

Ohio Circuit Court of Record  
seated at Chillicothe

Filed of Record

FEB 17 2022

Michael-David, House of Plaster, *sui juris* )  
as the living man,  
Claimant,

Ohio Circuit Court of Record

Case No. 22-CRF-001

-vs-

Michael DeWine, as the living man,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street Floor 40 )  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

PETITION FOR JUDGMENT  
ON DEFAULTED CLAIMS

Amy Acton, as the living woman, also  
known as Amy Stearns and Amy Beech )  
last known to be living on the land at  
[c/o] 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue  
Bexley, Ohio [43209], also  
[c/o] Eric Acton, husband of Amy Acton )  
[c/o] Bexley High School/Middle School  
300 S. Cassingham Road  
Bexley, Ohio; and  
[c/o] Eric K. Acton, her son-in-law and )  
neighbor at 879 Francis Avenue,  
Bexley, Ohio [43209]  
[amybeech@aol.com](mailto:amybeech@aol.com).

Lance Himes, as the living man,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street )  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Bruce Vanderhoff, as the living man, )  
[c/o] 246 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Stephanie McCloud, as the living woman, )  
[c/o] 246 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sheryl Maxfield, as the living woman, )  
[c/o] 246 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]


Lydia Mihalik, as the living woman, )  
[c/o] 246 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sam Randazzo, as the living man, )  
[c/o] 180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]  
Or [c/o] 645 South Grant Avenue,  
Columbus, Ohio [43206-1216] )  
[randazzosc@yahoo.com](mailto:randazzosc@yahoo.com)

Nicholas Akin, as the living man, )  
[c/o] 1 Riverside Plaza  
Columbus, Ohio [43215]  
FAX: 614-223-1823  
[nick@aep.com](mailto:nick@aep.com) )

Lynn Good, as the living woman,  
[c/o] 5445 Audro Drive  
Cincinnati, Ohio [45247] )

Kathleen Madden, as the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215] )

 John Harris, as the living man,  
[c/o] 2825 West Dublin Granville Road  
Columbus, Ohio [43215] )

Ursel McElroy, as the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street  
Columbus, Ohio [43215] )

Lori Criss, as the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street Floor 36  
Columbus, Ohio [43215] )

Salvadore Robles, as the living man,  
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street  
Whitehall, Ohio [43213] )

Lance Trenary, as the living man,  
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street,  
Whitehall, Ohio [43213] )

John Menard Junior, as the living man,  
[c/o] 1999 Walker Lake Road  
Ontario, Ohio [44906] )  
FAX: 715-876-2868

Brian Belden, as the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue  
Galion, Ohio [44833]  
FAX: 614-441-8145

)

Craig Wood, as the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue  
Galion, Ohio [44833]  
FAX: 614-441-8145

)

)

Rich Wolowski, as the living man,  
[c/o] 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road  
Mansfield, Ohio [44906]

)

Jeannette Troyer, as the living woman,  
[c/o] 2102 Glen Drive,  
Millersburg, Ohio [44654]  
[webadmin@csb1.com](mailto:webadmin@csb1.com)

)

mp Eddie Steiner, as the living man,  
[c/o] 91 North Clay Street,  
Millersburg, Ohio [44654]  
[webadmin@csb1.com](mailto:webadmin@csb1.com)

)

Respondents.

**By:** U.S. mail, by facsimile, or email as the Court may be able to establish, the above contact information being based on the attached most recent prior affidavit of successful service of the attached Notices.

COMES NOW the above named Claimant, Michael-David; House of Plaster, and for claims against Respondents states as follows:

#### I. SUMMARY OF CASE

1. Attached are true and correct copies of a series of three (3) Notices served upon the above named Respondents, along with affidavits and exhibits demonstrating that each Respondent was served at least three (3) times with each of said Notices and that each also defaulted three (3) times.

2. The aforementioned series of three (3) Notices, with affidavits and exhibits, has been marked as Claimant's Exhibit A, attached hereto.

3. The facts set out in the Notices, all of which are supported as indicated by affidavit, demonstrate that Respondents are or were all agents of private, for profit corporations, known by such names as OHIO, STATE OF, doing business

as Governor, STATE OF OHIO, SUPREME COURT OF OHIO doing business as OHIO SUPREME COURT, HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, which does business as OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC., DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, OHIO NATIONAL GUARD aka OHIO ARMY NATIONAL GUARD, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF AGING, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES, GOLDEN CORRAL CORPORATION, MENARD, INC. doing business as MENARD'S, O. E. MEYER CO., GORDON FOOD SERVICE, INC., and THE COMMERCIAL & SAVINGS BANK.

4. The fact that Respondents are agents of corporations provides them with no immunity or other defense for the harm that said Respondents have caused as men and women.

5. All Respondents have failed to dispute any of the verified facts stated in Claimant's notices. See Exhibit A attached hereto. Respondents have all had three (3) reasonable opportunities to do so but have failed to respond in any manner, despite multiple notices that such failures to respond would result in judgment against them.

6. The attached Exhibit A constitutes Claimant's Notices with supporting affidavits establishing irrefutable proof that none of said Respondents denied any of the allegations contained therein and thereby are in default as defined in the Notices.

7. Exhibit A establishes that no Respondent had any lawful authority to interfere in any manner with Claimant's life, his freedom of movement, his right to be in contract with other men and women, or to breach ongoing contracts with Claimant which Claimant had prior to serving them Notices..

8. The February 19, 2021, May 4, 2021, and August 12, 2021 Notices contained in Exhibit A are each supported by affidavit and establish the fact that Claimant does not and did not cause harm, loss, or injury to any Respondent or any of their fellow agents. Respondents have all chosen to refuse to rebut Claimant's claims.

9. The affidavits contained in Exhibit A attached hereto establish that Claimant and Respondents, by the Respondents' refusals to respond and by their continuing acts in spite of their admitted lack of authority to do so created a Binding Judgment and contract between Claimant and each Respondent by which Respondents each agreed to resolve their liabilities to Claimant as indicated therein. Claimant requests that the contract established by Respondents' acceptance of the offer contained in Claimant's Notices be incorporated into this Court's lawful Judgment.



10. The verified facts established by the attached copy of Exhibit A, and never refuted by any Respondent, despite multiple opportunities to do so, may be accurately summarized as follows:

a. During February and March of 2020, Respondent DeWine, aided and abetted by Respondents Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akin, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss, by means of fraudulent misrepresentations of the existence of a "pandemic", embarked on a program of intimidation by assault, battery, extortion, massive interferences with private contract, and other threatened harm to Claimant as well as to most of the people living and doing business on the soil of the true Ohio republic.

b. Among the targets of such intimidation techniques, besides Claimant, were Respondents Robles, Trenary, John Menard Junior, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner. Although Respondent DeWine lacked any authority to interfere with the contracts Claimant had with Respondents Robles, Trenary, John Menard Junior, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner, said Respondents and their fellow agents and principals, willingly aided and abetted the actions of Respondents Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akin, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss in the infliction of further harm to Claimant Michael-David.

c. The fraudulent misrepresentations Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akin, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss made to promote the false notion of a "pandemic" are set forth in greater detail in the February 19, 2021 Notice included in Exhibit A attached to the Petition herein.

d. Respondents Akin, Good and Randazzo aided and abetted Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss by implementing programs of 5G technology throughout Ohio in reckless disregard of the role of such technology in causing or exacerbating human illness. Much of such 5G connected illness is admittedly being attributed to the "virus", which all Respondents have admitted cannot be isolated or proven to exist at all.

e. Respondents Robles, Trenary, John Menard Junior, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner, and their fellow agents and principals specifically caused harm to Claimant by (i) breaching the pre-existing contract each had with Claimant, (ii) causing Claimant public humiliation by intentionally inflicting mental distress upon him, including by interfering with Claimant's employment contracts and threatening his rights to medical privacy.

f. All Respondents continue to this day to impose harm to Claimant as described herein and in the verified Notices contained in Exhibit A.

11. The allegations of the attached, verified Notices, which were duly served upon the Respondents multiple times, as also indicated by affidavit, are now established fact because all Respondents have declined to rebut them, despite three (3) or more opportunities to do so.

## II. STATEMENT OF THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION

WZP  
1. When the original organic 1787 Constitution for the United States of America referred to "law" it referred to common law, not to corporate bylaws. Under common law, and as acknowledged even in the corporate system, for every right there must be a remedy. Claimant claims rights under the Creator as a free man living on the land of Ohio, the original republic. Respondents also are living flesh and blood people either living on the land of Ohio or committing trespasses on the land of Ohio, the original republic. As a living soul, Claimant claims his rights to a court of record, which according to admissions contained in Black's Law Dictionary (4<sup>th</sup>), is one that administers common law, not corporate bylaws called statutes, codes, regulations, and ordinances. The corporate tribunals calling themselves "courts" have no authority to subject any living soul to their private enactments applicable only to legal fiction "persons". This Ohio Circuit Court of Record meets the Black's law dictionary definition of "court of record" because it is a court comprised of individually sovereign men and women. It applies common law and equity only to living people and acknowledges the people's right to live without being subjected to harm so long as they harm no one else and so long as they do not knowingly and after full disclosure, subject themselves by contract to some other forum.

2. The Ohio Circuit Court of Record is a common law court of law and equity comprised of Ohioans who have each rebutted the presumptions created by the corporate state's birth registration bylaws, the presumption of being a slave or asset of the corporate state, and who have rescinded any registrations they may have unwittingly signed as voters in corporate elections. Unlike the current corporate tribunals, the Ohio Circuit Court of Record is capable of adjudicating common law claims between living men and women who live on the land, not on the seas. Unlike the corporate tribunals which employ Respondents, this Court is not a corporation, has no profit motives, and does not administer corporate bylaws called statutes except as to living people such as Respondents who agree that said bylaws apply to them. Finally, this court has authority also under God's law acknowledged to be sovereign in the Declaration of Independence and in Congressional Resolution 97-280. The members of this Court have taken sacred oaths to apply the common law and the law of the Creator to all living men and women. Members of the United States Supreme Court once conceded:

"The judgment of a court of record whose jurisdiction is final, is as conclusive on all the world as the judgment of this court would be. It is as conclusive on this court as it is on the other courts. It puts an end to

inquiry concerning the fact, by deciding it." *Ex parte Watkins*, 28 U.S. 193, pp. 202-203 (1830)

The rights conceded to exist once, continue to exist. Claimant's right to have his claims of harm at the hands of the Respondents adjudicated in such a court of the republic in which his rights may be enforced.

### III. REQUEST FOR SPECIFIC RELIEF FROM THE COURT

As a matter of law and equity and in order to assist Respondents in mitigating their liabilities, Claimant further requests that this Court of Record issue Judgment and make orders and findings as follows and as are consistent with his verified claims already defaulted upon, any monetary sums awarded to be based on the definition of a dollar as a measure of weight according to the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900, which defines a dollar as being 24.8 grains of gold, or 371.25 grains of silver, said Judgments and Orders to be based upon the claims upon which all Respondents, their agents and principals have already defaulted on three (3) occasions, as contained in attached Exhibit A, to-wit::

MP 1. An Order to issue forthwith permanently enjoining all Respondents either directly or indirectly through their agents, principals, and contractors from further communications, publications, or other acts under the auspices of public health measures or otherwise threatening the use of violence, including without limitation "arrests", extortionate demands for payment, further interference with existing contracts by the use of threats as to Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, Criss, and Akins, breaches of existing contracts by Respondents Robles, Trenary, John Menard Junior, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner;

2. Judgment in the further sum of \$500,000.00 (Five Hundred Thousand United States Dollars) each against Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris for their multiple acts of treason against Claimant while being or portraying themselves as oath takers as well as for the assaults, batteries, and ongoing acts of contractual interference and related trespasses committed and continuing to be committed by said so-called oath-taker Respondents..

3. Judgment in the further sum of \$1,000.00 (One Thousand United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 through date of judgment for any trespassing technology installed within a quarter mile of the Claimant's private dwellings and or workplaces, against Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, Harris, Akin and Good. Each aforementioned Respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars, said sum to

7 of 10

be due and owing by each said respondent, jointly and severally, upon any finding or judgment of liability.

4. Judgment in the further sum of \$1,000.00 (One Thousand United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 through date of judgment for all acts in furtherance of the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation including without limitation, acts of contractual interference, shall be due and payable from respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris to the Claimant. Each aforementioned respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars.

5. Judgment in the further sum of \$500.00 (Five Hundred United States Dollars) per day commencing March 1, 2020 against each of the Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner for said continuing acts of breach of contract being committed by them either individually or via his or her agent or principal. Claimant specifies that Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, and Wolowski may discharge part of said Judgment at his election by means of in-store credits

MP 6. Judgment authorizing the imposition of judgment liens upon the property of any Respondent, wherever situated, in the case of failure to pay any compensation upon which Judgment is granted, in addition to all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

7. Judgment specifically adopting the parties' Binding Contract agreeing that

a. any judgment entered herein incorporating said Contract be entitled to comity or full faith and credit by any court or tribunal.

b. Claimant may elect to enforce any judgment arising herein either through a corporate, Common Law sheriff, or any other people at Claimant's election.

c. Respondents have waived any and all claims they may have now or in the future against Claimant or any man or woman, including any Common Law court officer, which may arise in connection with the enforcement of the parties' Binding Contract or any Judgment which this Court may enter herein, and that Respondents have agreed and should be Ordered to hold all men, women, corporate persons and their agents harmless as to any claimed liability for any acts which may be performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or Judgment arising therefrom.



d. Respondents be prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or members of the general public.


e. Upon any Respondent providing verified proof that (i) all trespassing technology equipment has been removed from the quarter mile radius of Claimant's home and (ii) Respondent DeWine publicly announces the termination of the covid/5G operation as defined in Exhibit A accompanied by the actual termination of all features of said operation, said Respondent may be absolved of his or her monetary liability incurred herein.

f. A reservation of jurisdiction by this Court for the purposes of supplementing its Judgment from time to time to update its Judgments so long as Respondents continue to harm him as set forth in his Notices and as fully admitted by each said Respondent.

WHEREFORE Claimant seeks Judgment as set forth hereinabove and in the parties' agreement set out at Exhibit A.

#### VERIFICATION

I, Michael-David, of the House of Plaster, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am the Claimant above named, that I have read the foregoing Petition for Judgment on Defaulted Claims and know the contents thereof, and that the facts therein set forth are true and correct.

  
Seal  
Michael-David, House of Plaster,  
Claimant

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 1 day of February, 2022 by Michael-David, House of Plaster, whose autograph above I witnessed on this date at Crawford County, Ohio.

My Commission Expires: July 29, 2025


  
Notary Public



9 of 10

REQUEST FOR SERVICE  
TO THE CLERK:

Please issue service of the above Petition with its attached documents and a summons upon respondents by personally serving them each at the addresses indicated hereinabove for each, including by fax or by email as necessary.



---

Michael-David, House of Plaster

**NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS,  
INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF  
CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION**

Feb 19, 2021



**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL; NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS  
NOTICE TO AGENT**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS  
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; BY (B)  
CONTINUED INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, OR BY  
(C) CONTINUED ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE COVID/5G OPERATION**

**THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT**

**FROM:**

Michael-David; House of Plaster, Claimant, *sui juris*, a living man hereby claiming all rights *nunc pro  
tunc*.

**TO:**

Michael DeWine, the living man, also known as Mike DeWine, also known as Richard Michael  
DeWine, also known as R. Michael DeWine, also known as Richard M. DeWine, also being agent,  
employee, or contractor for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, having Dun & Bradstreet numbers  
004305215, among others, said respondent DeWine doing business as Governor, STATE OF OHIO  
and also being agent, employee, officer, or contractor of the corporation SUPREME COURT OF  
OHIO, having Dun & Bradstreet number 360705099, the latter doing business as OHIO SUPREME  
COURT,

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street -Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Amy Acton, the living woman, also known as Amy Leigh Stearns, also being agent, employee, or  
contractor for the corporation COLUMBUS FOUNDATION, THE, having Dun & Bradstreet number  
079441663, which does business as THE COLUMBUS FOUNDATION

[c/o] 1234 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio [43205]

Lance Himes, the living man, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation HEALTH,  
OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the  
OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,

[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Bruce Vanderhoff, the living man, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation  
HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933 which does  
business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,

[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Stephanie McCloud: the living woman, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation  
HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933 which does



business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role with Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others, [c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sheryl Maxfield, the living woman, also being an agent for the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role with Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others, [c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lydia Mihalik, the living woman, also being an agent for the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role with Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others. [c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sam Randazzo, the living man, also being an agent or former agent of the for-profit corporation known as PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO, Dun & Bradstreet Number 046289216, and/or of any of its affiliates, branches, or subsidiaries, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine doing business as Governor, STATE OF OHIO, corporation OHIO, STATE OF, having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215, among others. [c/o] 180 East Broad Street, Columbus Ohio [43215]

Nicholas Akins, as the living man, also being an employee, contractor, agent, and/or officer of the for-profit corporation known as AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC., having Dun & Bradstreet Number 006979868, and as principal to any and all agents of AEP affiliates, branches or subsidiaries, said respondent also doing business as "Chief Executive Officer" of AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY INC. [c/o] 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lynn Good, as the living woman, also being an employee, contractor, agent, and/or officer and CEO of the for-profit corporation known as DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION, having Dun & Bradstreet Number 006996052, and as principal to any and all agents of Duke Energy Corporation affiliates, branches or subsidiaries, [c/o] 5445 Audro Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio [45247] or [c/o] 550 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina [28202]

Kathleen Madden, the living woman, also being agent, contractor, or employee of the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role doing business as Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215, also being agent for DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, having Dun & Bradstreet Number 808847578



[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215

John Harris, the living man, also being agent, contractor, or employee of the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role doing business as Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others, also being agent for OHIO NATIONAL GUARD aka OHIO ARMY NATIONAL GUARD having DUN & Bradstreet Number 004216457

[c/o] 2825 West Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Ursel McElroy, the living woman also being agent, contractor, or employee of the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role with Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others, also being agent for OHIO DEPARTMENT OF AGING having DUN & Bradstreet Number 808847602  
[c/o] 246 North High Street First Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lori Criss, the living woman also being agent, contractor, or employee of the corporation known as HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, having Dun & Bradstreet number 808847933, which does business as the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, also being agent for respondent DeWine in his corporate role with Governor of OHIO, and being agent for the corporation OHIO, STATE OF, doing business as STATE OF OHIO and the corporation called OHIO, STATE OF, the latter two corporations having Dun & Bradstreet numbers 004305215 and 034309166, among others, also being agent for OHIO DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES, having DUN & Bradstreet Number 808847669

[c/o] 30 East Broad Street 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Salvadore Robles, the living man, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation doing business as GOLDEN CORRAL CORPORATION, having DUN & Bradstreet Number 877530709  
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio 43213

Lance Trenary, the living man, also being CEO, agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation GOLDEN CORRAL CORPORATION having Dun & Bradstreet Number DUNS 061785465  
[c/o] 5151 Glenwood Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina [near 27612]

John Menard Junior, the living man, also being agent, employee, officer, or contractor for the corporation MENARD, INC. doing business as MENARD'S, having Dun & Bradstreet number 026916167,  
[c/o] 1999 Walker Lake Road, Ontario, Ohio [44906]

Craig Wood, the living man, also being agent, employee, officer or contractor for the corporation known as O. E. MEYER CO., having Dun & Bradstreet number 018040089,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]

Brian Belden, the living man, also being agent, employee, officer or contractor for the corporation O.E. MEYER CO., having Dun & Bradstreet number 018040089,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]



Rich Wolowski, the living man, also being agent, employee, officer or contractor for the corporation GORDON FOOD SERVICE, INC. and doing business as GFS, having Dun & Bradstreet number 022513902

[c/o] 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road  
Mansfield, Ohio [44906]

Jeannette Troyer, the living woman, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation doing business as THE COMMERCIAL & SAVINGS BANK, having Dun & Bradstreet number 078850881

[c/o] 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio [44654].

Eddie Steiner, the living man, also being agent, employee, or contractor for the corporation doing business as THE COMMERCIAL AND SAVINGS BANK, having Dun & Bradstreet number 013108127

[c/o] THE COMMERCIAL AND SAVINGS BANK, 91 North Clay Street, Millersburg, OHIO [44654]

Respondents.

**By:** FEDEX, itemized verification to be provided by affidavit upon service.

PRELIMINARY NOTICE. This document and attachments are presented with honorable, peaceful intentions, and are expressly for your benefit to provide each respondent with due process according to the due course of Common Law and with a good faith opportunity to provide verified facts specifically refuting the statements contained herein.

## I. PREAMBLE

A. NOTE ON MEANING OF TERMS USED HEREIN: Notwithstanding any agreement, course of dealing, or usage of trade to the contrary, Claimant Michael-David does not understand, nor is he required to understand or accept any other meaning of words used herein in the English language other than those found in common American speech or in Webster's 1828 American Dictionary of the English Language. Unless otherwise specified herein, terms used herein, including legal fictions which may have particularized meanings and usages within the corporate *de facto* "court" system, are being used as Claimant understands them, according to their ordinary and plain meanings and/or as defined by Webster's 1828 dictionary, notwithstanding a contrary meaning or usage which may be assigned to such terms in corporate tribunals or by respondents or their employers, contractors or agents. Usage herein of names of corporations in all capital letters, although not standard English, is for the purpose of clarifying the corporate nature of the entity so referenced. Such non-standard usage is well understood by respondents.

(1) Usage of the term "you" refers to each respondent exclusively as a man or woman and not in his or her corporate role unless otherwise specified.

(2) Usage of the term "covid/5G operation" herein includes without limitation, any act committed by any respondent, either directly or indirectly and which is based either on (a) the claimed threat posed by the so-called virus known variously as covid 19, Coronavirus, SARS "COVID", covid, COVID-19, severe acute respiratory syndrome Corona virus 2, SARS-CoV-2, or any claimed "variant", "new strain" or mutation of same; or (b) the furtherance of the non-consensual rollout of 5G and other wireless or so-called "smart" technologies which expose the human body to electromagnetic radiation [EMR] and frequencies [EMF] in frequencies outside of the natural range of solar radiation.



(3) Usage of the term "trespassing technology" herein refers to all nonconsensual imposition of smart meters, 5G technology, directed energy devices and/or weapons, and/or other features of what is often referred to as the "smart grid".

(4) Usage of the term "disease" herein is to describe a natural process whereby the living body eliminates toxins from the lungs, bladder, colon and skin, often accompanied by pain or malaise, which process may result in restoration of the body and its functions to homeostasis. There is no contrary meaning for the term, which is contained in Ohio Rev Code Sec. 3701.13 but not defined there.

(5) Usage of the term "emergency" herein refers to a sudden unexpected happening; an unforeseen occurrence or condition; specifically, perplexing contingency or complication of circumstances; a sudden or unexpected occasion for action; exigency; pressing necessity. [Black's Law Dict. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed.]

(6) Usage of the terms "United States Constitution" or the U.S. Constitution herein shall mean the September 17, 1787 Constitution for the united States of America.

(7) Usage of the term "treason" herein is defined as any act of warfare against the people or adhering to their enemies.

(8) Usage of the term "pandemic" herein means that 7% of the exposed population will die, as the term is defined by the corporate Communicable Disease Center (CDC).

(9) Usage of the term "vaccination" refers to the practice of injecting a killed or weakened microbe in order to stimulate the immune system against the microbe, and to thereby prevent the contracting or transmission of disease.

(10) One United States "Dollar" is defined herein and by the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

**B. AGREEMENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS:** If any respondent agrees with all of the statements contained in the within Notice, he or she needs not respond. Respondent's silence will constitute his or her agreement and acceptance of all of the terms, statements and provisions hereunder as his or her complete understanding and agreement with the claimants and respondent's waiver of any and all rights, remedies and defenses of protest, objection, rebuttal, argument, appeal and controversy for all time. Respondents agree that his or her agreement, having been granted knowingly, voluntarily and with full disclosure, settles all matters finally and forever, and cannot be withdrawn.

**C. DISAGREEMENT AND FAILURE TO RESPOND:** Respondents may disagree with any of the terms of this Notice by disputing point by point any specific allegation contained herein based on affidavits from a living human being having firsthand knowledge of material facts. Respondents and Claimant agree that a response which is not verified, or a response from a third party agent lacking first-hand knowledge of the material facts, will constitute that respondent's "failure to respond" as defined herein and will be considered ineffective and insufficient.

**D. INSUFFICIENT RESPONSE:** As with a failure to respond, a respondent's failure to respond by the indicated Effective Date and as described herein, will cause the facts, law, and terms stated within this Notice and accompanying Affidavit to become binding and fully enforceable as a contract which may be incorporated without further notice into a Binding Judgment of a common law court of record, or in a *de facto* corporate "court" or tribunal as Claimant may select. If a respondent fails to respond or state a claim by the indicated Effective Date, the facts and law stated within this Notice will become



binding and fully enforceable in a common law court of record or in a corporate tribunal as claimant may select.

**E. APPLICABLE LAW:** Other than as expressly represented herein, you, as respondent herein and in your individual capacity, agree that no section of the Contract contained in this Notice upon agreement, shall be assumed to constitute a voluntary election by any of the parties thereto to submit the Contract or the said parties to any venue of law, jurisdiction, court or tribunal, other than as is expressly stated in the agreement of the parties hereunder. You agree that the Contract shall not be deemed to be subject to the laws, bylaws, statutes, orders, decrees, or rules of the Federal Government, any State, political subdivision thereof, or of any corporation, or any other legal fiction, procedural phantom, political construct, or any other jurisdiction, real or imagined, unless such election is voluntarily made in writing by Claimant.

**F. AUTHORITY TO AMEND AND JOINDER FEE:** Respondents agree that no person(s) or corporation shall have any authority to control any decision regarding the Contract. No such person shall be deemed to possess any powers, interest or authority to amend, alter, modify or terminate the Contract as to any party, person, individual, agency, court or entity, real, fictitious, or imagined, other than as expressly represented hereunder. No such powers, interest or authority shall be assumed. The exercise of any and all such powers, interest and authority, if any, are expressly prohibited hereunder. You agree that any representation by any party, person, individual, agency, corporate tribunal or other entity, real, fictitious, or imagined, that any such powers, interest or authority exist shall be deemed a confession by the representing party/entity that such entity seeks to join the contract pursuant to the terms herein, for which the joinder fee has been established herein at Fifty Thousand United States Dollars per each such event.

**G. OFFER OF IMMUNITY—STATING A CLAIM:** Any respondent may avoid all liability and obligations under this Notice by simply responding no later than by 5 p.m. ET on the Effective Date with a notarized Affidavit or Declaration signed by a witness with personal knowledge of the material facts contained in said Affidavit or Declaration and which proves any claim said respondent may have against Claimant or Claimant's interests. The statement must be sworn to be true, contain a notary jurat, and be supported by certified factual evidence and verified proof. Alternatively, respondent(s) may respond with a point-by-point rebuttal of this Notice, sworn to be true, to which he or she attaches certified factual evidence. In the event any respondent declines this good faith Offer of Immunity, said respondent is deemed to agree with all terms, facts, statements and provisions in this Notice and any obligations created hereunder.

**H. TERMS OF RESPONSE:** As with any administrative process, respondent may rebut the statements and claims in the Notice herein by executing a verified response, point-by-point with evidence that is certified to be true and in affidavit form, correct and complete, to be received by Claimant's agent named at subparagraph I(O) hereinbelow, no later than 5:00 PM ET on the Effective Date.

**I. BINDING CONTRACT.** Any respondent's acceptance of the terms of this Notice of Liability, with all attachments, constitutes a binding contract between each said respondent, as a natural living man or woman, and Claimant Michael-David, the natural living man, for the purpose of establishing the honorable terms of a "Contract". Any such contract, as expressed in the within Notice, also serves as an inland claim pursuant to Common Law which, when perfected and/or reduced to judgment, will constitute a lien against each indicated respondent as described hereunder.

**J. CHOICE OF LAW:** Other than as expressly represented herein, you, as Respondent herein and in your individual capacity as a man or woman, agree that no section of the Contract proposed herein shall be assumed to constitute a voluntary election by any of the parties thereto to submit the



Contract or the said parties to any venue of law, jurisdiction, court or tribunal, other than as is expressly stated in the agreement of the parties hereunder. You agree that the Contract created by the within offer, once accepted, shall not be deemed to be subject to the "laws", bylaws, statutes, orders, decrees, or rules of any corporation or other legal fiction, procedural phantom, political construct, or any other jurisdiction, real or imagined, unless such election is voluntarily made in writing by Claimant or his authorized agent following full and fair disclosure of all relevant facts.

K. BINDING JUDGMENT: You agree that a Binding Judgment based on the proposed Contract set forth herein may be entered by any Common Law court and/or administrative tribunal, at Claimant's election. You agree that any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any court or tribunal. Claimant may further elect to enforce the Contract or any judgment arising therefrom through either a corporate or Common Law sheriff. You agree to waive any and all claims you may have against any such Common Law court officers, such as the sheriff, sheriff deputies, or code enforcement agents which claims may arise in connection with any such enforcement efforts. You also agree to hold all men, women, corporate persons and their agents harmless as to any liability for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

L. JOINDER: You agree that no person(s) or corporation shall have any authority to control any decision regarding the Contract. No such person shall be deemed to possess any powers, interest or authority to amend, alter, modify or terminate the Contract as to any party, person, individual, agency, court or entity, real, fictitious, or imagined, other than as expressly represented hereunder. No such powers, interest or authority shall be assumed. Any attempts to exercise such powers, interest and authority are expressly prohibited hereunder. You agree that any representation by any party, person, individual, agency, court or entity, real, fictitious, or imagined, that any such powers, interest or authority exist shall be deemed a confession by the representing party/entity that such entity seeks to join the contract pursuant to the terms herein [see Sec. II(I) hereinbelow]: (Joining the Contract) for which the non-refundable joinder fee has been established herein at Fifty Thousand United States Dollars per each such event. A dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

M. TACIT AGREEMENT: Respondents may admit to all statements and claims in the Notice in one of three (3) ways. He or she may simply remain silent. The parties herein agree that failure to respond or insufficiency of response as defined herein constitutes agreement with all terms, provisions, statements, facts and claims in the Notice. As conceded in *U.S. v. Prudden*, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032 (1970) when circumstances impose a duty to speak, particularly as here, where one or more respondents have committed acts constituting crimes, torts and common law trespass against Claimant's rights, and then deliberately remained silent, silence is equivalent to false representation, acceptance, or ratification. Any respondent may also consent to the terms of the within Noticed offer and be deemed to have admitted to all statements and claims in this Notice by continuing to interfere with Claimant's right to contract, including without limitation, continuing acts constituting breaches of existing contracts with Claimant. The third way in which respondents may consent to the terms set forth in the within Noticed offer is by any act in furtherance of what is hereafter referred to as the "covid/5G operation".

N. LAWFUL CONSIDERATION: Claimant hereby pledges twenty-one 21 silver dollars as the consideration for the within contract.

O. EFFECTIVE DATE/RESPONSE: Response must be by 5PM ET on the Effective Date, which is twenty-eight (28) days from the date of receipt of this Notice. **Response must be by U.S. registered or certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:**

Tammy Lacey, [c/o] 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820].

7 of 41



## II. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO RESPOND

A. FAILURE TO RESPOND DEFINED: The term "you" herein refers to any of the above named respondents. The term "failure to respond" means respondent failure by the Effective Date to respond to this Notice or that his or her attempted response was "insufficient" as that term is defined herein. Respondents agree that failure to respond conveys his or her agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

B. INSUFFICIENCY OF RESPONSE: The terms "insufficiency of response" and "insufficient response" are defined to mean a response which is received by the Effective Date but which fails to specifically rebut, line by line, any of the established terms, provisions, statements or claims in the Notice, or offers blanket denials, unsupported rebuttals, inapposite rebuttals such as "not applicable" or equivalent statements, declarations of counsel and or other third parties who lack first-hand material factual knowledge, and/or any rebuttal which lacks verification or an equivalent level of risk or fails to exhibit supportive evidence certified to be true, correct and complete under full commercial liability. Respondents agree that any such response is deemed to be legally and lawfully insufficient to rebut the established statements in the Notice, thereby conveying respondent's agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Notice.

C. SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT: Upon your failure to respond or perform as defined hereinabove, this Contract is instantly self-executing. Respondents agree to be bound by all of the terms of the Contract commencing on the date of default.

D. NOTICE OF DEFAULT: Claimant acknowledges that respondents are entitled to a Notice of Default. In consideration, respondents agree to accept a Notice of Default as Binding Judgment certifying respondents' agreement with all terms, statements, facts and provisions in the Contract. Since Judgment is issued when a party waives the right to respond, all parties to this Agreement agree to be bound in perpetuity by any and all such Judgments which may be issued regarding the Contract.

E. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT: Any respondent, by failing to respond as defined herein, agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the within Contract may be entered by any common law court and/or administrative tribunal, at claimants' election. Any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any other court or tribunal. Claimant may elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it through a common law sheriff, deputy or other law or corporate code enforcer who has taken an oath to support the Constitution for the United States of America. Any respondent who fails to respond as defined herein agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or against any of the aforementioned common law sheriffs, deputies or other law or corporate code enforcers who have taken an oath to support the Constitution, which claims may hereafter arise in connection with the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

F. POTENTIAL LIABILITY AND ABSOLUTION OF LIABILITY: Respondents will be absolved of all liability as of the date of the receipt of the within Notice, upon the payment of all outstanding amounts billed on account of joinder fees, costs of delivery of Notices, plus all sums billed and then owing pursuant to the Fee Schedule of Sec. IX hereinbelow, in lawful currency per each such event. A dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.



G. NON-PERFORMANCE: The terms "non-performance" and "failure to perform" are defined to mean failure to perform any obligation under this Contract on or before the Effective Date including, but not limited to, "failure to respond" to this Contract as that term is defined herein, failure to exhibit evidence of a superior claim upon request, purporting an unverified statement to be a claim, failure to verify a claim within twenty-four (24) hours of demand, failure to honor a pre-existing and or superior claim, and any other failure to perform an obligation under the terms and provisions of the Contract. You agree that failure to respond conveys your agreement with all of the terms and provisions of the Contract.

H. DISAGREEMENT AND FAILURE TO RESPOND: You may disagree with any of the terms of the Contract by affidavit stating facts disputing any of the specific claims or allegations stated herein, said affidavit to be executed by an affiant having actual personal knowledge or a verified affidavit stating facts supporting a claim by an affiant having actual personal knowledge, all facts being set forth with particularity. You and the claimant herein agree that a response which is not verified, or a response from a third party agent lacking first-hand knowledge of the facts, will constitute your "failure to respond" as defined herein. If you fail to respond or state a claim by the indicated Effective Date, the Contract will become binding and fully enforceable in any admiralty venue as a maritime lien subject to levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other legal, lawful and/or commercial remedies.

I. JOINING THE CONTRACT: In the event that any person, party, man or woman not named as a respondent herein attempts to impair this Contract or interfere with any of the parties thereto, such person, party, man or woman shall be required to pay a non-refundable joinder fee. Said joinder fee shall be due from said party within ten (10) days of any such attempt to impair or interfere. It is agreed that such non-refundable joinder fee shall be established in the amount of Fifty Thousand United States Dollars in silver per each action, the term dollar being as defined by the Coinage Act of 1792 and of 1900 and as set forth in paragraph II (F) hereinabove. It is agreed that any such person, party, man or woman failing to timely pay any such joinder fee as set forth hereinabove, agrees to a right of lien having been created and perfected against that party.

### III. RESTRICTION OF JURISDICTION

A. RESPONDENT CAPACITIES AS MEN AND WOMEN: As referenced herein, the term "you" refers to any of the respondents above named and in their individual capacities as the flesh and blood man or woman, respectively, not as corporate agents, employees, attorneys, "persons", or in any other fictitious or corporate capacity. Living men and women are personally liable when their actions harm others, regardless of whether their actions were committed while acting as agents for corporations and regardless of any false notion that it is lawful to harm some of the people if doing so might benefit others.

B. RESPONDENT CAPACITIES AS CORPORATE AGENTS: The within Notice is limited to addressing respondents as living men and women and not as any corporate legal fiction roles they may assume when using their names in all capitals. In their corporate capacities, respondent agents of STATE OF OHIO may only operate consistently with corporate bylaws known as statutes and within territory owned by the corporation, including by the parent corporation known as UNITED STATES or UNITED STATES OF AMERICA or any of its parent, affiliate or subsidiary corporations. *New York Central R.R. Co. v. Chisholm* 268 U.S. 29, 31-32 (1925) ("Legislation is presumptively territorial and confined to limits over which the law-making power has jurisdiction.") Respondents in their corporate capacities act as agents of UNITED STATES, WHO (World Health Organization), CDC and UNITED NATIONS by contract and/or by being agents of affiliated, subsidiary, or parent corporations.



## C. GOVERNING LAW

1. The Common Law is the highest jurisdiction of manmade law and jurisprudence for the men and women sojourning on the land mass commonly referred to as the United States of America.
2. The Common Law is the only law referred to in any version of the United States Constitution, which by contract created a superior jurisdiction for the people sojourning on the land mass commonly referred to as United States of America.
3. The Common Law reflects the laws as recorded in the group of books commonly referred to as The Holy Bible, as well as in the 1215 Magna Carta and in other documents. It is described in detail by Sir William Blackstone in his published Commentaries, which were instrumental in the development of American jurisprudence.
4. The American system of common law jurisprudence is based on the sovereignty of the people. That sovereignty belongs both to individual living men and women, as well as to any lawful body they may form.
5. The U.S. Congress in 1982 acknowledged God's Law by enacting P.L. 97-280. There are additional references to the higher jurisdictions of God's Law and Common Law in the Declaration of Independence, the Holy Bible, the 1215 Magna Carta, the Northwest Ordinance, the Articles of Confederation, Treaty of Paris 1783, the Maxims of Common Law, both Constitutions of and for the United States of America with the Bill of Rights, the original Ohio Constitution, and all additional covenants of man that inherently recognize the supremacy of God, the unalienable nature of the people's God given rights, and the pre-eminence of His Law. All such sources of law remain subject to the will of the people acting as a lawful court and with a firm reliance on the protection of Nature's God.
6. Claimant is and was at all times entitled to a court of record as part of a republican form of government recognized in Art. III Sec. 4 of the U.S. Constitution and also conceded by representations contained in the preamble to the 1803 Ohio Constitution. Black's Law dictionary (4th Ed.) defines a "court of record" to be, among its other qualities, one which uses the common law, common law being the only law at the time of the Constitution available to the people. A republican form of government is one in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people, either directly, or through representatives chosen by the people, to whom those powers are specially delegated. [as conceded in *In re Duncan*, 139 U.S. 449 (1891)] Any subsequently enacted federal or state "code" or corporate bylaw called "statute" cannot amend the Constitution. Claimant therefore does not consent to any imposition of corporate tribunal "jurisdiction" upon the facts or law set out in the within Notice and contract contained herein.
7. Claimant, the living man, hereby asserts his common law rights to the due course of law, originally expressed by Sec. 39 of Magna Carta:

"No freeman shall be taken, or imprisoned, or disseized, or outlawed, or exiled, or in any way harmed--nor will we go upon or send upon him--save by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land."

No corporate tribunal is of competent jurisdictional authority to make any such lawful judgment.

8. The original men and women organizing Ohio in 1802 and 1803 specifically admitted and recognized in the preamble to the Ohio Constitution that all Ohioans have a right to a republican form of government. That means no government without consent of the governed. No respondent has any authority to infringe upon that right. Imposition of non-consented-to orders from agents of a foreign



corporation constitutes such infringement. Any "legislation" or corporate bylaw that would seek to abridge the people's rights acknowledged to exist in 1802 and 1803, would constitute an *ex post facto* law prohibited to States by Art. I, Sec. 10 of the original 1787 Constitution for the UNITED STATES. That is the same provision of the original 1787 Constitution that also prohibits States from impairing the Obligation of Contracts

9. There is no section of corporate code that refers to the powers of the corporation known as OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH to impose mask-wearing upon living men and women who have come of full age. The only section of Ohio Rev. Code that purports to address that corporation's authority at all in connection with the people's health is Sec. 3701.13. However, that corporate statute purports to grant no authority to respondent DeWine or to any of ODOH's human agents, as living men and women, to specifically do anything. That corporate bylaw is limited to claiming a power that no legal fiction corporation is capable of exercising except through living people as its agents.

10. Respondents Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, Maxfield, Mihalik, Madden, McElroy, and Criss, are acting as apparent agents of the OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. None of said respondents are parties to any contract with Claimant to provide him with medical services. No respondent has even corporate statutory authority to "supervise" matters that pertain to the physical health of men and women who refuse to consent to such supervision. No right to impose non-consensual "supervision" upon the private, physical body of another man or woman belongs to any respondent or their agents or principals. Any attempts by any respondent to impose any such "supervision" upon him without consent constitutes a common law battery and violates God-given law, common law, and Ohio's Constitutionally recognized rights of privacy.

11. Respondents DeWine, Harris, Criss, Randazzo, Akins, Wood, Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner are not licensed by the corporate STATE OF OHIO, to practice medicine. Prescribing the use of medical devices for the purposes of mitigation or prevention of disease is included within the corporate meaning of the practice of medicine. To the degree that such respondents are within corporate territory and bound by corporate bylaws called "statutes", said unlicensed respondents' acts in coercing mask wearing as part of the covid/5G operation, constitute acts that are *ultra vires* to STATE OF OHIO. Agents of the parent corporation, *via* 21 U.S. C. Sec. 321(h)(2), concede that a mask for use against "covid" is a medical "device" that is "intended for use" in the "mitigation" or "prevention of disease".

12. To the degree that any of such respondents DeWine, Harris, Criss, Randazzo, Akins, Wood, Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner are within corporate territory and bound by corporate bylaws called "statute", any act by any of said unlicensed respondents' in forcing mask wearing without informed consent and by means of forced medical intervention by non-licensed persons, as part of the covid/5G operation, constitutes an act that is *ultra vires* to STATE OF OHIO.

13. The portion of corporate bylaw known as Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 3701.13 is void due to containing findings of fact that do not belong to the so-called legislative branch to make. The term "immunization", as used in corporate bylaw known as Sec. 3701.13, is stated as if it were the equivalent of the term "vaccination". It is not. Said usage falsely characterizes the unambiguous factual term vaccination. Vaccination is not immunization. If vaccination conferred immunity, it would not produce adverse reactions and it would prevent infection and transmission. Vaccinations in general and the ones currently said to be in use for the covid/5G operation all produce adverse reactions, including deaths. So-called vaccine makers Moderna and Pfizer admit that their so-called "vaccines" do not prevent "infection" or "transmission". There can be no law that changes the generally accepted meaning of words without violating all notions of due process or due course of law. Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 3701.13 is not law. It is corporate code. It contains erroneous medical findings made by unknown men and/or women who are not qualified medical doctors or researchers.



No legislature is authorized or qualified to make medical findings, much less, erroneous medical findings and to then insert such errors into a corporate bylaw called statute. The sources of the substances used in vaccines for purposes of human vaccination are corporate. Immunity to any illness comes from the Creator. No vaccine is capable of providing immunity to any illness. Nor has any vaccine ever been proved to have prevented either "infection" with or "transmission" of any illness.

14. The term "emergency" as respondents may be using it or as used in Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 3701.13 refers to an unexpected event, according to the definition contained in Black's Law Dictionary (4<sup>th</sup>). The covid/5G operation was not unexpected. It was planned and discussed years in advance. There is not now nor has there ever been any health "emergency" in Ohio attributed to a "virus" called "covid" as claimed by respondents.

15. Neither the term "epidemic" nor "pandemic" accurately describes any event or series of events which the covid/5G operation claims to address. Such diseases as tuberculosis, smallpox, ebola, HiV, rabies, dengue, polio, and seasonal flu have or have had far higher death rates without any of such outbreaks having led to the type of "government" response which respondents are displaying to this still unidentified so-called "covid virus". An April 21, 2020 study conducted by the University of Southern California admitted that, although some 4% of the population of Los Angeles County were said to have antibodies to "covid", there was a death rate of only between .1% and .3%, as with seasonal flu.

#### D. STATUS OF RESPONDENTS AND THEIR PRINCIPALS

1. Respondents are all living men and women, who also operate as agents for the corporations indicated hereinabove. Respondents typically use all caps versions of their names when they are operating on behalf of a corporation. In addition to all caps names, respondent DeWine also uses the name Richard Michael DeWine when signing his current "oath of office", when registered with the Ohio Supreme Court as an attorney, and when submitting financial disclosure documents to the Ohio Supreme Court, although he signed one of the latter forms as Mike DeWine, on May 14, 2019. He also uses the name R. Michael DeWine, when operating as a member of DeWine Family Foundation, Inc., or DeWine Enterprises, Inc. Respondent DeWine is also known as Richard M. DeWine when purchasing real estate in Florida.

2. Respondent DeWine, using the name Richard Michael DeWine, claims to have taken an oath to the U.S. and Ohio Constitutions. His January 14, 2019 oath, which he is required by Art. VI Cl. 3 of the U.S. Constitution, to take as a condition of serving in his office of "Governor of Ohio", is defective. It reads as follows:

"I, Richard Michael DeWine, do solemnly swear that I shall faithfully and honestly discharge the duties of Governor of Ohio, and shall support, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Ohio, so help me God."

3. State of Ohio, also known as STATE OF OHIO is a corporation, not a state or republic. If STATE OF OHIO has a Constitution, respondent DeWine has merely taken an oath to support the corporate STATE OF OHIO constitution, not the original *de jure* Ohio Constitution, enacted in 1803 and never lawfully repealed.

4. By signing his "oath of office" with two Christian names, respondent DeWine has effectively not signed an oath of office to Ohio, the state and republic. According to Bouvier's law dictionary, names are divided into Christian names, such as Richard or Michael and surnames, such as DeWine. No man can have more than one Christian name. A letter put between the Christian and surname, as an abbreviation of a part of the Christian name, as, Richard M. DeWine, is no part of either.



5. Respondents DeWine and Randazzo are also BAR attorneys. They have taken an oath to support the precepts, bylaws, and codes of the American Bar Association [ABA]. The ABA is a subsidiary of the foreign corporation European International Bar Association. Respondents DeWine and Randazzo have also accepted, claimed, received or retained such emoluments as the title of attorney at law or esquire. They are therefore prohibited by the original Thirteenth Article found in the original Constitution for the united states of America, from holding any office of trust. Said original Thirteenth Article, unanimously ratified by all the states by 1824 and in Ohio on January 31, 1811, reads in full as follows:

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

Said original Thirteenth Article has never been repealed.

6. Respondent Harris is a corporate employee. Whenever he exercises some form of military authority while so employed, he is a mercenary. As such he has no authority on behalf of the people to impose non-consensual medical treatments against Claimant or any of the other people of Ohio

7. Respondent Harris has also taken an oath to constitutions for the corporations UNITED STATES and STATE OF OHIO according to corporate statute, but not to any Constitution for the Ohio Republic or to the 1787 U.S. Constitution.

8. The actions taken and being taken by respondents Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akins, Madden, Criss, and Harris, whether operating as living men and women or as legal fiction "persons", are based on false representations to the public that they are agents of a lawful government and of respondent DeWine, as lawful, Constitutional governor of Ohio. Respondent DeWine is not a lawful Constitutional governor of Ohio.

9. Neither respondent DeWine nor respondent Harris is a county sheriff elected by the people and accountable by oath solely to the people. Respondent DeWine, using the name Richard Michael DeWine, has taken an oath to the "Constitution of the State of Ohio", the corporation, but not to Ohio, the republic, which is its people.

10. Claimant is not an enemy of Ohio, the republic. Nor is Claimant an enemy of the corporate STATE OF OHIO, notwithstanding any corporate bylaw to the contrary, so long as agents of said corporation do not commit acts of war against him. Claimant, as one of the people of Ohio who cannot or will not wear masks, is not an enemy of the STATE or of the republic.

11. Respondent Harris has no lawful authority derived from his appointment by respondent DeWine. DeWine is not the lawful governor of Ohio, the republic. Nor does respondent Harris have any lawful authority from the currently alleged chief executive officer of UNITED STATES.

12. Respondent Harris, the living man, has no lawful authority to inject unconsenting Ohioans with anything. He has no such authority under any type of membership in a common law *posse comitatus* because no non-corporate sheriff appointed him to so serve. The original common law purpose of the *posse comitatus*, literally the "power of the county," was to acknowledge the power of the people's sheriff to call upon able bodied men of the county to keep the peace or pursue felons. Respondent DeWine is not a county sheriff and respondent Harris, in his role as a soldier for hire to the



corporation, is not one of the people of the county. People who cannot or will not wear masks are not felons even in the corporate *de facto* system.

13. Respondent DeWine also acts in a manner *ultra vires* to his corporate authority by violating corporate bylaw known as 18 U.S.C. Sec.1385. That bylaw concedes that "Whoever, except in case and under circumstances expressly authorized by the Constitution or Act of Congress, willfully uses any part of the Army or the Air Force as a *posse comitatus* or otherwise to execute the laws shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than two years, or both."

14. To the degree that respondent DeWine purports to act as a corporate legal fiction "person" and if he has been doing so primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of UNITED STATES or STATE OF OHIO, such acts have been and continue to be *ultra vires* by being contrary to the bylaws of respondent DeWine's principal's parent corporation, UNITED STATES, to-wit:

a. Respondent DeWine's acts in furtherance of his covid/5G operation, meet the parent corporation's definition of "domestic terrorism" per Title 18, Section 2331(5) of the US Criminal Code. Such acts are defined to include, without limitation, acts of intimidation, coercion and mass destruction.

b. Respondent DeWine also acts in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act [ADA], by aiding and abetting the principals of respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner in their acts of breach of contracts for public accommodation with Claimant. Claimant would sustain greater than average medical harm by such mask wearing. In order for respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner to have acted in conformity with the corporate ADA, Claimant would have had to have been a "direct threat" to them. The ADA would have required each said respondent to have made an "individualized assessment based on reasonable judgment that relies on current medical knowledge" before labeling any prospective customer of their principal, as a "direct threat". Claimant was not and is not such a "threat" to anyone. None of the aforementioned retail respondents or their agents are or were medically trained to make such assessments. Absent such assessments, the aforementioned respondents' acts interfering with Claimant's previously established rights as a party to public accommodation contracts, are also then *ultra vires*.

c. Respondent DeWine and his agents act in violation of the prohibitions upon them imposed by the First Amendment to the Constitution for the UNITED STATES by aiding and abetting the principals of respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner in their breaches of contracts with Christians, such as Claimant, who are required by their faith to face God with their faces unveiled. 2 Corinthians 3:18. The aforementioned respondents' refusals to sell to anyone not submitting to masking and/or "vaccination" constitute the threat that "no man might buy or sell, except he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name." Revelations 13:15-17, Holy Bible (KJV). Neither U.S. citizens of the corporation nor living men living outside the corporate state, such as Claimant, are required to give up their freedom to practice their religion by choosing to also participate in the market place, partake of the public square, or interact with "government".

15. To the degree that respondent DeWine and his agents have been operating, whether as men and women or as corporate agents, outside the territorial jurisdiction of the UNITED STATES or its subsidiary STATE OF OHIO, then their acts are without any authority either under either corporate bylaws called statutes, under common law, or pursuant to the Law of Nations.

16. When it is proven, by tacit agreement or by respondents' continuing to engage in the complained of unlawful conduct, that (a) the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation, being implemented worldwide, originates from outside the republic known as Ohio, or that (b) Trespassing Technology



rollouts aka the "Smart Grid", are also being implemented worldwide and originate from outside the republic known as Ohio; such actions, taken either separately or in tandem, constitute acts of warfare as well as assaults, batteries and trespasses against the men, women, boys and girls of the Ohio republic. Those subjected to said conduct include without limitation, Claimant Michael-David. Respondents are also interfering with existing contracts of the people (including Claimant). Such actions by respondents are at the direction of foreign powers, including without limitation, WHO, CDC, and others. WHO receives most of its financial support from Bill Gates, a man who has publicly admitted that he advocates vaccine use for "depopulation" purposes. The covid/5G operation also constitutes a trespass on the people's lives, health, contract rights, and property, by harming wild and domestic livestock, pollinating insects which affect the people's agriculture/food supply, right of privacy, well-being, freedoms, their rights to freely contract, and their rights to not be deliberately exposed to foreign electromagnetic radiation attacks. Respondents are hereby informed, as a courtesy, that such trespasses may also be considered acts of war against the people and grounds for Grand Jury indictments and presentments for treason and various common law crimes.

17. No unlawful action by any respondent can be rendered lawful because of his or her claimed fear that respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, or Harris either acting alone or through other agents or contractors of STATE OF OHIO have lawful authority to harm any other respondent or Claimant. However, no respondent is excused from performing under any contract with Claimant, or from liability for harming Claimant in any other way, by claiming he or she was in fear of retaliation from respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss or Harris. No respondent and none of his or her agents is excused from liability for unlawful acts harming Claimant on the basis that he or she was "doing his job" or "following orders".

18. For any respondent who has sworn a lawful oath of office to support and/or defend the original Constitution for the united States of America, and no conflicting oath to any "Constitution" of UNITED STATES, the corporation, or to STATE OF OHIO, the corporation, Claimant hereby accepts that oath of office. Respondent DeWine has sworn no oath to support the original Constitution for the united States of America or the original Constitution of Ohio. If he has not taken such oaths, respondent DeWine may not lawfully hold himself out as governor of Ohio, the republic, notwithstanding his loyalties to and status as officer in the corporation STATE OF OHIO. Claimant is not one of the corporation's assets but is one of the people of Ohio, the republic. The corporation STATE OF OHIO is foreign to Claimant and to the people of Ohio, the republic. Those whose allegiance is to a foreign power may not serve in positions of public trust. Common law does not recognize the *de facto* officer doctrine or the existence of any "necessity" justifying it.

#### IV. NOTICE OF LIABILITY

##### A. FACTUAL AVERMENTS REGARDING COVID ASPECT OF DEWINE'S COVID/5G OPERATION

1. The covid aspect of the covid/5G operation is based on research and associated patent application processes initiated at least as early as 1999 when Dr. Ralph Baric of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill was engaging in chimeric coronavirus research. This refers to the process, known as "gain-of-function" research, which artificially increases the transmissibility of a laboratory-manipulated virus known as a chimera. The group of viruses being studied were known as "coronavirus". By 2002 "gain-of-function" research was generally viewed in the field as a "gold mine" due to the ease of manipulating the viruses into something valuable. When a supposed coronavirus illness broke out in Asia in 2003, agents of CDC applied for patents on the virus as then known. The CDC patent covered its proprietary rights to the "disease", the virus itself, its detection, and all the measurement of it.



2. There were, however, legal obstacles to continuing the gain-of-function research while occupying territory subject to bylaws of the UNITED STATES corporation(s). 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101 prohibits the patenting of naturally occurring micro-organisms. Biological and chemical weapons treaties and other bylaws/statutes of UNITED STATES prohibit the patenting of manufactured micro-organisms. In the spring of 2007, CDC agents submitted a petition to the patent office seeking to keep its patent application private. The CDC secrecy petition was granted and its patent then enabled CDC agents to decide who would look at their patented virus, use it, detect it or develop a kit to measure it.

3. In 2014 the NIH (National Institute of Health) instructed those engaged in chimeric coronavirus "gain-of-function" research to discontinue that research. Instead, by 2015, in a series of transactions, using legal fiction entities, the chimeric coronavirus research was moved off-shore to the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Coronavirus research in the American states, however, continues, and continues even in Ohio, as recently as January, 2021.

4. On or about October 18, 2019, agents of WHO ["World Health Organization"] and of the affiliate corporation known as World Bank participated in one of the final "training exercises" known as "event 201" in which they planned the details of what would later be called a "pandemic". However, the so-called "covid crisis" does not meet the CDC's definition of "pandemic" because even the official "covid" death rate numbers amount to less than 1/35th of the death rate CDC uses in its definition of a pandemic. See also Sec. 1 (A)(8) hereinabove.

5. During March and April, 2020 respondents DeWine and Acton, and later Himes, followed by respondents Vanderhoff, Madden, Harris, McElroy, and Criss, embarked on their covid/5G operation. That operation includes without limitation, a course of conduct that follows WHO rhetoric. All respondents had reason to know their conduct in implementing the covid/5G operation would disrupt, and indeed has disrupted the economy and contract rights of the private men, women, girls, and boys of Ohio. Respondents' covid/5G program does in fact arouse public fear. Much of that fear is induced by the constant threat of armed attacks and abductions from corporate code enforcers known as "police" and "sheriffs" and from mercenaries such as respondent Harris.

6. Claimant has never consented to be in contract with respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, or Harris. There is no other basis for any of the aforementioned respondents or their agents to claim any right to order Claimant to do anything. No man or woman has the right to forcibly impose a contract upon any other man or woman. Claimant does not consent to the inclusion of respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, Harris or any of their agents as third parties to the contracts Claimant had with respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and/or Steiner.

7. Prior to the actions of respondent DeWine, Claimant had been and continues to be a party to lawful contracts with respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer and Steiner. Each said respondent offered products or services to the public of which Claimant as a living man, is a member. Claimant accepted said respondents' offers to contract when he first entered their principals' establishments and purchased products or services. Upon respondent DeWine's announcement of the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation, each of the immediately aforementioned respondents harmed Claimant by threatening to breach and by then actually breaching his or her contract with Claimant by proposing an additional term to said contract and then refusing to do business with him for rejecting the proposed additional contract term that would require Claimant to harm himself by wearing a mask. Claimant declined respondents' proposal to modify the contract. The aforementioned respondents then proceeded to breach the contract by refusing to perform their obligations thereunder at all and by prohibiting Claimant from entering their principals' establishments. Said respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer and



Steiner were each fully aware that Claimant would be harmed medically by mask wearing. Mask wearing was never part of the original contract each of the aforesaid respondents had with Claimant. Each said Respondent above named breached his or her respective contract with Claimant, while either acting alone or in concert with other agents of their respective principals or employers. Claimant has never excused said breaches.

8. Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowsk, Troyer and Steiner have at all time prior to initiation of the March, 2020 covid aspect of the covid/5G operation offered their products and services to the public in exchange for compensation. Claimant is one of the people who is a member of said public. Claimant had accepted said offers prior to the covid/5G operation, thereby creating contracts with each of said named respondents whereby said respondents would sell him their products and he would purchase them for an agreed sum. Many of the products Claimant has been purchasing cannot be reasonably accessed except from the businesses said respondents operate.

9. On or about May 25, 2020 at 12:30 p.m. respondent Menard, either acting alone or in concert with other agents of MENARD, INC. at the instructions of respondent Menard, directed all MENARD INC.'s agents to refuse to allow Claimant to enter its retail establishment to make purchases without a mask, forcing claimant to "wait" outside and then refusing to honor their contract with said Claimant. Claimant disclosed that he had medical reasons and religious objections to wearing a mask but Menard and his agents continued to refuse to honor their longstanding contract with Claimant, wherein MENARD INC'S offered product for sale to the public at large for marked prices. Claimant had accepted that offer and there was an ongoing contract, the continuing breaches of which continue to cause harm to Claimant for which he is entitled to compensation.

10. From June or July of 2020, Claimant was a party to a contract and course of dealing with respondent agents of GORDON FOOD SERVICE, INC. (GFS) and of O.E.MEYER CO., (Meyer). Following respondent DeWine's implementation of the covid/5G operation, Claimant was no longer able to do business with the agents of either GFS or Meyer because of their contract breaches. Following the actions of DeWine and to the present day, whenever Claimant attempts to make purchases from respondents Belden or from other Meyer agents, respondents Wolowski and Belden, directly or indirectly through agents, breach their contracts with Claimant and cause him financial harm. As Claimant has done with agents of MENARD's, he orally assured respondents Wolowski and Belden or their agents that he was entitled to a medical exemption and offered to show it to them but they refused to look at it. Prior to the actions of DeWine, Claimant had been doing business with respondents Wolowski and Belden without having experienced any breach of contract on their part.

11. Claimant has been doing business pursuant to contract with respondents Troyer and Steiner since April, 2016. On or about December 4, 2020 at approximately 2:30 p.m. respondent Troyer, while claiming to act on behalf of respondent Steiner and unnamed others, breached said contract with Claimant by refusing to cash his check inside the building or do any other bank business inside for the stated reason that Claimant was not wearing a mask even though he attempted to provide Troyer with a copy of his medical exemption. The breach of contract caused and continues to cause Claimant economic harm.

12. Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowsk, Troyer, Steiner and their agents have at all times orally attributed their acts of breach of contract to the threats being imposed upon them by respondent DeWine and his agents.

13. Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner, and their agents have gone and continue to go beyond merely breaching their contract with the people, of which Claimant is one. Although said respondents were aware of Claimant's medical and religious



objections, each of said respondents went beyond what respondent DeWine had proclaimed and breached his or her respective contract with Claimant despite knowing of his religious objections and that wearing a mask would harm Claimant medically.

14. Respondent DeWine, either acting alone or in concert with respondents Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris incited respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowsk, Troyer and Steiner to dishonor contracts with the men and women of Ohio, including without limitation, Claimant. Said inciting conduct by respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris was also accompanied by veiled threats of violence and seizure of assets against any who might refuse to adhere to DeWine's unlawful demands. Said threats were communicated to the Ohio public by DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, and other DeWine agents via television and internet. The stated plan is that the armed corporate code enforcers, including without limitation DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris and their agents, will use violence to enforce the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation against small business people and their agents, including without limitation respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowsk, Troyer, Steiner, Claimant and other Ohioans. Such acts of interference with contract, accompanied by assaults and other threats of violence were and are being carried out against Ohio men and women who would not or could not wear masks. Claimant Michael-David continues to be harmed by being forced to live under these constant threats of violence.

#### B. RESPONDENT DEWINE'S COVID RELATED "EXECUTIVE ORDERS" ARE NEITHER LEGAL NOR LAWFUL

1. Respondent DeWine began issuing so-called executive orders implementing the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation in March, 2020. The one referred to as EO 2020-01D was followed by many other EO's throughout 2020 purporting to require compliance with non-consensual medical procedures. No medical procedure may be lawfully imposed on a non-consenting man or woman. Nor may any such measures legally be imposed by non-medically licensed people without "informed consent" because to do so would violate corporate bylaw known as Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 2317.54, among others. Respondent DeWine issued EO 2020-01D on 3/9/2020 and EO 2020-33D on 8/8/2020 while the House side of the Ohio General Assembly was in session on both occasions. Respondent DeWine made no effort to allow the legislature to have any input as to the effective suspension of Ohio Rev. Code Sec. 2317.54 by DeWine's EO's.

2. Article 1, Sec. 18 of the Ohio Constitution of 1851 provides: "No power of suspending laws shall ever be exercised, except by the General Assembly." Article III, Sec. 8 provides: "The governor on extraordinary occasions may convene the General Assembly by proclamation and shall state in the proclamation the purpose for which such special session is called, and no other business shall be transacted at such special session except that named in the proclamation or message to the General Assembly issued by the governor during said special session" Respondent DeWine's "Executive Orders" fail to follow Constitutional directives to defer to the legislature. By so doing, all of respondent DeWine's "Executive Orders" are in derogation even of corporate authority and therefore *ultra vires*.

3. On or about June 11, 2020, respondents DeWine and Acton falsely informed the people of Ohio that the covid aspect of their covid/5G operation was based on "law" from the 1800's, or "very old law". The people of Ohio have never consented to being ruled by any foreign powers, such as the UNITED NATIONS or any other corporation.

4. There is in fact no law, either from the 1800's or from any other time period to suggest that the 1787 U.S. Constitution may be suspended when a man or woman occupying an office in corporate



"government" uses Executive Orders to claim there is a state of emergency. The 1787 U.S. Constitution specifies exactly how it may be amended but no such methods have been attempted by any respondent or agent or principal named herein. The 1787 U.S. Constitution, as well as the common law to which it refers, have not been suspended but have continued in full force and effect during the entire period in which respondents have been promoting the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation. Were any such law to exist, it would infringe on the natural, God given right of every human being, who has caused harm to no one, to not be harmed by the intentional act of another human being. It would also violate all Constitutional prohibitions upon all those acting as *de facto* government agents to infringe on the people's right to a republican form of government. The 1787 Constitution does not provide that it may be suspended even during so-called "martial law".

5. Respondent DeWine has chosen to ignore the limitations even of his corporate authority to force unwanted medical treatment on the people. Other agents of STATE OF OHIO have admitted that "[t]he right to refuse medical treatment" is "inherent in every individual," and that Article I, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution ensures that every Ohioan has the right to "personal security, bodily integrity, and autonomy"; *Steele v. Hamilton Cty. Cnty. Mental Health Bd.*, 90 Ohio St.3d 176, 736 N.E.2d 10 (Ohio 2000).

6. "Executive Orders" from a private, for profit corporation such as STATE OF OHIO, are not law. Respondent DeWine therefore has no authority to impose what he calls "Executive Orders" upon living men and women who are *sui juris* and have come of full age. Both the Ohio and the U.S. Constitutions concede that it is the *de jure* executive branch that is authorized only to enforce, not create "law". The members of the subsidiary known as the legislative branch of STATE OF OHIO have declined to enact "legislation" with regard to any aspect of the covid/5G operation.

7. The DeWine "Executive Orders" are based on the false notion that it is lawful for a group of men to harm a man if doing so might benefit another man. The DeWine "Executive Orders" are not law.

8. The "Ohio legislature", which services the corporate STATE OF OHIO has no authority to enact legislation that applies to living people without their consent. In particular no such enactments, if directed to "persons", not people, apply to Claimant, the living man. Corporate actors in general and the legislative actors in particular also have no jurisdiction over land that is not part of STATE OF OHIO or UNITED STATES corporate enclave(s). As the men and women employed by the corporate United States Supreme Court have repeatedly admitted: "Legislation is presumptively territorial and confined to limits over which the law-making power has jurisdiction." *New York Central R.R. Co. v. Chisholm* 268 U.S. 29, 31-32 (1925); All legislation is *prima facie* territorial.

9. Claimant herein addresses only acts or resulting harm that occurred and continue to occur, on land that is not part of either STATE OF OHIO or any federal corporate territory, as described by Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17 of the United States Constitution. Either as men or women, or as agents of the corporation STATE OF OHIO, respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris have no authority to impose their will or that of other agents of STATE OF OHIO upon any man or woman occupying territory which that corporation does not own.

10. Pronouncements from the foreign corporations WHO and CDC are merely the wishes of agents of foreign corporate powers. They are not law, regardless of whether respondent DeWine enshrines them in corporate policy he calls "Executive Orders". Respondent DeWine's actions in obeying directives from foreign corporate powers to impose non-consensual medical restrictions upon Claimant and other Ohioans further demonstrate that he is acting in the interests of such foreign powers, and not in the interests of Ohioans.



11. Respondent DeWine is also in violation of the Constitution of the corporate UNITED STATES which prohibits him, even as a "person" from seizing the property of any of the people without due process of law, due course of law, or just compensation.

12. A supposed disease is not a matter for the use of military force against the people. Agents of a UNITED NATIONS subsidiary corporation known as UNESCO concede that any medical procedure, preventative, or diagnostic measure may only be carried out with the "prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information." Art. 6, Sec.1, Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights. The parties agree that Claimant is not a "person" or "individual" within the meaning ascribed to such terms by agents of foreign corporate interests such as UNESCO. The parties further agree that such usage of the term "person" is an attempt to fraudulently convince the people of the world that foreign corporate interests such as UNESCO wish to protect them.

13. Neither respondent DeWine nor respondent Harris is medically trained or licensed by STATE OF OHIO but both plan to use the military to practice medicine non-consensually against the people of Ohio and to interfere with the people's statutorily acknowledged and God given rights as sovereigns to privacy in matters pertaining to their health.

14. All respondents have used the veiled threats contained in the DeWine "Executive Orders" to interfere with hundreds if not thousands of contracts in Ohio, including ones that benefitted Claimant and respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer and Steiner as set forth hereinabove. Respondent DeWine's said actions have produced the near destruction of the Ohio economy, the development of a climate of terror, the neglect of medical conditions not attributable to covid-19, and destruction of First Amendment rights of the people to be free of "governmental" interference with their God given rights to worship as they choose, among other effects. These actions are resulting in medical and financial harm to all Ohioans, including Claimant Michael-David.

C. RESPONDENTS' COVID/5G OPERATION USED TO JUSTIFY RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL, EMPLOYMENT AND MOST OTHER HUMAN ACTIVITY IS BASED ON (1) FALSE SCIENTIFIC PREMISES, (2) INVALID AND HARMFUL TESTING PROCEDURES, (3) HARMFUL AND INEFFECTIVE "PREVENTION" STRATEGIES SUCH AS MASKING, CURFEWS, SOCIAL DISTANCING, CONTACT TRACING, AND VACCINATION, (4) RIGGED STATISTICS, (5) RESULT-ORIENTED STAGING OF COVID-RELATED REPORTS, AND (6) RESPONDENTS' MAJOR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

#### 1. False scientific premises

a. All of the actions taken by respondents in implementing the covid/5G operation are based on the assumption that some kind of "novel covid virus" exists and has been isolated. The existence of the covid virus specifically has never been proven. The CDC admits that "no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available". Such isolates continue to not be "available".

(i) The microscopic particles that are being labeled as a "virus" are in reality human cellular debris, sometimes referred to as exosomes. Such particles may also contain viral fragments.

(ii) Application of the four (4) Koch postulates is the time-honored method of determining whether any microbe may be properly proven to have caused any so-called infectious disease. No such methods or procedures were or could have been followed to determine whether the so-called covid virus caused any disease. Since the so-called covid virus has never been isolated and purified, it would be impossible to use Koch postulates to adduce proof that any specific agent is causing disease. Koch postulates and procedures were not followed.

20 of 41



(iii) When a microbe of any type cannot be identified or isolated, no valid or reliable testing can occur. A PCR test only tests for the presence of genetic material in the form of RNA. However no results from such testing for the presence of a specific sequence of RNA is evidence that such material is or contains a microbe or virus.

(iv) There is no medical or scientific evidence that establishes causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the claimed symptoms of COVID-19. Respondents rely instead on foreign corporations' hearsay and conjecture.

(v) Since 2003, one of the subsidiary corporations of UNITED STATES, known as U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and its subordinate organizations, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and CDC, have maintained a patent effective through 2018, preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of any coronavirus transmissible to humans.

b. Another basic assumption that respondents' covid/5G operation depends upon is that the "germ theory of disease" is valid and reliable. The validity of that theory was disproven during the 19<sup>th</sup> Century. It was again disproven as a result of several empirical studies conducted in the early 20<sup>th</sup> Century. Although often present during disease processes, naturally occurring viruses are not alive and cannot cause disease.

(i) The "germ theory of disease" focuses on the activities of micro-organisms known to be present in the human body during both health and during disruptions in health. The germ theory considers the health of the human immune system to be irrelevant. Upholding the "germ theory of disease" is essential for promoting a false theory of contagion and the growth of pharmaceutical companies which manufacture antibiotics and vaccines.

(ii) The notion that human beings are capable of transmitting any bacterial, fungal or "viral" illness to others has been repeatedly disproven. During the 19<sup>th</sup> century, a non-physician known as Louis Pasteur created and later marketed the "germ theory of disease". By the time of his death Pasteur admitted that illness is an adaptive response by the body when its immune system becomes compromised, usually by toxins. "Germs" play a role not in causation, but in clearing the body of the debris created during this adaptive response.

(iii) Public health studies have established that it is impossible to cause illness even by having ill people supposedly suffering from a so-called respiratory viral epidemic to cough directly into the mouths of healthy people, or even by implanting nasal mucous from the ill into the healthy. Journal/Infectious Diseases Nov. 1919, Vol. 25 No. 5 pp., 419-426.

(iv) Nineteenth century scientists and physicians established that it is an acidic environment in the body that creates a hospitable terrain for illness as well as for the opportunistic proliferation of microbial organisms. Pasteur admitted near the end of his life that the germ theory was incorrect and that "the terrain is everything". Medical research in the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> centuries has affirmed that it is toxins, poor diets, and other immune-suppressing factors creating an acidic terrain that damage the immune system, thereby impeding the body from resolving the disease process. It is NOT the micro-organisms themselves that create the initially acidic environment.

(v) It is the very impossibility of causing disease by transmission of any naturally occurring pathogen that required that, in order to create disease in laboratories, microbes had to be artificially manipulated via chain-of-function research by individuals such as Fauci and Baric, as set forth at IV(A)(1) hereinabove.



## 2. Invalid and harmful testing procedures

a. Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris are promoting the RT PCR [polymerase chain reaction] tests for everyone. Yet said tests are incapable of establishing the presence or even existence of an "infection" with any "virus", let alone with what is being called the "covid" virus. The test was developed in the 1980's for forensic identification in crime scene analysis. It is not useful as a diagnostic tool because it is incapable of distinguishing between active infection and dead viral bits, DNA fragments, or exosomes. The test is known to produce up to a 94% rate of false positives. Even a 1% rate of false positive results would erroneously give the appearance that 1,168,910 of Ohio's 11,689,100 people were ill. Respondents do not claim such figures.

(i) Respondent DeWine's agents at CDC have issued a statement admitting that "Detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019-nCoV is the causative agent for clinical symptoms."

(ii) The RT PCR test is done by taking nucleotides, which are tiny fragments of DNA or RNA and replicating them until they become something large enough to identify. The replication is done in cycles, with each cycle doubling the amount of genetic material. The number of cycles required to produce something identifiable is known as the "cycle threshold" or CT value. The higher the CT value, the less likely it is that whatever is detected is significant and the more likely the result will be falsely interpreted as a positive. WHO agents admitted in December, 2020 that when specimens return a high CT value, it means that many cycles were required to detect virus and that distinguishing between "background noise" and actual presence of the target virus is difficult to ascertain. Anthony Fauci has admitted that testing using a CT value of 35 or greater is useless.

(iii) The inventor of the PCR Test, Kary Mullis, has stated that this test should never be used to diagnose any disease considered to be infectious and also stated that "with PCR, if you do it well, you can find almost anything in anybody."

(iv) The CDC has admitted that the testing it recommends can produce 33% false positives.

(v) The leaflets coming with the PCR tests expressly indicate that the tests are not approved to diagnose any infection, let alone the so-called covid virus. The test has been known to yield positive results on pieces of fruit.

(vi) Coronavirus testing cannot be connected to a virus unless the virus is purified. Otherwise there would be no way to know which DNA or RNA fragments or cellular debris was being tested. No such purification has to date occurred.

(vii) The PCR test is also readily adaptable, depending on the degree of cycling. If the CT is kept low, the test produces a greater number of negative results. That adjustment, as well, as adjustments to the diagnostic criteria, such as raising the temperature required for a positive diagnosis, can also be made simply by changing the diagnostic definitions medical providers are ordered to use. There is nothing in place currently to prevent respondent DeWine from changing CT settings or diagnostic definitions to manipulate either false positives or false negatives.

b. The administration of the test is completely unlike that used for most if not all other supposedly respiratory illnesses. A nasopharyngeal swab with a wire or plastic shaft is applied through a nostril to a depth equal to the distance from the nostrils to the outer opening of the ear and "until resistance is encountered", often with significant pain and frequent bleeding. Sometimes a second swab is then introduced through the other nostril if the first penetration did not result in sufficient secretions to suit the tester. Nasopharyngeal swabbing is known on occasion to cause the leaking of cerebrospinal fluid and significant post-testing pain. Extent of long term damage has not yet been assessed.



c. There is reason to believe that the test swabs are themselves contaminated.

3. *Harmful and ineffective "prevention" strategies such as masking, curfews, social distancing, contact tracing, and vaccination*

a. Masking, curfews, social distancing, contact tracing and vaccination again can only be justified by first assuming that a targeted "virus" exists and that it is capable of causing disease in the healthy. No such proof exists, as set forth hereinabove.

b. In the very early stages of the covid/5G operation, Anthony Fauci and other agents from WHO and other corporations announced that mask wearing was pointless and could do "more harm than good". Empirical studies show that patients with respiratory illness fare much better when constantly exposed to fresh air. Medical advisors around the world agreed. In April, 2020, without any new scientific discoveries to warrant the 180 degree switch, agents from WHO changed the narrative to one requiring masking for everyone.

(i) Since March, 2020, respondent DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, and Harris have been utilizing DeWine's "Executive Orders" and the heavily marketed, but scientifically disproven germ theory to induce the population to fear "covid". Said respondents are demanding that the people of Ohio wear face masks and falsely tell them that mask compliance is necessary to promote health. Those men and women who refuse to wear masks are routinely subjected to the stripping of certain of their contract rights and to violent abductions and detentions by armed agents of STATE OF OHIO.

(ii) Agents of STATE OF OHIO have been claiming authority from respondent DeWine's "Executive Orders" to threaten business establishments in Ohio and their customers with termination, with fines, with violent physical abductions of employees and customers, with threats of such abductions, and other sanctions for not wearing masks. Threatened businesses include, without limitation, the businesses being operated by respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner.

(iii) The wearing of masks is harmful to all human beings because the practice reduces available oxygen to the wearer and increases the wearer's exposure to highly elevated levels of carbon dioxide and the wearer's own exhaled waste products. Risk to human health rises with the increased length of time wearing the mask. Some human beings, such as Claimant Michael-David are more harmed by mask wearing than are others. Extended mask wearing is known to cause fatalities and near fatalities.

(iv) Part of respondent DeWine's Executive Orders contains what is referred to as a "medical exemption", which singles out men and women for whom mask wearing is deemed especially dangerous medically. Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris falsely claim that such men, women, boys, and girls who have a physician-confirmed exemption are not subject to the mask "order". Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner consistently ignore the so-called "medical exemption" and persist in breaching contracts with members of the public, including Claimant, who have been identified as especially susceptible to harm from mask wearing. Requiring masking constitutes a proposal for amendment to most prior retail contracts. Claimant has rejected the proposal for amendment presented to him by respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner. Such rejection keeps the original contract with the aforementioned respondents and their agents in place. Continued insistence on masking constitutes a breach of said original contract and a common law assault and battery upon Claimant. Such respondent conduct harms Claimant.



(v) A recent meta-analysis of scientific literature, including 11 randomized, controlled trials and 10 observational studies, found that there was no clear clinical or laboratory-confirmed evidence that masks prevent any disease.

(vi) Masking, whether using surgical masks, N 95 respirator type masks, or cloth masks has been known for many years to be ineffective in stopping the transmission of disease because the masks easily become clogged by particles inside and out. Most do not filter exhaled air. Contaminated masks are known to cause pulmonary fibrosis.

(vii) Masks are known to shed various substances that the wearer then breathes in. This includes fibers, which are irritating to the lining of the respiratory apparatus. Masks are also known to be contaminated with their own micro-organisms. Most of the blue masks which respondents approve for mass marketing for Ohioans to wear under coercion, are sprayed with polytetrafluoroethylene, a compound known to be highly toxic to living men and women.

(viii) Masking has also been known for many years to be harmful to human health. The risk of such harm has been serious enough that agents of government corporations administering the Occupational Safety Health Act [OSHA] regularly enforce such regulations as those set out at 29 CFR Sec.1910.134 to limit workplace masking for health reasons. Such regulations have required the people be medically checked beforehand to determine whether they could even tolerate the mask at all. OSHA requires that the mask wearer has access at all times to air that is 19.5% Oxygen and that the mask be changed every 20-30 minutes. Masks usually become contaminated within that time period with mildew and other substances harmful to living beings.

(ix) Masking forces the wearer to breathe in his own exhaled waste and dangerously high levels of Carbon Dioxide over extended periods of time. The health of all those who are forced to wear masks over extended periods of time is harmed to some degree. The harm that would be caused to the health of Claimant is greater than average.

c. There is no science to establish any public health benefit has ever been achieved by demands that the people remain six (6) feet apart from one another at all times. The discrediting of the "germ theory" explains why no such benefit could ever be expected. Respondents' acts of forcing compliance with such "social distancing" demands is psychologically degrading and is used to interfere with the people's right to peaceably assemble.

d. Related to respondent DeWine's use of the discredited "germ theory" are such measures as "social distancing", curfew and lockdown demands. Respondent DeWine on November 11, 2020 announced another so-called "Executive Order" imposing a curfew lockdown from 10 p.m. to 5 a.m. on the people of Ohio. There is no evidence that any so-called "virus" is only "dangerous" during specific hours of the day or night. The initial computer modeling used to justify lockdowns has been shown to be so badly flawed that experts admit that "no serious scientist gives any validity" to the conclusions drawn from such lockdown modeling. Even spokespersons from WHO do not recommend lockdowns.

e. Also in November, 2020, respondent DeWine created a "Dispute Resolution Commission", which includes, without limitation, respondents Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss, and Harris, to expand DeWine's efforts to manage the lives of Ohioans by threatening the men and women operating businesses with forced closings, threats of "arrest" and fines, and by implementing such programs as DeWine's contact tracing. Contact tracing includes the forced detention of asymptomatic people and the forcible ejection of men, women, boys, and girls from their family homes. Contact tracing has never been proven to promote health. But it does promote oppressive surveillance.



f. Respondent DeWine has been facilitating financial incentives for hospitals to place as many people as possible on ventilators. Ventilators are known to be associated with a 90% death rate. In one large New York study, the death rate among elderly patients placed on ventilators was 97.2 percent. A few conscientious physicians began to refuse to use them with covid patients because of their dangers.

g. Respondent DeWine also more recently has been threatening the people of Ohio, including Claimant, with coerced "vaccinations" as part of his "vaccine distribution plan", and related activities. There is no proof that any "vaccination" ever prevented infection with, or transmission of, any illness.

(i) The admitted goal of WHO is the promotion of universal "vaccination". Respondent DeWine has involved respondent Harris in furthering the WHO "vaccination" goal. Harris is an employee or agent of OHIO NATIONAL GUARD. Harris's role is to use force and the threat of imminent force against the people either now or in the near future, to achieve the CDC and WHO plan to coerce or force "vaccinations" on the people.

(ii) All vaccines are known to have caused harm and even death to some of those who receive them. There is evidence the so-called Spanish flu was caused by mass vaccinations deployed in 1918. The so-called "Spanish flu" was also precipitated by massive and relatively sudden promotion of radio wave technology and mass increases in the use of electricity in America and Europe. Other so-called epidemics have been known for decades to have been precipitated by massive vaccination. The deaths of vaccinated people were then attributed exclusively to the particular "disease" of the day. The distribution of the covid vaccine, just in the last month, has now resulted in a significant number of deaths and disabilities in Ohio.

(iii) Respondent DeWine is threatening all Ohioans with an imminent "vaccine" attack. Respondent DeWine's appointment of respondent Harris is evidence that he plans to use force and threats of force against Claimant and other Ohioans. Currently respondent DeWine claims that "vaccine" infliction will be consensual.

(iv) The current threat of imposition of "vaccinations" is based on scientific premises proven to be false during the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, and again, empirically during the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> centuries as increasing numbers of people have been maimed and killed by vaccines. Many covid "vaccinations" imposed just within the first few weeks of deployment have already caused large numbers of adverse events, including without limitation, Bell's palsy and significant numbers of deaths. All proposed vaccines are known to cause harm and sometimes death to those who receive them. Claimant has not yet been threatened with an imminent "vaccine" attack directed at him specifically, but respondent DeWine's November, 2020 appointment of respondent Harris, a general in the corporate Ohio National Guard, in connection with the management of a claimed disease, provides claimant with ample reason to fear that such an attack or a similar one is imminent.

(v) Major clinical trials of the COVID vaccine were sponsored by pharmaceutical corporations. The majority of such clinical trials were not designed to prevent serious illness, hospitalization, or deaths. Notwithstanding the "vaccine" industry's financial motives to report "vaccine" trials as favorable to the vaccine, new "vaccine" maker Moderna, recently conducted vaccine trials in which 100% of human subjects in the high-dose "vaccine" trial group experienced adverse reactions.

(vi) The so-called "vaccines" for "covid" are not actually vaccines at all within the meaning of the word set out in 26 U.S.C. Sec. 4132(a)(2) because they are not for prevention of disease. Agents of Moderna admit that what is being called a "vaccine" is in reality an experimental "gene therapy technology".

(vii) Many of those subjected to vaccination and consequent injury have been boys and girls. The parents of most vaccine injured boys and girls receive no compensation at all. Such parents suffer the liability by themselves. For those relatively few parents who have qualified to bring claims under the



federal corporation's Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, there were payouts totaling some 1.8 billion dollars as of 2011. By 2018 the reported figure had risen to 4 billion dollars. CDC's own numbers say that the rate of "autism" has risen from 1 in 30 to 1 in 10,000 over the course of only 29 years. Vaccination can cause neurological damage often labeled as "autism". In a CDC study by Tom Verstraeten, vaccines were found to be strongly linked to autism and other neurological harm.

(viii) No vaccine against "covid" has to date been approved by the Food and Drug Administration [FDA], a subsidiary of UNITED STATES, a corporation affiliated with respondent DeWine's principal, STATE OF OHIO. The creation and marketing of gene therapy masquerading as "vaccines" is fraudulent under common law. It also constitutes deceptive labeling contrary to 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1452. Agents of Pfizer, BioNTech, and Moderna rigged the design of their "vaccine" safety trials to make the "vaccines" appear safer than they were. Documents published by Pfizer and BioNTech show that people with a history of severe allergic reactions were excluded from the clinical "vaccine" trials.

(ix) Some of the "covid vaccines" are known to contain aluminum nano particles. Those particles do not belong in the brains of any living being. However, European studies establish that such particles are getting into the brains of tested animals and that Alzheimer's patients are found to have these aluminum nano particles in their brains. An actual vaccine is designed to cause an inflammatory response. That response can become chronic, thus leading to autoimmune conditions such as "autism".

#### 4. Rigged statistics

a. Since the beginning of the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation in March, 2020, respondent DeWine and his agents and principals have been feeding the corporate news media false information about hospitals being overrun with "covid" cases. Eyewitness accounts and large numbers of videos depicting idle hospital workers dancing together in empty hospital corridors confirm that Ohio hospitals were basically empty during March and April, 2020. And again, statistics show hospital bed use was declining in December, 2020.

b. Mortality and morbidity statistics throughout America are being manipulated by diagnosing almost every illness as "covid". Respondent Acton, with respondent DeWine's approval, has publicly stated that any and every medical condition possible should be diagnosed as "covid" or "covid" related. This strategy can and does result in reports of covid deaths from gunshot wounds and other non-pathogen related injuries and illnesses.

c. Genevieve Briand, assistant program director of the Applied Economics master's degree program at Johns Hopkins University, critically analyzed the impact that covid/5G operations have had on U.S. deaths. By comparing the number of reported covid deaths to the number of total deaths in the country, the Briand study found "the number of deaths by COVID-19 is not alarming. In fact, it has relatively no effect on deaths in the United States."

d. Using the CDC's own numbers, the Briand study determined that between 2018 and 2020 inclusive, there was a sudden decline in deaths observed for all causes other than covid. The study found that "This trend is completely contrary to the pattern observed in all previous years." It also found that "the total decrease in deaths by other causes almost exactly equals the increase in deaths by COVID-19."

e. According to a March 26, 2020 editorial in the New England Journal of Medicine co-authored by Anthony Fauci, Director of the U.S. NIAID and spokesperson for respondent DeWine's fellow agents in the corporate UNITED STATES, "[i]f one assumes that the number of asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic cases is several times as high as the number of reported cases, the case fatality rate may be considerably less than 1%. This suggests that the overall clinical consequences of Covid-19



may ultimately be more akin to those of a severe seasonal influenza which has a case fatality rate of approximately 0.1 %."

f. Respondent DeWine has direct financial interests in Pfizer, Moderna, Takeda and other pharmaceutical corporations which have been bidding on contracts to manufacture "vaccines". It is therefore in respondent DeWine's financial interests to help with the marketing of such "vaccines". In order for such a marketing campaign to be most effective, there would have to be a public perception that a particular "vaccine" is reducing incidence of "covid". Such perceptions may be fabricated by systemic over diagnosing of an illness targeted for "vaccine" marketing prior to "vaccine" rollout. Statistics supporting this perception are easy to fabricate given that the PCR test can easily be manipulated to under-diagnose conditions that would have previously been attributed to "covid", as described hereinabove at Sec. IV(C)(2)(vii) of the within Notice.

#### *5. Result-oriented staging of covid-related reports*

a. Respondent DeWine is facilitating payments that incentivize hospitals to report any illness as being caused by "covid". Hospitals are being paid over \$40,000 for every supposed "covid" diagnosis in which a ventilator is used and over \$13,000 for less severe hospitalizations. This is in addition to the 20% "add-ons" for any "covid" diagnosis billed.

b. The use of ventilators increases deaths from illness called "covid". Hospitals then are incentivized to attribute deaths precipitated by the financially driven misuse of the ventilator, to "covid". Deaths attributed to "covid" are then used to increase billings.

#### *6. Respondents' Major conflicts of interest*

a. Respondent DeWine is personally invested in pharmaceutical companies which stand to earn him profits so long as DeWine and his agents maintain the false public perception that a covid virus even exists. Respondent DeWine and his family have financial ties to such pharmaceutical companies as Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Abbott Laboratories, Glaxo Smith Kline PLC, and Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. All said corporations are heavily involved in the development and marketing of vaccines, patents, tests, and other products pertaining to what is being called the disease of "covid". Respondent DeWine is familiar with the assets in which he invests, which include without limitation, the various patent rights to modified forms of microbes such as those of the covid family. Since creating the "covid/5G" operation for Ohio, respondent DeWine has made at least one covid related presentation with Anthony Fauci, in March, 2020.

b. The circumstances creating the so-called "covid pandemic" were known to many people in the corporate and medical research worlds years before respondent DeWine began his covid/5G operation. As early as 1997, in an interview in George magazine, "vaccine" promoter Bill Gates claimed advanced knowledge that in 2020 there would be a lung attacking "virus" that would choke the "over-populated planet" to extinction.

c. In 2010 agents of the Rockefeller Foundation described a similar mass extinction scenario as part of their document "Scenarios for the future of Technology and International Development." This document included an operation called "Lockstep", which described a pandemic taking over the world which was to result in more authoritarian control from governments in developed countries.

d. Prior knowledge that there would be a disease outbreak is evidence of planning. As early as 2012, Anthony Fauci was heavily involved in "gain-of -function" research. That research studies how to engineer otherwise harmless micro-organisms so that they invade healthy human cells. That research was known to be very lucrative. In 2017 Fauci informed medical peers at a Georgetown forum that



there would "be a surprise outbreak during Trump's first term." In 2018, Fauci joined WHO's unit, Global Preparedness Monitoring Board (GPMB), to help the GPMB write its first annual report that developed the drills, simulation, and release of at least one "lethal respiratory pathogen." In September 2019, agents of the GPMB admitted that: "The United Nations (including WHO) conducts at least two systemwide training and simulation exercises, including one covering the deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen."

e. On October 18<sup>th</sup> 2019, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation partnered with the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security and the World Economic Forum on a high-level pandemic exercise known as Event 201. Over many years Gates had been an "Agenda Contributor" for the WEF and had also made donations to Johns Hopkins. Event 201 was a simulation on how the world would react to a coronavirus pandemic. The simulation depicted 65 million people dying, mass lockdowns, quarantines, censorship of alternative viewpoints under the appearance of fighting "disinformation", and even discussed the idea of arresting people who were to question the pandemic narrative.

f. None of the aforementioned corporations, nor Fauci or Gates themselves, are Ohioans.

g. Respondent DeWine has also received significant political contributions from the trespassing technology principals above named, i.e. AEP and Duke. AEP is the principal for respondent Akins and Duke is the principal for respondent Good.

h. On or about November 5, 2020 respondent DeWine appointed respondent Randazzo again, while still serving at PUCO, to also assist respondent agents of OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH with the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation in Ohio.

mo i. Among those involved globally in the covid aspect of respondent DeWine's covid/5G operation are Anthony Fauci, Bill Gates, and various agents of the corporations doing business as WHO and CDC. Fauci and Gates are among the men and women involved in genetically engineering said covid-19 virus or its precursor, and obtaining patent rights over said "virus" for purposes of marketing it as a biological weapon.

j. Respondents DeWine, Randazzo and Akins and Good have financial ties to American Electric Power, Inc. and Duke Energy Corporation and other corporations which, for financial gain, have been promoting so-called "smart" grids, 5G, and related wireless technologies even though they have had actual or constructive notice of its debilitating effects on the human immune system for many years.

k. Documented instances of rigging statistics uniformly in the direction of promoting the official "covid" narrative are evidence of underlying conflicts of interest.

l. Respondents DeWine and the DOH respondents are aware of and facilitate the distribution of financial incentives to "health care providers" and hospitals in exchange for reporting positive covid test results.

m. Respondents DeWine and the DOH respondents oppose and attempt to suppress information establishing even the existence of safe treatments, medications, and preventatives against illness being labeled "covid". These include without limitation, hydroxychloroquine, zinc, and Ivermectin.

D. RESPONDENTS RANDAZZO, AKINS, GOOD, AND DEWINE ARE "DEPLOYING" NON-CONSENSUAL TRESPASSING TECHNOLOGY WHICH HARMS THE PEOPLE'S BIOLOGICAL IMMUNITIES, THEREBY PREDISPOSING THEM TO BECOMING ILL



1. There is a global agenda to implement a so named "Smart Grid" operating since at least 2010. Said agenda includes but is not limited to the so named "smart meters", "advanced meters", non-transmitting digital meters and or related technologies with capabilities of emitting microwave frequencies and or transmitting detailed data relating to consumption of electrical, water, and/or gas components collectively referred to as "trespassing technology";

2. Utilities such as American Electric Power and Duke Energy, and/or its subsidiaries, affiliates, franchisees, contractors and agents, employ respondents Akins and Good to organize the mass, largely unconsented-to installation of so named "smart" or "advanced" digital utility meters and related network technologies throughout Ohio. Said wireless technology also emits high-energy density pulsed microwave frequencies harmful to biological organisms. The technology causes the emission of waste electricity in the form of voltage transients (aka "dirty electricity"). "Dirty electricity" is also harmful to the immune systems of biological organisms. Claimant has never consented to such exposures.

3. It had been known since at least 2010 that human exposure to the novel radiations produced by 2G and 3G was a significant factor in the development of cancers and other illnesses typical of compromised immune systems. People's immune systems are already being compromised not only by EMR but also by antibiotics, insecticides, radioactivity, pharmaceuticals, genetically modified "food", polluted water and air, and other harmful substances. Electromagnetic fields (EMF's) associated with 5G millimeter wave radiation, cause additional damage to the human immune system and activate voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs), producing five different effects:

- a. Excessive intracellular calcium
- b. Oxidative stress
- c. NF-kappaB elevation
- d. Inflammation
- e. Apoptosis (programmed cell death)

4. Artificially created electromagnetic radiation (EMR) is known to increase the pathogenic power of any virus, if any, by causing lung damage, chronic headaches, damage to the blood-brain barrier, fatigue, burning sensations in the lungs, thrombosis, loss of sensitivity to smell and taste, and a certain type of hypoxia that is unaccompanied by shortness of breath, even when oxygen levels have dropped into the 50% level. Normally the oxygen level should be between 94% and 100%.

5. Oxygen is maximally excited at 60 Ghz in the same manner that water in a microwave is excitable at 2.4 GHz, creating heat. The wavelength used for 5G is 60 GHz. 5G technology is known to negatively affect the body's ability to absorb oxygen. Illness that is being called "covid" is often characterized by hypoxia without shortness of breath. 5G prevents hemoglobin from taking up molecular oxygen because the platelets stack when exposed to 5G, thus preventing blood cells from taking in the oxygen they need to survive. The stacking also causes high blood pressure and coronary blockage, resulting in heart attacks from lack of oxygen and the platelet stacking phenomenon. Trespassing technology generally harms the immune system.

6. Respondents DeWine, Randazzo, Akins and Good have been authorizing the installation of trespassing technology antennas in Ohio without having first required any safety testing. The aforementioned respondents have been authorizing the installations to be done by force and stealth. Said respondents have increased these trespassing technology installations during the DeWine imposed "covid" lockdowns, often near schools, and without drawing public attention. 5G installations, both terrestrial and aerial, are capable of producing external electromagnetic signals that can seriously interfere with the human body's natural ability to regulate its processes. Electromagnetic fields generated by said technologies are known to interact with functions of the human body.



7. Numbers of deaths occurring due to an assortment of causes have been amplified by 5G trials, which lower immunity and diminish resistance to pathogens. Deaths caused by a sudden power surge from 5G antennas have been falsely been attributed to "covid".

8. Approximately ten-thousand 5G antennae were installed and activated in Wuhan in 2019, with approximately 75 to 80% of these installed and activated in the last 2 ½ months of the year. The supposed "covid epidemic" was first detected near the beginning of that 2 ½ month period and became vastly more severe, with extremely large increases in numbers of cases and in reported deaths by the end of 2019. South Korea, which became the site of the worst "covid" epidemic outside of China, has large numbers of 5G antennae all over the country. The Milan area of Italy, the worst epicenter for "covid" in Europe also is a 5G center. The worst area in the U.S. for "covid" has been Seattle, a major 5G area.

9. In spite of repeated demands since 2010 for industry to conduct meaningful studies of the impact of smart meters and 5G technology on human health, industry agents continue to refuse to conduct any such tests except for the thermal effects testing done some 30 years ago.

10. Respondents DeWine, Akins, and Good have been instrumental in the implementation of the 5G version of trespassing technology in Ohio since at least early 2019. Respondents persist in their smart meter and 5G rollouts in locations over which neither they nor their corporate employers have any proprietary interests.

11. Respondent Randazzo has utilized his position with the corporation PUCO to facilitate the rollout of trespassing technologies. He has consistently voted to approve the rate increases sought by Akins and Good that were needed to charge members of the Ohio public, including Claimant, with the costs of so-called smart grid upgrades to their principals' electrical infrastructure.

12. None of respondents or their agents ever provided Claimant with any notice of the increased levels of radiation to which he is being exposed throughout his travels within Ohio, or of respondents' plans to install more trespassing technology closer to his home. Such planned future installations are likely to render Claimant incapable of escaping the radiation even during sleep. Said rollouts now have and will continue to have adverse biological effects on Claimant.

13. Trespassing technology rollouts have been imposed without informed consent from the public or from Claimant Michael-David. Smart meter/5G effects are being imposed upon Claimant without his prior knowledge or consent. Respondents DeWine, Randazzo, Akins, Good and others who claim to have taken oaths to the Constitution, are prohibited by the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment to said Constitution from committing such assaults against Claimant without the express consent of Claimant or of the living occupants of the Ohio homes and businesses which he frequents.

14. Trespassing technology and related infrastructures are either mounted on or adjacent to private property, sending radiation into the bodies of living men and women, without first acquiring their explicit consent.

15. Respondents DeWine, Randazzo, Akins, and Good are implementing Trespassing Technology upon Ohio homes and businesses, even when the home or business owner attempts to physically resist the installations. Trespassing technology creates a previously non-existent biological vulnerability and diminishes private dwelling and or workplace security by functioning as an unsecured wireless digital gateway into the private dwelling and/or workplace. The living human beings occupying the private dwelling and or workplace become subject to whatever are the desires of a utility, government, or hacker who wishes to remotely interrupt or control the supply of energy to



appliance(s) within the private dwelling and or workplace, or to the entire private dwelling and/or workplace itself. Said unconsented to installations result in medical harm and loss of rights.

16. Trespassing technology can create measurable voltage transients, also known as dirty electricity, on existing electrical wiring, at levels that are harmful and damaging to all biological organisms. As a result of wasted energy caused by voltage transients due to trespassing technology, ground voltage has also been measured to be increased in areas where trespassing technology is deployed. Such increase in ground voltage is also harmful and damaging to living people as well as to all biological organisms.

17. Trespassing technology transmits pulsed microwave radiation into and throughout private property, including but not limited to bodies of living men and women and their sons and daughters, without first acquiring their explicit consent. According to a vast body of published science, microwave radiation is proven to be harmful and damaging to all biological organisms, down to the cellular and molecular level, at relatively low power densities. Electromagnetic frequencies, when emitted from microwaves and x-ray machines, are shielded from human contact. Cell phone manuals warn that a significant distance must separate one's body and the device. Trespassing technology has been measured to emit pulsed radiation between hundreds and tens of thousands of times greater intensity than cell phones alone, and as direct result, there are at least thousands of reports of individuals suffering functional impairment and or illness since the installation of trespassing technology where they live.

18. Trespassing technology emits radiation without any warnings. Without any shielding, this exposes people living or working in any structure equipped with said technology, to undisclosed electromagnetic radiation emissions and puts them in tangible and high risk of harm and injury.

19. Industry claims that trespassing technology is "safe" or "harmless" are false and misleading, as industry members admitted under oath to Senator Blumenthal during a 2/7/19 Congressional hearing. Industry executives admitted that there were no health or safety studies supporting such self-serving assertions; and are based on training, propaganda techniques and the time-averaging of pulsed emissions, rather than the emission values of the pulses.

20. Any safety claims respondents DeWine, Akins, Randazo, and Good and their agents may assert are not based on science. The problem of harm from voltage transients remains unaddressed by power companies including, without limitation, AEP and Duke Energy. AEP and Duke are the principals for respondents Akins and Good respectively. Several thousand scientific studies have concluded there are biological effects from exposure to electromagnetic frequencies at power densities lower than is emitted by trespassing technology. Industry claims that trespassing technology is harmless, are based only on 30 year old thermal effects. Industry "studies" are designed to be self-serving and fraudulent. There are some eight highly replicable findings showing that "safety guidelines" promoted by so-called "regulatory agencies" do not predict biological effects and do not, therefore, predict safety.

21. Health effects of 1G, 2G, 3G, and 4G technologies have been studied for a few years. Such studies demonstrate that exposure even to such lesser levels of electromagnetic frequencies result in sperm and testicular damage, neuropsychiatric effects, including changes to electrical activity in the brain, cellular DNA damage and calcium overload.

22. A significant number of corporate government agencies have released statements confirming that agencies such as the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Health Canada and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation (ICNIRP) have health and safety guidelines for microwave radiation exposure which are insufficient for functioning as a guideline, because they are



based solely on thermal effect, and do not take into account any of the body of several thousand published, peer-reviewed scientific studies showing harmful non-thermal effects. These studies include, but are not limited to:

a. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1993: The FCC's exposure standards are "seriously flawed." (Official comments to the FCC on guidelines for evaluation of electromagnetic effects of radio frequency radiation, FCC Docket ET 93-62, November 9, 1993.)

b. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 1993: "FCC rules do not address the issue of long-term, chronic exposure to RF fields." (Comments of the FDA to the FCC, November 10, 1993.)

c. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 1994: The FCC's standard is inadequate because it "is based on only one dominant mechanism — adverse health effects caused by body heating." (Comments of NIOSH to the FCC, January 11, 1994.)

d. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002: Norbert Hankin of the EPA's Office of Air and Radiation, Center for Science and Risk Assessment, Radiation Protection Division, wrote: "The FCC's current [radiofrequency/microwave] exposure guidelines, as well as those of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection, are thermally based, and do not apply to chronic, non-thermal exposure situations."

e. Respondent DeWine's fellow corporate agents in the U.S. Department of Interior published a 2014 study proving the existence and extent of massive biological effects of trespassing technology throughout the natural world. That study documented "[bird] nest and site abandonment, plumage deterioration, locomotion problems, reduced survivorship, and death.... The electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) continue to be based on thermal effects, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today." Respondent DeWine's agents in the FCC have admitted in the FCC mission statement that this corporation masquerading as a governmental agency has no plan to protect human beings from biological and medical harm caused by radio frequencies, or even to study the nature of that harm. The insurance industry refuses to insure big telecom industry against liability for the harm trespassing technology causes. The wireless industry continues to refuse to get independent 5G testing and the FCC and other so-called regulatory agencies have refused to require such testing. EMF "safety guidelines" which are supposed to protect the people from health impacts of EMF radiation have been shown, based on eight different types of highly replicated studies, to massively fail to predict biological effects. They therefore fail to predict safety. Consequently, there is no evidence whatsoever of 5G safety and much evidence of lack of safety.

23. Claimant is also harmed and endangered by respondents' approval of trespassing technology because the smart grid, which said trespassing technology requires, renders all the people of Ohio and elsewhere, susceptible to foreign cyber attacks. Claimant's increased exposure to such attacks harms Claimant currently and threatens to harm him more in the future.

24. Respondents Akins, Good and their agents have been facilitating the implementation of the so-called Smart Grid by publicly disseminating false information regarding privacy, cost, safety, health, security, billing modifications and other various concerns or considerations created by the so called "Smart Grid".

25. Respondents Randazzo, Akins, Good and other utility owners/employees, government officials, lawmakers, public health officials, and other agents have developed a 5G propaganda campaign



promoting the notion that trespassing technology is safe. The so-called corona virus creates plausible deniability going forward, for harm to living beings caused in reality by trespassing technology.

26. Implementation of the so named "Smart Grid" and/ or some of its components, does in fact cause harm of various kinds. Claimant has not yet been subjected to the non-consensual installing of such meters at his home, but is subjected to wireless radiation when traveling throughout Ohio. He has reason to fear that such installations closer to his home are imminent because of the financially driven agendas of Akins, Good, Randazzo, and DeWine. The imminence of said 5G and smart meter installations in Claimant's area of Ohio threaten to prevent him from having any escape from the radiation.

27. Wuhan, China is the acknowledged epicenter of reports of a "covid" epidemic. It is also China's first 5G "smart city" and is the location of China's first 5G highway where 5G radiation has been used to test self-driving conveyances. Approximately Ten Thousand 5G antennae were installed and activated in Wuhan in 2019, with approximately 75 to 80% of these installed and activated in the last 2 ½ months of the year. The "covid epidemic" was reported as first detected near the beginning of that 2 ½ month period. Large increases in numbers of "covid" cases and deaths were reported by the end of 2019.

28. South Korea reported the highest rates of "covid" outside of China by mid-March, 2020. South Korea has large numbers of 5G antennae throughout the country.

29. The Milan area of Italy, reported the highest rates of "covid" in Europe. That area is also a 5G center.

30. In early 2020, the Seattle area in Washington reported the highest rates of "covid" in the land mass known as United States of America. The Seattle area is also a major 5G area.

31. New York City soon replaced Seattle as reporting high rates of "covid". That area of New York had become another 5G site.

32. The cruise ship industry has been a heavy user of trespassing technology since at least 2019. Accessibility to the smart grid has been a selling point for such cruise lines. Cruise ships then became sites for what are being called "covid" outbreaks. In February, 2020 the Diamond Princess cruise ship reported that some 22% of its passengers were assigned "covid" diagnoses, with 14 people reported as having died. At that point, the cruise ship outbreak was the largest cluster of "corona" cases outside China. Other cruise ships, which were also using 5G, reported large "covid" outbreaks during the same time period or soon thereafter. In April, 2020 CDC banned all cruise ships from sailing. In November, 2020, when Sea Dream Cruiseline resumed sailing, and using 5G on its ships, it found that even requiring multiple negative PCR tests before boarding was not enough to stop reports of so-called "covid".

33. 5G radiation harms the immune system in a number of ways that also pertain to the "covid" operation. Wireless radiation such as 5G increases the stress hormone cortisol, reduces melatonin, and can cause cytokine storm. A cytokine storm is an uncontrolled hyper inflammatory response. It is often fatal *and very common with deaths attributed to "covid"*. Large immune responses or inflammatory responses are known to have causes other than microbes or viruses. A more accurate explanation is that they are a response to man's exposure to EMF radiations to which human bodies have never adapted or been given even an opportunity to adapt.

34. Those suffering the most serious forms of "covid" typically have a form of what is considered to



be pneumonia. In typical pneumonia, the lungs become filled with fluid. But in "covid" there is little fluid in the lungs, at least in the early stages of the illness.

35. It has long been known that when a fundamentally new electrical technology is introduced, there is a resulting increase in life-threatening illness. [3/12/20 Health and Human Rights Summit, Tucson, Arizona, lecture, Dr. Thomas Cowan]

36. All artificial EMR interferes with the gating mechanism for calcium in our cells. This interference results in the accumulation of calcium in the cells, which sets off a huge destructive chain of events, in particular, a decrease in the free calcium levels in the blood. As the blood calcium is crucial for the coagulation pathways, the result is cellular destruction alongside blood clotting problems and unusual bleeding, as was observed in previous major "influenza" pandemics. As early as 1779, the use of primitive electrical devices with human subjects resulted in deaths.

37. The 1918 Spanish flu began at U.S. naval bases and ports upon the use of high intensity radar there. The use of worldwide radar signals grew exponentially, and along with this expansion, the Spanish flu so-called "pandemic" spread rapidly around the world, even appearing in places that had no contact with infected travelers.

38. A 1956 "flu pandemic" directly followed the introduction of high-intensity radar installations off the coast of Alaska, Cape Cod and New York Harbor. For the first time, the entire globe was subjected to a level of radar waves never before experienced on earth. Within months of these installations going on line, the 1956 pandemic began.

39. In 1968 the so-called "Hong Kong flu" pandemic swept the globe. This occurred about eight months after the first satellites in the earth's Van Allen radiation belt became operational. "Hong Kong flu" involved blood clotting problems and unusual bleeding.

40. The introduction of 5G millimeter waves the last couple of years affects human calcium channels in a particularly damaging way because the kind of pulsed waves employed by the 5G signal as opposed to the "normal" EMFs to which people have grown somewhat accustomed.

41. The level of harmful radiation that can be turned on in any given area can be adjusted remotely. Respondents Akins and Good are in control of directing increases in electrical activity towards any part of any service area controlled by their principals, AEP and Duke, respectively. As respondents DeWine, Randazzo, Good, and Akins continue to install small cell 5G antenna areas in phased arrays, a hacker or other "malignant operator" would easily be able to upload software to the array to alter its function and make it a weaponized device. The hardware is completely transformed by software. Covert access to and ability to modify databases is already known to have occurred. Such software already has been used to either hide or reveal such deeply embedded nefarious capabilities of the technology's hardware.

42. According to a June, 2019 report to the White House, the Chinese corporation Huawei Technologies Inc., though banned, had, since 2009, maintained covert access to some of the systems it had installed for international customers. Agents of UNITED STATES have admitted that "Huawei does not disclose this covert access to customers nor local governments." This covert access enables Huawei to record information and modify databases on those local systems. Huawei software is particularly likely to contain flaws that could be leveraged by hackers for malicious use. Over half of the nearly 10,000 firmware images encoded into more than 500 variations of enterprise network-equipment devices tested by researchers contained at least one such exploitable vulnerability. Wireless technology remains a constant threat to the peace and security of the people, including without limitation, Claimant.

34 & 41



## V. ABATEMENT OF ERRORS AND OMISSIONS

If the Respondents discover any errors or omissions, legal or otherwise, in or related to this instrument, said respondents are required to provide written Notice to the Claimant at the required postal location of witness Tammy Lacey identified at parag. I(O) hereinabove and VII (F) hereinbelow. Such Notice by Respondents shall be by Registered or Certified Mail, return receipt requested, with a point-by-point description of any such errors and omissions or defects for correction within three (3) days of receiving this Notice or forever admit the lawful execution of this Notice as a matter of public record. If additional time is required for responding, a request must be received by the Claimant in the prescribed form at the postal location for said witness Tammy Lacey, as specified at parag. I(O) herein within the three (3) days allotted or be forever barred or collaterally estopped from contesting any fact or allegation of fact or law contained in this Notice and Contract.

## VI. TRESPASS UPON PRIVATE CONTRACT

Any collateral attack on this Contract is in bad faith and constitutes a common law trespass to Claimant's rights and/or a common law tort or crime.

## VII. AGREEMENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS

A. EFFECT OF SILENCE: If you agree with all of the statements herein, a response is not necessary. If any Respondent chooses to remain silent, such Respondent agrees and accepts all of the terms, statements and provisions herein as their complete understanding and agreement with Claimant and his or her waiver of any and all immunities, rights, remedies and defenses of protest, objection, rebuttal, argument, appeal and controversy for all time.

B. EFFECT OF CONTINUING INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT RIGHTS: Any respondent who continues to be in breach of contract rights, as well as any respondent who continues to interfere in any manner with the contracts set forth hereinabove, will also be deemed to have accepted the offers to contract contained in the within Notice and therefore need not respond.

C. TACIT AGREEMENT: You may consent to the provisions of the within contract offer by admitting to all statements and claims in this Notice by simply remaining silent. You may also consent by continued interference with claimant's right to contract, or by continued acts in furtherance of the covid/5g operation.

D. SELF-EXECUTING: This Contract is instantly self-executing upon your failure to respond or by your actions as defined hereinabove. Respondents agree to be bound by all of the terms of the Contract commencing on the date of default and to confess judgment in any common law court or corporate tribunal.

E. NOTICE OF DEFAULT: Claimant acknowledges that Respondents are entitled to a Notice of Default. In consideration thereof, Respondents agree to accept a Notice of Default as Binding Administrative Judgment or Common Law Judgment certifying Respondents' agreement with all terms, statements, facts and provisions in the Contract. Since Judgment is issued when a party waives the right to respond, all parties to this Agreement agree to be bound in perpetuity by any and all such Judgments which may be issued regarding the Contract.

F. TIME FOR RESPONSE: **RESPONSE MUST BE BY THE EFFECTIVE DATE, WHICH IS TWENTY-EIGHT (28) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE AND THE CONTRACT CONTAINED THEREIN. RESPONSE MUST BE BY CERTIFIED OR REGISTERED MAIL, RESTRICTED DELIVERY, TO THE POSTAL LOCATION OF THE WITNESS:**

35 of 41



Tammy Lacey,  
[c/o] 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820].

Please govern yourself accordingly.

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

A. The within Notice, together with attached exhibits and Sworn Declaration, is being served upon all respondents above named. The contents of the within Notice constitute full disclosure of the actions and facts supporting respondents' liability to Claimant. This Contract supersedes any and all prior agreements, whether expressed or tacit, between any named respondent and Claimant.

B. Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris have committed common law torts and crimes against Claimant Michael-David by interfering with Claimant's contracts with respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner. Respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris have also utilized their covid/5G operation to harm Claimant's ability to supply his own basic needs and thereby and through veiled threats of violence and medical harm, have infringed and continue to infringe, on Claimant's right to earn a living and his right to freely enter into contracts.

C. Respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner have breached their contracts with Claimant by unilaterally creating a "mask wearing" term and condition which would have modified said contract without claimant's consent, and then by refusing to do business with him when he refused to allow himself to be medically harmed by respondents' new, proposed contract terms. Said respondents were at all times fully aware that they had no right to demand that Claimant harm himself medically with a mask and that each respondent's acts in breach of the pre-existing contract with Claimant would cause him severe financial harm and interfere with his ability to provide for his basic needs. Compensation for such harm is in the currently approximate amount of \$1000.00 per day commencing March 1, 2020.

D. Men and women who commit common law crimes, torts, and contract breaches while acting as agents of corporations are entitled to no immunity for that reason. They remain liable for such crimes and torts that harm others. Respondents have each caused harm to Claimant without his consent, as set forth hereinabove.

E. Any man or woman, regardless of whether he or she is acting on behalf of a corporate principal, has the duty to avoid causing or exacerbating harm to others. Any man or woman who fails to act upon his or her knowledge and either causes or refuses to mitigate harm to another, is liable for all harm caused by his/her actions or failures to act. Some or all of the various forms of harm respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris have been causing by implementation of the covid/5G operation constitute torts and trespasses to Claimant's right to not be harmed. They are compensable by law.

F. It is a fundamental principle of law that nobody is above the law. Claimant and the common law do not recognize the existence of any concept of government immunity for the actions of its corporate actors because no corporation has sovereignty. Respondents have not performed the duties of their offices in good faith, as set forth hereinabove. Those of respondents' fellow agents who speak on behalf of UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT have conceded that men and women acting as if they were public officials may be held liable for required actions they perform or fail to perform. *Millbrook v. U.S.* 477 Fed. Appx. 4 (2012).



G. For any respondent under oath to the United States Constitution, any act by him or her implementing either the covid or 5G aspect of respondent DeWine's covid/5G operation without the specific written, informed consent of Claimant, constitutes an act of Treason, for which compensation must be paid.

H. There is no bond of record in existence, nor any source of insurance coverage or other indemnification that would compensate Claimant for the harm caused from March 1, 2020 to date by the acts of respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris in interfering with Claimant's contract rights, or by acts of respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner for their breaches of contract with Claimant during the same period of time.

## IX. FEE SCHEDULE

A. CONTINUING HARM: A fee schedule is included herein for the purpose of compensating Claimant for past and continuing harm. The liabilities set forth in the within schedule, as to respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner, are based on breach of their contracts with Claimant Michael-David. The liabilities set forth in that schedule as to respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris are based on their multiple acts of interference with said contracts and other trespasses as set forth herein.

B. PERSONAL LIABILITY: Claimant herein is entitled to compensation against respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris for their acts of treason, as well as for their assaults, batteries, and ongoing acts of contractual interference and related trespasses. A fee schedule to compensate Claimant for past and future acts is set forth hereinbelow. It is understood that said schedule applies to all respondents as living men and women, not as corporate actors.

C. HARM CAUSED BY ACTS OF TREASON: Upon a finding, admission, or judgment that respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris are oath takers and committed acts of treason against Claimant, the parties agree that Claimant is entitled to judgment in the further sum of \$500,000.00 United States Dollars against each said respondent.

D. HARM CAUSED BY TRESPASSING TECHNOLOGY: A fee schedule of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) per day commencing March 1, 2020 for any trespassing technology installed within a quarter mile of the Claimant's private dwellings and or workplaces, shall be due and payable from respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris to the Claimant. Each aforementioned respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be due and owing by each said respondent, jointly and severally, upon any finding or judgment of liability.

E. HARM CAUSED BY COVID OPERATION: An additional fee schedule of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) per day commencing March 1, 2020 for all acts in furtherance of the covid aspect of the covid/5G operation, shall be due and payable from respondents DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud, McElroy, Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Madden, Criss and Harris to the Claimant. Each aforementioned respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$1,000.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be due and owing by each said respondent, jointly and severally, upon any finding or judgment of liability.


37 & 41



F. BREACH OF CONTRACT: A fee schedule of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) per day for each act of breach of contract committed by any of the respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner either individually or via any other agent of the principal of any the aforesaid respondents. Each aforementioned respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for said daily sum of \$500.00 United States Dollars, said sum to be due and owing by each said respondent, jointly and severally, upon the finding and judgment of liability. Each said respondent may discharge part of said fee at his or her election by means of an in-store credit in such sums to Claimant.

G. FAILURE TO PAY: In the case of failure to pay any fees within thirty (30) days of presentment of a Bill, you agree that your property wherever situated is subject to lien, levy, distraint, distress, certificate of exigency, impound, execution and all other lawful, equitable, and/or commercial remedies.

H. ABSOLUTION OF LIABILITY: Respondents are hereby prohibited from directly or indirectly seeking recoupment of losses incurred due to any terms of this Contract, from their customers or constituents. Any respondent will be absolved of all liability, including all outstanding amounts billed, when all trespassing technology equipment is removed from the quarter mile radius of Claimant's home and upon respondent DeWine's public announcement of the termination of the covid/5G operation as defined herein and the actual termination of said operation.



Michael-David; House of Plaster

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT  
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**

**CLAIMANT'S SWORN DECLARATION**

The undersigned Michael-David; House of Plaster, having first been duly sworn upon his oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

*Status and Standing*

1. Claimant Michael-David; House of Plaster, the living man, having been found to have come of full legal age, is competent to state the matters set forth herein.
2. Claimant has knowledge of the evidence supporting the facts stated herein.
3. All the facts herein are true, correct, complete and admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, Claimant or other witnesses would testify to their veracity.
4. Terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms as set forth at Sec. I(A) of the Notice to which this Declaration is attached.
5. Claimant Michael-David hereby reserves his acknowledged right to "define the moral, political, and legal character" of his life, as is conceded in the corporate legal system to be his right per Executive Order 13132 Sec. 2(d) (8/10/99).
6. Claimant is not a legal fiction "citizen", "person", "resident" or employee of the entity variously known as UNITED STATES, united States of America, United States of America, UNITED STATES



CORPORATION COMPANY, its subsidiaries, affiliates, franchisees or contractors, whether or not known by other names and legal fictions.

7. Claimant has rescinded by separate Notice, all voter registration documents he may have ever unwittingly signed. He has never participated in any election for U.S. senator or any other act from which could conceivably support any false presumption that Claimant is or ever was a 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment legal fiction U.S. citizen.

8. Claimant may not be considered to be chattel property, a transmitting utility, a British or Vatican subject, employee of the STATE OF OHIO corporation or the UNITED STATES corporation (28 USC 3002 section 15 A), an employee of any corporate tribunal, or of any other corporate governmental entity.

9. Claimant is not a *cestui que vie* trust, a vessel, lost or misplaced cargo, a corporate fiction "person", a corporation, a ship, a dead body, a patient, a client or a slave.

10. Claimant is one of the people or their posterity.

11. Claimant reserves his right to choose when to allow his all caps name entity be in contract with a corporation and when to refuse to do so. *Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe*, 455 U.S. 130, 144-148 (1982)(to presume that a sovereign forever waives the right to exercise one of its powers unless it expressly reserves the right to exercise that power in a commercial agreement turns the concept of sovereignty on its head.")

12. Claimant hereby affirms that he does not live or work in any federal territory of the District of Columbia, or of the corporate "United States". Therefore no statutes of UNITED STATES or of its subsidiary, STATE OF OHIO, have any authority over Claimant or the contracts he has had with respondents Robles, Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner, which have all occurred outside federal corporate territory. Claimant's use, if any, of the United States Postal Service to receive or send mail is under threat, duress and coercion per federal statute asserting that this service must be used rather than private commercial delivery services. The receipt of mail addressed with two letter capitalized federal zone abbreviations (e.g. "OH") or numeric federal zone designations called zip codes does not place Claimant in any federal zone nor federal jurisdiction.

13. Claimant hereby waives, cancels, repudiates, and refuses to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or gratuity associated with any "license", number, or certificate he has been coerced to accept. Claimant has previously revoked and rescinded all powers of attorney implied in law or by legal presumption without his informed consent.

14. Claimant hereby affixes his own autograph upon all the affirmations in this entire document with explicit reservation of all his unalienable rights and his specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which he has not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion.

15. Claimant has already repudiated by separate document, any presumptions which may have been deemed to have been created by the birth certificate and certificate of live birth documents.

16. Claimant rarely uses any identification number from any government corporation and only does so for informational purposes and under duress because of the extreme inconvenience of operating without such number in today's marketplace. Claimant's use of any such number is not out of a desire to participate in the corporate system.



17. Claimant uses Federal Reserve Notes to discharge debt only because he has no access to any widely recognized currency. His use thereof does not make him a surety for any corporation.

18. Any use Claimant makes of a bank account, and the signatures he was coerced to provide to obtain one is due to the absence of reasonable alternatives. Claimant hereby rebuts any presumptions that others may create as a result of any hidden contract behind any signature Claimant may have provided in connection with securing his bank account. Claimant refuses to be obligated to fulfill any hidden or unrevealed contracts whatsoever, due to the absence of full disclosure and voluntary consent.

19. Any tax returns Claimant may have filed in the past, were filed due to the atmosphere of fear and intimidation created by the tax collector and by other agents of the corporate state; not because there is any law requiring Claimant to do so.

20. Claimant uses a driver's license but only for commercial purposes. There is no legal requirement for Claimant to have such a license to travel for purposes of trade, for his own pleasure or that of his family and friends. However, because of the lack of education of code enforcement agents known as "police officers", Claimant uses the license to avoid being subjected to harassment or injury. Therefore, under duress, Claimant carries a "license".

21. Any document Claimant may have ever signed, in which he answered "yes" to the question, "Are you a U.S. citizen?" cannot be used to compromise claimant's status, nor obligate him to perform in any manner. Without full written disclosure of the definition and consequences of such supposed "citizenship," provided in a document bearing claimant's autograph given freely without misrepresentation or coercion, there can be no legally binding contract.

22. Since no hidden, unrevealed, and undisclosed information, if it exists, can be lawfully held to be binding, all certificates and registrations, such as the marriage license and certificate, are null and void.

23. The attendance of any of Claimant's children in corporate government-supported "public" schools or government-controlled "private" schools does not create any legal tax obligation for Claimant, or any other legal obligation, because Claimant never signed a contract agreeing to such obligation for the coerced so-called "benefit" of public school attendance. Claimant's use of such alleged "benefits" has been under duress only, and with full reservation of all his common law rights. Claimant has waived none of his intrinsic rights and freedoms by his use thereof.

24. If any of Claimant's children have attended government supported "public" or controlled "private" schools, such was done under duress and not out of free will. Be it known that Claimant regards "compulsory state education" as a violation of the natural and universal common law of freedom of choice.

25. Claimant agrees to the Fee Schedule set forth hereinabove to compensate him for the financial harm he has been caused since early March, 2020, when respondents began to harm him with their unauthorized and unlawful "Executive Orders", covid/5G operation, and other trespasses to his rights as a living man.

26. Claimant is not aware of any effort by any respondent to compensate him for the harm any of them continue to cause him, or to mitigate the damage they are causing to him.



27. Authorization for sharing of personal and private information may only be given by the originator and subject of that information. That authorization is hereby denied and refused with regard to the Claimant described herein.

28. Claimant hereby affixes his autograph to all the affirmations in this entire document with explicit reservation of all his unalienable rights and his specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which he has not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion. Any use of a notary public in the past or future is for identification, and such use does not grant any jurisdiction to anyone.



Michael-David; House of Plaster, Claimant  
Without the STATE OF OHIO

Seal

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, on the landmass known as Crawford County, Ohio, where I did on this 23 day of February witness the living man known as Michael-David; House of Plaster, be duly sworn upon his Oath to the Almighty in my presence, that he did affirm the truth of the statements contained in the above and foregoing Sworn Declaration for the purposes described therein and under penalty of perjury, and that I did witness that he set his autograph and right thumb print seal thereto and affix his initials in the margins of each page thereof.



ROBERT E BEAN  
Notary Public  
In and for the State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires  
August 13, 2022

Robert E. Bean  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires:







**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF 2/19/21 NOTICE**

STATE OF OHIO            )  
                                      )  
COUNTY OF SUMMIT        )

The undersigned, Diehl, Kelley,, having first been duly sworn upon his oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living man living on the landmass known as Portage County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the entity MICHAEL PLASTER, or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age and am *sui juris*.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I personally verified the filling, sealing and delivery, of envelopes of true and correct copies of the attached 2/19/21 "NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" (hereinafter, "the 2/19/21Notice"), to the following men and women as indicated. Respondents were all served by me as follows:

Michael DeWine, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent in the mailroom at 30 East Broad Street –Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio;

Amy Acton, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to Michael DeWine as her agent or principal, as set forth hereinabove, on 2/25/21;

Lance Himes, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent in charge of the building on that date at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio;

Bruce Vanderhoff, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent in charge of the building on that date located at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio;

Stephanie McCloud: by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in charge of the building on that date at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio;



Sheryl Maxfield, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in charge of the building on that date at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio;

Lydia Mihalik, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in charge of the building on that date at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio;

Sam Randazzo by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent, a clerk occupying the PUCO office at 180 East Broad Street, Columbus Ohio;

Nicholas Akins, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent, a receptionist wearing black head covering and a black mask and occupying the AEP office at 1Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio;

Lynn J. Good, by U.S.Post Office delivery item 70171070000082525243 as to the 2/19/21 Notice, showing receipt on 3/2/21 at 9:35 a.m. upon delivery to her agent at Good's office at 5445 Audro Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio;

Kathleen Madden, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in the mailroom at 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215;

John Harris, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to his agent, a man who identified himself as a Colonel and chief of staff, at 2825 West Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, Ohio;

Ursel McElroy, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in charge of the building on that date at 246 North High Street First Floor, Columbus, Ohio;

Lori Criss, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 2/25/21 to her agent in the mailroom at 30 East Broad Street 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio;

Salvadore Robles, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 3/5/21 to his agent, an employee doing business at the Golden Corral restaurant at 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio;

Lance Trenary, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 3/5/21 to his agent, a clerk doing business at the Golden Corral restaurant located at 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio;

John Menard Junior, by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 3/5/21 to his agent, a clerk occupying the Menard's store at



1999 Walker Lake Road, Ontario, Ohio;

Craig Wood, by U.S.P.O. delivery item 70201810000116213461 as to the 2/19/21 Notice, showing receipt on 3/1/21 at 1:14 p.m. upon delivery to him or his agent at 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio;


Brian Belden, by U.S.P.O. delivery item 70171070000082525243 as to the 2/19/21 Notice, showing receipt on 3/1/21 at 1:14 p.m. upon delivery to him or his agent at 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio;

Rich Wolowski by hand delivering a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice on 3/5/21 to his agent, a clerk doing business at the store known as Gordon Food Service, Inc. located at 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road, Mansfield, Ohio;

Jeannette Troyer, by U.S.P.O. delivery item 70171070000082525670 as to the 2/19/21 Notice, showing receipt on 3/1/21 at 10:53 a.m. upon delivery to her or her agent at 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio;


Eddie Steiner, by U.S.P.O. delivery item 70201810000116213454 as to the 2/19/21 Notice, showing receipt on 3/1/21 at 10:53 a.m. upon delivery to him or his agent at the bank located at 91 North Clay Street, Millersburg, Ohio.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

  
Diehl, Kelley;

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021 by Diehl, Kelley; whose autograph above I witnessed on this date in Summit County, Ohio.

  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: July 19, 2021





**SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF NOTICE DATED**  
**2/19/21**

STATE OF OHIO                    )  
  )  
COUNTY OF FRANKLIN        )

The undersigned, Anthony Hodge, having first been duly sworn upon his oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living man living on the land mass known as Franklin County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the entity MICHAEL PLASTER, or anyone in the family of Amy Acton.
3. I am more than 18 years of age and am competent to handle my own legal affairs.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in the 1828 Webster's dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I personally verified that I served a true and correct copy of the attached 2/19/21 "NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" (hereinafter, "the 2/19/21 Notice"), upon Amy Acton by hand delivery as follows:
  - a. On April 7, 2021 during the day and again on April 8, 2021 at 9 p.m. in the evening, I attempted service upon Amy Acton at her home at 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue, Bexley, Ohio. No one came to the door on either occasion.
  - b. On April 8, 2021 I attempted to serve the husband of Amy Acton, Eric Acton, at his place of employment at the Bexley High School/Middle School complex, during the noon hour. I was informed by an African-American female employee working there that day that Mr. Acton was present and aware that I was attempting to deliver the document to him, but that Mr. Acton refused to come to the part of the complex where I was standing, to take the document. This particular employee did offer to accept it on his behalf.
  - c. On April 9, 2021 at 11:40 a.m. I served a true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice to Eric Acton, the husband of Amy Acton, by hand delivering to his agent, Sherrie McKay, at the Bexley High School/Middle School complex main entrance, located at 300 S. Cassingham Road, Bexley, Ohio;



d. Also on April 9, 2021, at 6:15 p.m., I hand delivered a second true and correct copy of said 2/19/21 Notice directly to Eric K. Acton, the son-in-law and neighbor of Amy Acton and son of Eric Acton, at the home of said Eric K. Acton at 879 Francis Avenue, Bexley, Ohio.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Rev. Anthony J. Hodge  
Anthony Hodge

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021 by Anthony Hodge, whose autograph above I witnessed on this date in Franklin County, Ohio.

Ian Drennen  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: 09/09/2024



**Ian Drennen**  
Notary Public  
In and For the State of Ohio  
Recorded in Franklin County  
Certificate # 2019-RE-800578  
My Commission Expires  
September 9, 2024



4/9/2021

[https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdrfid\\_transactionId=090421ED2-...](https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdrfid_transactionId=090421ED2-...)

Dr.A.T.Hodge Legal/Limo services Ltd  
1695 FRANKLIN AVE  
COLUMBUS, OH 43205  
614-231-5595

COMPLETE OHIO PROCESS SERVICE

Date: 04/09/2021 07:04:51 PM

CREDIT CARD SALE

VISA

CARD NUMBER: \*\*\*\*\*8654 K

TRAN AMOUNT: \$84.00

APPROVAL CD: 009587

RECORD #: 000

CLERK ID: tony

Thank you!

Customer Copy

*I Hr waiting 2 serve  
Eric Acton Jr  
879 Franciscane*



4/8/2021

[https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdnflid\\_transactionId=080421EC0-...](https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdnflid_transactionId=080421EC0-...)

Dr.A.T.Hodge Legal/Limo services Ltd  
1695 FRANKLIN AVE  
COLUMBUS, OH 43205  
614-231-5595

COMPLETE OHIO PROCESS SERVICE

Date: 04/08/2021 05:25:47 PM

CREDIT CARD SALE

VISA

CARD NUMBER: \*\*\*\*\*8654 K  
TRAN AMOUNT: \$262.00  
APPROVAL CD: 008151  
RECORD #: 000  
CLERK ID: tony

Thank you!

Customer Copy

79x2=158 27hrs. at Bexley School  
& Police

99 Ship Tree with S.L. #

5 E-proceed



4/8/2021

[https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdnflid\\_transactionId=080421AC0-...](https://www.myvirtualmerchant.com/VirtualMerchant/transaction.do?dispatchMethod=printTransaction&hdnflid_transactionId=080421AC0-...)

Dr.A.T.Hodge Legal/Limo services Ltd  
1695 FRANKLIN AVE  
COLUMBUS, OH 43205  
614-231-5595

COMPLETE OHIO PROCESS SERVICE

Date: 04/08/2021 09:23:24 AM

CREDIT CARD SALE

VISA  
CARD NUMBER: \*\*\*\*\*8654 K  
TRAN AMOUNT: \$94.00  
APPROVAL CD: 008949  
RECORD #: 000  
CLERK ID: tony

Thank you!

Customer Copy

89 work address  
for process service  
personal only

5 % process



Dr.A.T.Hodge Legal/Limo services Ltd  
1695 FRANKLIN AVE  
COLUMBUS, OH 43205  
614-231-5595

COMPLETE OHIO PROCESS SERVICE  
001734000802841388100

Date: 04/06/2021 04:53:59 PM

CREDIT CARD SALE

VISA  
CARD NUMBER: \*\*\*\*\*8654 K  
TRAN AMOUNT: \$84.50  
APPROVAL CD: 006353  
RECORD #: 000  
CLERK ID: tony

X \_\_\_\_\_  
{CARDHOLDER'S SIGNATURE}

I AGREE TO PAY THE ABOVE TOTAL AMOUNT  
ACCORDING TO THE CARD ISSUER AGREEMENT  
(MERCHANT AGREEMENT IF CREDIT VOUCHER)

Thank you!

Merchant Copy

69 process service  
Acton Bexley, Ohio

10.50 42 pages x .25

5 % process



Dr.A.T.Hodge Legal/Limo services Ltd  
1695 FRANKLIN AVE  
COLUMBUS, OH 43205  
614-231-5595

COMPLETE OHIO PROCESS SERVICE

Date: 04/08/2021 06:04:04 PM

CREDIT CARD SALE

VISA  
CARD NUMBER: \*\*\*\*\*8654 K  
TRAN AMOUNT: \$84.59  
APPROVAL CD: 008875  
RECORD #: 000  
CLERK ID: tony

Thank you!

Customer Copy

69 879 Franisane


10.50 42x.25 pages

5 1/6 process

## AFFIDAVIT OF NON REPLY

The undersigned Tammy Lacey, having first been duly sworn upon my oath and under penalty of perjury, state as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Crawford County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820], the address designated in Michael-David; House of Plaster's 2/19/21 "NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" for respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 2/19/19 Notice from any of the following: Michael DeWine, Amy Acton, Lance Himes, Bruce Vanderhoff, Stephanie McCloud, Sheryl Maxfield, Lydia Mihalik, Sam Randazzo Nicholas Akins, Lynn Good, Kathleen Madden, John Harris, Ursel McElroy, Lori Criss, Salvadore Robles, Lance Trenary, John Menard Junior, Craig Wood, Brian Belden, Rich Wolowski, Jeannette Troyer, or Eddie Steiner.

  
Tammy Lacey

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 3 day of May, 2021 by Tammy Lacey, whose autograph above I witnessed on this date on Crawford County, Ohio.



ROBERT E. BEAN  
Notary Public  
In and for the State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires  
August 13, 2022



**NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 2/19/21**  
**NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS,**  
**INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF**  
**CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION**

May 4, 2021



**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL; NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS  
NOTICE TO AGENT**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS  
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; BY (B)  
CONTINUED INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, OR BY  
(C) CONTINUED ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE COVID/5G OPERATION**

**THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT**

**FROM:**

Michael-David; House of Plaster, Claimant, *sui juris*, a living man hereby claiming all rights *nunc pro  
tunc*.

**TO:**

Michael DeWine, the living man, also known as Mike DeWine, also known as Richard Michael  
DeWine, also known as R. Michael DeWine, also known as Richard M. DeWine,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street –Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Amy Acton, the living woman, also known as Amy Leigh Stearns,  
[c/o] 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue, Bexley, Ohio [43209]

Lance Himes, the living man,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Bruce Vanderhoff, the living man,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Stephanie McCloud: the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sheryl Maxfield, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lydia Mihalik, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sam Randazzo, the living man,  
[c/o] 180 East Broad Street, Columbus Ohio [43215]

1 of 5

Nicholas Akins, the living man,  
[c/o] 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lynn Good, the living woman,  
[c/o] 5445 Audro Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio [45247] or

Kathleen Madden, the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215

John Harris, the living man,  
[c/o] 2825 West Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Ursel McElroy, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street First Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lori Criss, the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Salvadore Robles, the living man,  
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio 43213

Lance Trenary, the living man,  
[c/o] 5151 Glenwood Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina [near 27612]

John Menard Junior, the living man,  
[c/o] 1999 Walker Lake Road, Ontario, Ohio [44906]

*mp* Craig Wood, the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]

Brian Belden, the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]

Rich Wolowski, the living man,  
[c/o] 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road, Mansfield, Ohio [44906]

Jeannette Troyer, the living woman,  
[c/o] 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio [44654].

Eddie Steiner, the living man,  
91 North Clay Street, Millersburg, OHIO [44654]

Respondents.

and

Hugh Quill, the living man, also being agent for STATE OF OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

**By:** FEDEX and hand delivery, itemized verification to be provided by affidavit upon service.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

I. NOTICE OF FAULT

*2 of 5*



A. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTICED that you are in fault of an opportunity to reply to the statements made in the attached 2/19/21 dated "**NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION**" (hereinafter, the "2/19/21 Notice"), as set forth below:

B. True and correct copies of the attached 2/19/21 Notice were hand delivered to all of the respondents above named on the dates indicated in the attached Affidavits of Service executed by Diehl, Kelley;, on April 30, 2021 and by Anthony Hodge on April 10, 2021.

C. Both of the aforesaid affidavits of service verify that the most recent date of service upon the above named respondent men and women occurred no later than April 9, 2021.

D. The 2/19/21 Notice informed each respondent the specific manner that he or she would be required to respond, including the twenty-eight day deadline for doing so.

E. Not one of the respondents provided any response in the manner specified in the Notice, or in any manner at all, as is verified by the attached Affidavit of Non Reply executed by Tammy Lacey on May 3, 2021.

F. Respondent men and women above named were each given the opportunity to dispute each of the statements made in the 2/19/21 Notice supporting Claimant's claims for damages.

G. Each of the respondents, by remaining silent as well as by continuing to interfere with Claimant's right to contract and by continuing to engage in acts in furtherance of the covid/5G operation, failed to dispute and therefore agreed to each of the provisions set forth in the 2/19/21 Notice.

H. Each respondent therefore has rejected his or her due process opportunity to respond in the manner required by said Notice and contract contained therein.

I. Each respondent has by tacit admission and continued acts against Claimant as specified hereinabove, agreed to waive his or her right to respond in the manner required by the 2/19/21 Notice with a specific, verified, and sworn reply.

## II. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

A. As of the effective date(s) set out in the 2/19/21 Notice, respondent men and women above named each failed to reply in either a timely manner or as instructed, and are now in fault and dishonor of the contract set out in said 2/19/21 Notice. Each respondent is therefore subject to any and all of the terms therein.

B. As a result of the instant contract set out in the 2/19/21 Notice, which was hand delivered to each respondent as verified in the attached Affidavits of Service, a DEFAULT JUDGMENT is being sought against said defaulting respondents.

C. Respondents, having waived the right to answer, by tacit admission, failure to contest, and continued unlawful conduct as instructed in the 2/19/21 Notice, rejected the due process opportunity claimant offered them.



D. In the event that any respondent failed to accept the remedy offered in the 2/19/21 Notice by claiming that his or her silence was an oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional, Claimant Michael-David; House of Plaster grants any such respondent an additional seven (7) days from the date of service of the within Notice, to CURE the fault and effect the remedy.

E. In the event that any respondent claims such oversight, mistake, or otherwise unintentional ignoring of his or her obligation to respond, respondent is required to explain by affidavit the factual nature of any such claim.

F. If any respondent fails to cure as specified herein, then, by operation of law, such second failure to respond as required by the 2/19/21 Notice will constitute the FINAL agreement and admission of the liability of respondents through tacit agreement as further explained in the attached 2/19/21 Notice and contract.

G. Any further actions shall be taken in accordance with the procedures, jurisdictions, and penalties thereof set forth in the 2/19/21 Notice as defaulted.

H. **REPLY** to this Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure **MUST BE RECEIVED** by 5 p.m. on the effective date, which is within **SEVEN (7) DAYS** from the date of respondent's **RECEIPT** of the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, all as previously set forth in the 2/19/21 Notice. All responses must be specific, factual, verified and sworn to by a man or woman with actual knowledge, exactly as previously set out in the 2/19/21 Notice and thus be by U.S. registered or certified mail, return receipt requested and by restricted delivery to:

**Tammy Lacey, [c/o] 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820].**

I. Claimant Michael-David; House of Plaster hereby adopts and acknowledges each and every statement made in the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure and those previously made in the 2/19/21 Notice, stating that all attachments to the within Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure are true and correct copies of the originals.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

**EACH RESPONDENTS WILL BE CONSIDERED TO HAVE AGREED AND ACQUIESCED BY HIS OR HER (A) SILENCE; BY (B) CONTINUED INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, OR BY (C) CONTINUED ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE COVID/5G OPERATION**

**THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT**

ALL RIGHTS RETAINED



Michael-David; House of Plaster, Claimant  
Without the **STATE OF OHIO**

Seal

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

4 of 5



Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, on the landmass known as Crawford County, Ohio, where I did on this 4 day of May, 2020 witness the living man known as Michael-David; House of Plaster, be duly sworn upon his Oath to the Almighty in my presence, that he did affirm the truth of the statements contained in the above and foregoing Sworn Declaration for the purposes described therein and under penalty of perjury, and that I did witness that he set his autograph and right thumb print seal thereto and affix his initials in the margins of each page thereof.

Sara Tong  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: 3-12-22



SARA  
TONG  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
STATE OF OHIO  
My Commission  
Expires  
March 12, 2022

[illegible]



**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF 5/4/21 NOTICE OF FAULT  
AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 2/19/21  
NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW  
TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO  
CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION**

Ohio            )  
                  )  
Ross County )

The undersigned, Debra McCabe, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Ross County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the entity MICHAEL PLASTER, or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age and am *sui juris*.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I personally verified the faxing, emailing or ordinary mailing of a copy of the attached 5/4/21 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 2/19/21 NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" (hereinafter, "the 5/4/21 Notice), and of its attachments consisting of an additional copy of the 2/19/21 Notice, a copy of the Affidavit of Service of said 2/19/21 Notice, and a copy of the Affidavit of Non-Response to the 2/19/21 Notice, to each of the following men and women as indicated:

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Michael DeWine at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 30 East Broad Street Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio 43215, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto, and faxed him a copy to 614-466-9354 on August 4, 2021, as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Sam Randazzo, at 180 East Broad Street where he was previously served with the 2/19/21 Notice, and also on July 28,

2021 emailed him a copy at [randazzcsc@yahoo.com](mailto:randazzcsc@yahoo.com), as indicated by the attached copy of the cover sheet to said email.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Lydia Mihalik, at 77 South High St. Columbus, Ohio 43215, where she now works as director of the Ohio Development Services Agency, as indicated by the attached certificate of mailing.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send additional copies of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Kathleen Madden, at 30 E. Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, as indicated by the attached certificate of mailing, and faxed to her at 614-466-5127 on August 4, 2021, as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice, with attachments, to Lori Criss at 30 E. Broad 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215, as verified by the attached certificate of mailing and also on July 28, 2021 emailed a copy to her at [lori.Criss@mha.ohio.gov](mailto:lori.Criss@mha.ohio.gov), as indicated by the attached copy of said email.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice, with attachments to Salvadore Robles at his place of employment at Golden Corral, 4750 E. Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio [43213], as verified by the attached certificate of mailing, and faxed him a copy on August 4, 2021 at 614-864-0812 as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to respondent Amy Acton at her home at 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue, Bexley, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Lance Himes, to him at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Bruce Vanderhoff, at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Stephanie McCloud at the address where she received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.



On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Sheryl Maxfield at the address where she received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Nicholas Akins at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 1Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto. On this date I also served him by email at [nick@aep.com](mailto:nick@aep.com), as indicated by the attached copy of the email cover sheet.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Lynn J. Good at the address where she received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to John Harris at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 2825 West Dublin Granville Road , Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Ursel McElroy at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to Lance Trenary in person or by agent at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice with attachments to John Menard Junior by fax to 715-876-2868, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Craig Wood, by fax to 614-441-8145, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.


On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Brian Belden, by fax to 614-441-8145, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet and to 419-625-3999, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet; BOTH

On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Jeanette Troyer, by email to her at webadmin@csb1.com, as indicated by the attached copy of the cover sheet to said email.

On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Eddie Steiner, by email to him at webadmin@csb1.com, as indicated by the attached copy of the cover sheet to said email. On July 28, 2021 I also used ordinary United States mail to send an additional copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Eddie Steiner at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.


On July 28, 2021 I served a copy of the 5/4/21 Notice to Hugh Quill by fax to 614-728-5628, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

  
Debra McCabe

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The above affidavit with 29 pages of attachments was subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021 by Debra McCabe whose autograph above I witnessed on this date at Ross County, Ohio.

  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: 8/29/26




AMY M. DERRINGER  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires  
Aug. 29, 2026



## AFFIDAVIT OF NON REPLY


The undersigned Tammy Lacey, having first been duly sworn upon my oath and under penalty of perjury, state as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Crawford County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820], the address designated in Michael-David; House of Plaster's 5/4/21 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 2/19/21 NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" for respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 5/4/21 Notice from any of the following: Michael DeWine, Amy Acton, Lance Himes, Bruce Vanderhoff, Stephanie McCloud, Sheryl Maxfield, Lydia Mihalik, Sam Randazzo, Nicholas Akins, Lynn Good, Kathleen Madden, John Harris, Ursel McElroy, Lori Criss, Salvadore Robles, Lance Trenary, John Menard Junior, Craig Wood, Brian Belden, Rich Wolowski, Jeannette Troyer, or Eddie Steiner.

  
Tammy Lacey

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 23 day of August, 2021 by Tammy Lacey, whose autograph above I witnessed on this date at Crawford County, Ohio.

  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: 3-12-22



SARA  
TONG  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
STATE OF OHIO  
My Commission  
Expires  
March 12, 2022

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO  
CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/4/21 & 2/19/21NOTICES OF LIABILITY**

August 12, 2021



**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL; NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS  
NOTICE TO AGENT**

**RESPONDENTS WILL BE DEEMED TO CONSENT TO AND ACCEPT ALL TERMS  
OF THIS NOTICE AND OFFER TO CONTRACT BY (A) SILENCE; BY (B)  
CONTINUED INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, OR BY  
(C) CONTINUED ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE COVID/5G OPERATION**

**THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT**

**FROM:**

Michael-David; House of Plaster, Claimant, *sui juris*, a living man hereby claiming all rights *nunc pro  
tunc*.

**TO:**

Michael DeWine, the living man, also known as Mike DeWine, also known as Richard Michael  
DeWine, also known as R. Michael DeWine, also known as Richard M. DeWine,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street –Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Amy Acton, the living woman, also known as Amy Leigh Stearns,  
[c/o] 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue, Bexley, Ohio [43209]

Lance Himes, the living man,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Bruce Vanderhoff, the living man,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Stephanie McCloud: the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sheryl Maxfield, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lydia Mihalik, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Sam Randazzo, the living man,  
[c/o] 180 East Broad Street, Columbus Ohio [43215]

Nicholas Akins, the living man,  
[c/o] 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio [43215]



Lynn Good, the living woman,  
[c/o] 5445 Audro Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio [45247] or

Kathleen Madden, the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215

John Harris, the living man,  
[c/o] 2825 West Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Ursel McElroy, the living woman,  
[c/o] 246 North High Street First Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Lori Criss, the living woman,  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

Salvadore Robles, the living man,  
[c/o] 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio 43213

Lance Trenary, the living man,  
[c/o] 5151 Glenwood Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina [near 27612]

John Menard Junior, the living man,  
[c/o] 1999 Walker Lake Road, Ontario, Ohio [44906]

Craig Wood, the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]

Brian Belden, the living man,  
[c/o] 304 Sixth Avenue, Galion, Ohio [44833]

Rich Wolowski, the living man,  
[c/o] 1310 North Lexington Springmill Road, Mansfield, Ohio [44906]

Jeannette Troyer, the living woman,  
[c/o] 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio [44654].

Eddie Steiner, the living man,  
91 North Clay Street, Millersburg, OHIO [44654]

Respondents.

and

Hugh Quill, the living man, also being agent for STATE OF OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  
[c/o] 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215]

By: ordinary mail, faxed transmissions, and email to be verified by affidavit upon service.

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL & NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

ALL RESPONDENTS ABOVE NAMED: DeWine, Acton, Himes, Vanderhoff, McCloud,  
Maxfield, Mihalik, Randazzo, Akins, Good, Madden, Harris, McElroy, Criss, Robles,

2 & 6

Trenary, Menard, Wood, Belden, Wolowski, Troyer, and Steiner; shall take note as follows:

1. You, as the respondents named in two notices, dated 2/19/2021 and 5/4/2021 respectively, are in DEFAULT of an opportunity to reply to the 2/19/2021 "NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION" hereinafter referred to as the "2/19/2021 Notice" or "first Notice" and also in default as to the 5/4/2021 "NOTICE OF FAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 2/19/21 NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF TREASON, COMMON LAW TRESPASS, INTERFERENCE WITH CLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO CONTRACT, BREACH OF CONTRACT & SWORN DECLARATION", hereinafter referred to as the "5/4/21 Notice" or "Second Notice". The 5/4/2021 Notice was delivered to each of you respondents on or before 7/28/2021. A second copy of the original 2/19/2021 Notice or a reference to its public posting online at <http://unitedparentsforchildren.com/unitedparentsforchildren-com/covid-19-5g-ohio-governor-gets-served-nol-notice-of-liability/> was attached to or included in each respondent's copy of the 5/4/2021 Notice.

2. You respondents continue to be in default as to the 2/19/2021 Notice and are also now in DEFAULT as to the 5/4/2021 Notice, the latter having provided you with an additional opportunity to reply to the original 2/19/2021 Notice, a copy of which was attached to the 5/4/2021 Notice. Said 5/4/2021 Notice was delivered to each of you on or before 7/28/2021, as indicated by the attached Second Affidavit of Service.

3. You, as the original respondents, having each failed to respond to the 5/4/2021 Notice by the Effective Date of 8/4/2021, which was more than 7 days from the 7/28/2021 date of last receipt of said 5/4/2021 Second Notice, are therefore in DEFAULT of an opportunity to cure as lawfully offered in said Notice.

4. To clarify the record, you and each of you respondents have to date been given the opportunity to:

- a. State a claim as stated in the first and second notices above referenced;
- b. Specifically accept the Offer of Absolution of Liability offered to you by claimant at paragraph II(F) of the original 2/19/2021 Notice;
- c. Refute by specific affidavit, point by point, by means of verified facts sworn to by a man or woman affiant with actual knowledge, specifically disputing any or all facts Claimant established showing that no respondent and no employer of any such respondent had any authority or subject matter jurisdiction to take any of the actions he or she took individually or on behalf of his or her corporate employer;
- d. Cure the failure to respond to the 2/19/2021 Notice as specified at paragraphs I and II of the 2/19/2021 Notice.

5. By remaining silent each of you original respondents have agreed with all terms of the said contract, such terms being clearly set forth in the 2/19/2021 Notice and again in the 5/4/2021 Notice.

6. Due to the failure of each original respondent above named to answer with any specific, verified, and sworn reply to the instant contract, required by both the 2/19/2021 Notice and by the 5/4/2021 Notice, a binding contract and subsequent DEFAULT JUDGMENT may be issued against each said respondent.

7. Each respondent is further hereby Noticed that:

- a. You may have, by tacit admission, failed to contest the verified statements made in the 2/19/2021 Notice, to which you have to date been provided two opportunities to respond, and by your continuing

3 of 6



conduct, waived the right to answer or object, thereby rejecting each said respondent's due process opportunity.

b. Each of the respondents has declined to claim his or her silence to date was "an oversight, mistake or otherwise unintentional," as each was given an opportunity to assert per the 5/4/2021 Notice.

c. In the further absence of such verified reply from any respondent, Claimant does hereby assert his previously stated intention to enforce the within NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/4/21 & 2/19/21NOTICES OF LIABILITY along with the 2/19/2021 Notice, which sets out the terms of the self-executing contract contained therein and already agreed to by said respondents, and as further set forth hereinbelow.

d. Any or all of the respondents have agreed and understand that each may incur liability whether or not their actions were justified by corporate bylaws known as "statutes," and as each was warned in the 2/19/2021 Notice.

8. Claimant has already informed respondents of the fault of each in exercising non-existent authority and jurisdiction over said Claimant, and that their acts committed in the absence of any such lawful authority or jurisdiction constituted and continue to constitute a trespass to his Constitutionally protected and common law rights. Service of said Notice was initially effected as to each said respondent man or woman by means of Claimant's 2/19/2021 Notice, the last respondent having received same on or before 3/5/2021. Had respondents heard Claimant at that time, the matter could have been resolved: "Moreover, if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and if he shall hear thee, thou has gained thy brother." Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18:15.

9. But said original respondents appear to have not heard Claimant and so, with his several witnesses, Claimant repeated what he had previously told said respondents, by means of his 5/4/2021 Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure, which included the original 2/19/2021 Notice, which he caused to be served on said respondents on or before 8/4/2021. "But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established." Holy Bible, KJV: Matthew 18:16.

10. Original respondents upon being served with the 5/4/2021 Notice of Fault and Opportunity to Cure again neglected to hear Claimant or to respond, although each was allowed a reasonable time frame in which to do so, by reason of which Claimant is now, via the within Notice of Default and Imminent Liability, again informing respondents, in the presence of the broader community. "And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church but if he neglected to hear the church, let him be unto thee as an heathen man and a tax collector." Holy Bible, KJV, Matthew 18:17.

11. This lawful process, including the 2/19/2021 Notice, the 5/4/2021 Notice and the within NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/4/21 & 2/19/21NOTICES OF LIABILITY with attached second affidavits of service and non-reply, all jointly constitute the "meeting of the minds" as to all the terms of the original self-executing contract, to which respondents have all clearly expressed their assent. As respondents are all in default for having failed to reply and are continuing their unlawful conduct without ever attempting to refute the verified evidence that said acts perpetrated by and continuing to be perpetrated by respondents caused harm, loss and injury to Claimant, further failure to respond shall constitute the FINAL admission and agreement of the parties as stated in the three NOTICES as defaulted.

12. BINDING JUDGMENT: Any respondent failing to respond as defined herein agrees that a Binding Judgment incorporating all of the terms of the within Contract may be entered by any common law court and/or administrative tribunal, at Claimant's election.



13. Any such judgment is entitled to full faith and credit by any such common law court or corporate tribunal at Claimant's election. Claimant may elect to enforce the Contract or any Binding Judgment arising from it through a statutory or common law sheriff, deputy, or other common law or corporate code enforcer who has taken an oath to support the Constitution. Any respondent who further fails to respond as defined herein agrees to waive any and all claims he or she may have against the members of any such common law court or against any of the aforementioned common law sheriffs, deputies or other common law or corporate code enforcers who have taken an oath to support the Constitution, which claims may hereafter arise in connection with the enforcement of the Binding Judgment referred to herein. Respondents agree to hold any such enforcement agents harmless for any acts performed for the purpose of, or incident to, the enforcement of said Contract or judgment arising therefrom.

14. FINAL OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCHARGE OF LIABILITY: Any respondent will be absolved of all liability as of the date of the receipt of the within Notice of Default upon his or her payment of all outstanding amounts billed on account of joinder fees if any, costs of delivery of Notices, plus all sums billed and then owing pursuant to the Fee Schedule of Sec. IX set forth in the 2/19/2021 Notice, in lawful currency per each such event. A dollar is defined herein and by the Coinage Act of 1792 and 1900 as being 24.8 grains of gold or 371.25 grains of silver.

15. All respondents are further hereby notified that respondents' third and final refusals to deny the existence of their threats, frauds, and continuing acts of common law trespass, assault, theft, interference with the right to contract, and breach of contract, may be considered additional evidence of respondents' usurpation of legitimate Constitutional authority and unlawful intent to harm, in the event that such acts become the subject of statutory or common law grand jury inquiry. Respondents are therefore specifically Noticed that such third failure to respond in the manner repeatedly indicated by this and prior Notices may be considered as evidence of *scienter* or *mens rea*.

16. The above Notices are legal and lawful notice. Respondents may deny said Notice ONLY by serving the natural woman, Tammy Lacey, by certified, restricted delivery U.S. Mail, with return receipt, to the address indicated for her in previous Notices, to-wit:

Tammy Lacey  
[c/o] 830 Faustina Avenue,  
Bucyrus, Ohio [44820]  
(united states of America)

By 5 p.m. on or before the passage of Five (5) days after the delivery of this Notice. Thereafter, the liabilities listed above may not be denied or avoided by the original respondents named in this and prior Notices. *Qui non obstat quod obstat potest facere videtur*

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



Seal

Michael-David; House of Plaster  
Without STATE OF OHIO

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, on the landmass known as Crawford County, Ohio, where I did on this 23 day of August, 2021 witness the living man known as Michael-David; House of Plaster, be duly sworn

5 & 6



upon his Oath to the Almighty in my presence, that he did affirm the truth of the statements contained in the above and foregoing Sworn Declaration for the purposes described therein and under penalty of perjury, and that I did witness that he set his autograph and right thumb print seal thereto and affix his initials in the margins of each page thereof.

Sara Tong  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: 3-12-22

Seal



SARA  
TONG  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
STATE OF OHIO  
My Commission Expires  
March 12, 2022

and under penalty of perjury, and that I did witness that he did his assignment and right then in front of me and after his initials in the margin of each page thereof.



*[Signature]*  
My Commission Expires 10/10/11





**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF 8/12/21 NOTICE OF DEFAULT,  
IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-  
RESPONSES TO 5/4/21& 2/19/21 NOTICES OF LIABILITY**

Ohio            )  
                  )  
Ross County )

The undersigned, Debra McCabe, having first been duly sworn upon her oath and under penalty of perjury, states as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Ross County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the entity MICHAEL PLASTER, or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age and am *sui juris*.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary, and as I understand their meaning.
5. I personally verified the faxing, emailing or ordinary mailing of a copy of the attached 8/12/21 "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/4/21& 2/19/21NOTICES OF LIABILITY" (hereinafter, "the 8/12/21 Notice), with attachments consisting of a copy of the Affidavit of Service of said 5/4/21Notice, and a copy of the Affidavit of Non-Response to the 2/19/21Notice, to each of the following men and women as indicated:

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Michael DeWine at the address where he received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21Notices, i.e. at 30 East Broad Street Floor 40, Columbus, Ohio [43215], as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto, and faxed him a copy to 614-466-9354 on September 6, 2021, as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Sam Randazzo, at 180 East Broad, Columbus, Ohio [43215] where he was previously served the 5/4/21Notice, and by ordinary mail and to 645 South Grant Avenue, Columbus, Ohio [43206-1216] and also on August 31, 2021 emailed him a copy at [randazzosc@yahoo.com](mailto:randazzosc@yahoo.com), as indicated by the attached copy of the cover sheet to said email.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Lydia Mihalik, at 77 South High Street Columbus, Ohio [43215], where she now works as director of the Ohio Development Services Agency, as indicated by the attached certificate of mailing.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Kathleen Madden, at 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio [43215] and to her at 5856 Williamsport Pike, Williamsport, Ohio [43164], as indicated by the two attached certificates of mailing, and faxed to her at 614-466-5127 on September 6, 2021, as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice, with attachments, to Lori Criss at 30 E. Broad 36<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio [43215], as verified by the attached certificate of mailing, and also on August 31, 2021 attempted to email her a copy to her at [lori.Criss@mha.ohio.gov](mailto:lori.Criss@mha.ohio.gov) where she had previously received the second notice, but this time the same email came back undeliverable. I also faxed to her at 614-752-9493, as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On August 31 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice, with attachments to Salvadore Robles at his place of employment at Golden Corral, 4750 E. Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio [43213], as verified by the attached certificate of mailing, and on September 6, 2021, I faxed him a copy at 614-864-0812 as indicated by the attached fax transmittal sheet

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to respondent Amy Acton at her home at 932 Pleasant Ridge Avenue, Bexley, Ohio [43209], as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Lance Himes, to him at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Bruce Vanderhoff, at the address where he received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21 Notices, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Stephanie McCloud at the address where she received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21 Notices, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.



On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Sheryl Maxfield at the address where she received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21 Notices, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Nicholas Akins at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice, i.e. at 1Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto. On this date I also served him by fax to 614-223-1823, which went through without any problem when I used it to serve him the 5/4/21 Notice, but despite 3 different attempts during three separate days, that same fax number rang busy each and every time I tried it during those three separate days. I also emailed him on August 31, 2021 at [nick@aep.com](mailto:nick@aep.com), the same email address which went through as to the second notice, as indicated by the attached cover sheet for said email. Said August 31, 2021 went through without any problem, just as it had done when I served him the 5/4/21 Notice by the same email.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Lynn Good at the address where she received the 2/19/21 and where I sent her the 5/4/21 Notice, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to John Harris at the address where he received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21 Notices, i.e. at 2825 West Dublin Granville Road, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Ursel McElroy at the address where he received the 2/19/21 and 5/4/21 Notices, i.e. at 246 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto. No mail came back either time.

On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Lance Trenary in person or by agent at the address where he received the 2/19/21 Notice and where I sent the 5/4/21 Notice, i.e. at 4750 East Main Street, Whitehall, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto. On this date I also attempted to serve him by fax to 919-881-4686, but all attempts failed because there was no answer over the course of three days and multiple attempts each day.

On September 14, 2021 I served a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to John Menard Junior by fax to 715-876-2868, which went through on 9/14/21, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On September 14, 2021 I served a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice with attachments to Craig Wood, by fax to 614-441-8145, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On September 14, 2021 I served a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Brian Belden, by fax to 614-441-8145, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

On September 14, 2021 I attempted to serve a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Jeanette Troyer, by fax to 419-625-3999 (3 days multiple attempts) but there was no answer. On this date I also served her by email to her at webadmin@csb1.com, as evidenced by the attached copy of that email.

On September 14, 2021 I attempted to serve a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Eddie Steiner, by fax to 330-674-9015, which failed after several attempts over the course of three days. On August 31, 2021 I succeeded in serving him by email directed to him at webadmin@csb1.com. On August 31, 2021 I used ordinary United States mail to send a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to said Eddie Steiner at the address where he received the 2/19/21 and where I served him the 5/4/21 Notice, i.e. at 2102 Glen Drive, Millersburg, Ohio, as verified by the certificate of mailing, a copy of which is attached hereto.


On September 14, 2021 I served a copy of the 8/12/21 Notice to Hugh Quill by fax to 614-728-5628, to him or his agent, as verified by the attached fax transmittal sheet.

NOTE: I have received back none of the above items that I sent by ordinary mail.




KIMBERLY SUE DIXON  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires May 02, 2026

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

  
Debra McCabe

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 14th day of September, 2021 by Debra McCabe whose autograph above I witnessed on this date at Ross County, Ohio.


  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires: May 02, 2026



### AFFIDAVIT OF NON REPLY


The undersigned Tammy Lacey, having first been duly sworn upon my oath and under penalty of perjury, state as follows:

1. I am a living woman living on the land mass known as Crawford County, Ohio.
2. I have no legal or lawful interest in the outcome of any proceedings involving Michael-David; House of Plaster or the below named men and women.
3. I am more than 18 years of age.
4. All terms I use in this Affidavit are as they are normally understood in American English speech, as used in Webster's 1828 dictionary and as I understand their meaning.
5. I regularly receive U.S. mail at 830 Faustina Avenue, Bucyrus, Ohio [44820], the address designated in Michael-David; House of Plaster's 8/12/21 "NOTICE OF DEFAULT, IMMINENT LIABILITY AND FINAL OPPORTUNITY TO CURE NON-RESPONSES TO 5/4/21 & 2/19/21 NOTICES OF LIABILITY" for respondents to send any responses.
6. I have as of the date indicated hereinbelow, not received any responses to the aforementioned 8/12/21 Notice from any of the following: Michael DeWine, Amy Acton, Lance Himes, Bruce Vanderhoff, Stephanie McCloud, Sheryl Maxfield, Lydia Mihalik, Sam Randazzo Nicholas Akins, Lynn Good, Kathleen Madden, John Harris, Ursel McElroy, Lori Criss, Salvatore Robles, Lance Trenary, John Menard Junior, Craig Wood, Brian Belden, Rich Wolowski, Jeannette Troyer, Eddie Steiner, or Hugh Quill.

  
Tammy Lacey

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned notary public, this 21 day of September, 2021, by Tammy Lacey, whose autograph above I witnessed on this date at Crawford County, Ohio.

  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 8-13-



ROBERT E BEAN  
Notary Public  
In and for the State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires  
August 13, 2022