, even in the existing *de facto* system, to be a court of record such entity must administer common law. As far as can be determined, Ohio has no courts of record other than OCCR. OCCR is comprised of Ohioans who understand and assert their individual and collective sovereignties. OCCR is a common law court of law and equity comprised of Ohioans who have each rebutted the presumptions created by the corporate state's birth registration bylaws, the cabal-created presumption of being a slave or asset of the corporate state, and who have rescinded any registrations they may have unwittingly signed as voters in corporate elections. Unlike the current corporate tribunals, the Ohio Circuit Court of Record is capable of adjudicating common law claims between living men and women who live on the land, not on the seas.

None of the members of this Court are BAR members and all have taken sacred oaths to apply the common law and the law of the Creator to all living men and women. Each has formally rescinded any presumed effect that his or her prior signatures on corporate STATE documents may have ever been deemed to have created. Court members have all rescinded their fraudulently induced signatures on voter

registration documents, repudiated UNITED STATES corporate "citizenship", and rebutted all presumptions that the *de facto* legal system may deem itself to have created as a result of signatures that were coerced without prior full disclosure of material facts, particularly those presumptions the corporate STATE may have invented at the time of his or her birth.


As documented on the OCCR website, some three (3) Public Notices have been published detailing the formation of OCCR during 2020 and another set of three (3) Public Notices published as to the formation of this Court in 2021. Following public notice, OCCR members were sworn into office on September 24, 2021 at Chillicothe, all without objection from any member of the public or from any agent of the STATE OF OHIO corporate tribunal either. As a matter of courtesy, we have provided individualized Notices of OCCR's formation to *de facto* agents Maureen O'Connor and David Yost. Neither has voiced any objection.


Unlike the corporate tribunals which employ the cabal Respondents, this Court is not a corporation, has no profit motives, and does not apply corporate bylaws called statutes to living people who do not consent to being legal fiction "persons". Finally, this court has authority under God's law, acknowledged to be sovereign in the Declaration of Independence and in Congressional Resolution 97-280. Members of the United States Supreme Court once conceded:


"The judgment of a court of record whose jurisdiction is final, is as conclusive on all the world as the judgment of this court would be. It is as conclusive on this court as it is on the other courts. It puts an end to inquiry concerning the fact, by deciding it." *Ex parte Watkins*, 28 U.S. 193, pp. 202-203 (1830)

The rights conceded to exist once, continue to exist. Subject matter jurisdiction over the claims submitted in this case lies in the Ohio Circuit Court of Record, a common law court which Claimant selected for his claims without objection from any Respondent.

We have taken oaths to decide Claimant's right to have his claims of harm at the hands of the Respondents adjudicated in this common law court of the republic.


Justice Luciana Constantino



Justice Katherine Hine



Justice Debra McCabe

Cc: The clerk is directed to serve copies of this Judgment Entry and Opinion to the following:

Michael DeWine, as the living man,
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street Floor 40
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Amy Acton, as the living woman, also
known as Amy Stearns and Amy Beech
last known to be living on the land at
[c/o] 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue
Bexley, Ohio [43209], also
[c/o] Eric Acton, husband of Amy Acton
[c/o] Bexley High School/Middle School
300 South Cassingham Road
Bexley, Ohio; and
[c/o] Eric K. Acton, her son-in-law and
neighbor at 879 Francis Avenue,
Bexley, Ohio [43209]

 Lance Himes, as the living man,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

 Bruce Vanderhoff, as the living man,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Stephanie McCloud, as the living woman,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sheryl Maxfield, as the living woman,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lydia Mihalik, as the living woman,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sam Randazzo, as the living man,
[c/o] 180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]
Or [c/o] 645 South Grant Avenue,
Columbus, Ohio [43206-1216]
randazzosc@yahoo.com

Nicholas Akin, as the living man,
[c/o] 1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, Ohio [43215]
nick@aep.com

Lynn Good, as the living woman,
[c/o] 5445 Audro Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio [45247]

Kathleen Madden, as the living woman,
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

John Harris, as the living man,
[c/o] 2825 West Dublin Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Ursel McElroy, as the living woman,
[c/o] 246 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lori Criss, as the living woman,
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street Floor 36
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Salvadore Robles, as the living man,
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street
Whitehall, Ohio [43213]

Lance Trenary, as the living man,
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street,
Whitehall, Ohio [43213]

John Menard Junior, as the living man,
[c/o] 1999 Walker Lake Road
Ontario, Ohio [44906]

Brian Belden, as the living man,
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue
Galion, Ohio [44833]

Craig Wood, as the living man,
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue
Galion, Ohio [44833]

Rich Wolowski, as the living man,
[c/o] 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road
Mansfield, Ohio [44906]

Jeannette Troyer, as the living woman,

[c/o] 2102 Glen Drive,
Millersburg, Ohio [44654]
webadmin@csbl.com

Eddie Steiner, as the living man,
[c/o] 91 North Clay Street,
Millersburg, Ohio [44654]
webadmin@csbl.com

Hugh Quill or the man or woman currently acting as
agent for the entity known as STATE OF OHIO
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio [43215]